

Eight Follow-Up Report

Saint Lucia

November 22 2013

© 2013 CFATF. All rights reserved.

No reproduction or translation of this publication may be made without prior written permission. Requests for permission to further disseminate reproduce or translate all or part of this publication should be obtained from the CFATF Secretariat at **CFATF@cfatf.org.**

SAINT LUCIA: EIGHT FOLLOW-UP REPORT

I INTRODUCTION

1. On March 18, 2013, Saint Lucia made a formal request for removal from regular follow-up to biennial updates and provided a comprehensive report on all the action the jurisdiction had taken since the publication of its MER on November 2008, together with implementation data. That comprehensive report formed the basis of the seventh follow-up report which was presented to the May 2013 Managua Plenary where it was decided that Saint Lucia had taken sufficient steps to deal with the identified deficiencies. Based on that plenary decision, Saint Lucia's request for removal from regular follow-up to biennial updates was accepted. However, in the context that all member countries are required to report to the November 2013 Plenary Saint Lucia was asked to present a written update to the November 2013 Plenary to be followed by updates every two (2) years commencing from November 2013.

II SCOPE OF THIS REPORT

- 2. This report will focus on Key Recommendation 4, which was rated PC in the MER, but was subsequently addressed by Saint Lucia to the point where the May 2013 Plenary assessed it to have then had just a very minor shortcoming, and implementation.
- 3. Saint Lucia received ratings of PC or NC on all sixteen (16) core and key Recommendations as follows:

Table 1 Compliance with Core and Key Recommendations

Rec.	1	3	4	5	10	13	23	26	35	36	40	I	II	III	IV	V
Rating	PC	PC	PC	NC	NC	NC	NC	PC	NC	PC	PC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC

4. Relative to the other non-core or key recommendations, Saint Lucia was rated partially compliant and non-compliant as follows:

Table 2: Compliance with 'Other Recommendations'

Partially Compliant (PC)	Non-Compliant (NC)
R.9 (Third parties and introducers)	R. 6 (Politically exposed persons)
R. 14 (Protection & no tipping-off)	R. 7 (Correspondent banking)
R. 15 (Internal controls, compliance & audit)	R. 8 (New technologies & non face-to-face business)
R. 17 (Sanctions)	R. 11 (Unusual transactions)
R. 20 (Other NFBP & secure transaction	R. 12 (DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11)
techniques)	
R. 29 (Supervisors)	R. 16 (DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21)
R. 33 (Legal persons – beneficial owners)	R. 18 (Shell banks)
SR. VII (Wire transfer rules)	R. 19 (Other forms of reporting)
	R. 21 (Special attention for higher risk countries
	R. 22 (Foreign branches & subsidiaries)
	R. 24 (Regulation, supervision and monitoring)
	R. 25 (Guidelines & Feedback)
	R. 27 (Law enforcement authorities)

R. 30 (Resources, integrity and training)
R. 31 (National co-operation)
R. 32 (Statistics)
R. 34 (Legal arrangements – beneficial owners)
R. 37 (Dual criminality)
R. 39. Extradition
SR. VI (AML requirements for money/value transfer
services)
SR. VIII (Non-profit organisations)
SR. IX (Cross Border Declaration & Disclosure)

5. The following table is intended to assist in providing an insight into the level of risk in the main financial sector in Saint Lucia:

Table 3: Size and Integration of the jurisdiction's financial sector

		Banks	Other Credit Institutions	Credit Unions	Insurance **	TOTAL
Number of institutions	Total #	6	5	15	26	52
Assets	US\$	2,081,330,560.57	121,566,369.3 9	147,909,303.25	183,083,293. 46	2,533,889,5 26.67
Deposits	Total: US\$	1,310,408,921.93	72,504,134.49	103,895,571.79	0	1,486,808,6 28.22
	% Non- resident	% of deposits 9.72%			***	10%
International	% Foreign- owned:	% of assets	% of assets	% of assets	% of assets	% of assets
Links	#Subsidi aries abroad	4	4	0	21	29

^{*} The figure is for 5 Credit Institutions as we are yet to receive the financial statements of the other company.

Foreign CARICOM Insurers - 17 companies

Local Insurers - 5 companies

III. SUMMARY OF PROGRESS MADE BY SAINT LUCIA

6. Saint Lucia has reported that the recommendation to amend the Insurance Act to insert an expressed provision for the sharing of information with Authorities and the recommendation to amend the definition of cash in the POCA were approved by Cabinet and were tabled and read at the sitting of Parliament on 27th August 2013. It is anticipated that the amendments shall go through their second reading at the next sitting of Parliament. The FIA has been strengthened

^{**} The figure for insurance is for 19 companies as we are yet to receive the financial statements of the other companies.

^{***} Foreign Insurers outside CARICOM - 4 companies

with the addition of one (1) analyst and one (1) financial investigator and reportedly has been conducting training and compliance meetings for stakeholders.

Table 4: Orders and their values

CASE TYPE	NO OF CASES	VALUE OF PROPERTY
Cash Seizures	11	1, 270,819.90
Forfeiture Orders	2	\$364, 145.42
Restraint Orders	14	8, 783, 498.00
Production orders	6	N/A

Table 5: Provisional measures

Production Orders	Director's	Confiscation Cases (Under Investigations)		Potential Value of confiscation cases
5	945	29	1	15, 045, 845.00

7. For **Recommendation 4** the first (<u>Saint Lucia 1st Follow-up Report</u>) and the seventh (<u>Saint Lucia's 7th Follow-up Report</u>) follow-up reports are relevant here. As noted at paragraph 6 above, the legislative amendment that is necessary to close this minor deficiency is actually awaiting passage by the Jurisdiction's Legislature.

IV CONCLUSON

8. The May 2013 Plenary decision for Saint Lucia to be advanced to biennial updates and to report to the Plenary every two (2) years commencing from this November 2013 Plenary is relevant here.

CFATF Secretariat October 21, 2013

Forty Recommendations	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ¹	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
Legal systems 1.ML offence	PC		The MLPA should be amended to	The recommended action has been
1.IVIL OHCIEC		AML legislation has not been effectively utilized and therefore could not be measured and the Palermo Convention needs to be ratified. The lack of effective investigations and prosecutions also negatively impacts the effectiveness of the AML legislation and regime. Self- laundering is not covered by legislation. Conviction of a predicate offence is necessary All designated categories of offences not included	 The MLPA should be allielled to specifically provide that the offence of money laundering does not of necessity apply to persons who committed the predicate offences in light of the lacuna that presently exists in the law. The offence of self-money laundering must be distinct from the offences which are predicates. The country needs to ensure that the widest possible categories of offences as designated by Convention are included within the MLPA and are definitively defined by legislation. 	implemented under the POCA. Addressed in the MLPA No. 8 of 2010. See sections 28 and 29 and 30 of the Act. See: Section 2 of the Act - schedule 1 of the Act - Amendments to Criminal Code to - increase criminal offences see too Counter-Trafficking Act No. 7 of 2010 A money laundering charge shall be laid before the end of October 2011. Gaps closed

¹ These factors are only required to be set out when the rating is less than Compliant.

2.ML offence – mental element and corporate liability	LC	Lack of effectiveness of sanctions which are also considered not dissuasive		We have worked with UKSAT (Security Advisory Team) who has trained the DPP's office and the FIA on prosecution, and has provided training for the judiciary which will facilitate effective prosecution. As a result, there are two pending cases before the Court for confiscation. Gaps closed
3. Confiscation and provisional measures	PC	Lack of effective implementation as there are no prosecutions noted for ML. Additionally there are other avenues such as forfeitures and confiscations which are effective measures which have not been utilized and thus add to the lack of effectiveness in implementation of the AML regime.	Despite the lack of ML prosecutions there have been convictions for predicate offences and the reasons elucidated are not attributed to a lack of restraint action nor from lack of action by the DPP to suggest a less than effective attempt at obtaining a court sanction. Notwithstanding, the St. Lucian authorities have not demonstrated that there is effective implementation of these measures. The absence of any confiscation speaks to legislation that has never been tested.	Provisions for civil forfeiture and specific asset tracing measures have been incorporated in the POCA. See section 49 A to 49 C of the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act No. 4 of 2010. Proceedings have been initiated under POCA with respect to cash seizure. Three cases are pending before the Courts for cash forfeiture. Further, The two confiscation matters are pending before the Courts. One matter is scheduled for hearing in November. Saint Lucia continues to demonstrate the effective implementation of the legislation by the following:

	No. of Cash Seizures: 5 Total Value of Cash Seizures: XCD350, 316.00
	No. of Production Orders: 2 No. of Restraint Orders presently: 10 Total Value of Restraint Orders: XCD7, 749, 498.00
	No. of Confiscation Cases under investigation: 21
	No. of Confiscation matters presently before the Court: 2
	Potential Benefit/Value of Confiscation Matters under investigation: XCD10, 445, 845.00
	Updated
	No. of Cash Seizures: 7 Total Value of Cash Seizures: XCD740, 028.00
	No of Cash Forfeiture Applications Pending: 6
	No of Forfeiture: 1 Total Value \$135,000.00
	No. of Production Orders: 2

	No of Directors Request: 120
	No. of Restraint Orders presently: 10 Total Value of Restraint Orders: XCD7, 749, 498.00
	No. of Confiscation Cases under investigation: 22
	No. of Confiscation matters presently before the Court: 1
	Potential Benefit/Value of Confiscation Matters under investigation: XCD10, 745, 845.00
	Updated as at 13 th February 2013
	No. of Cash Seizures: 10 Total Value of Cash Seizures: XCD1, 062, 555.90
	No. of Forfeiture Orders: 2 Total Value \$364, 145.42
	No. of Production Orders: 5
	No. of Directors Request: approximately 643
	No. of Restraint Orders presently: 13 Total Value of Restraint Orders: XCD7, 749, 498.00

	,	,	
			No. of Confiscation Cases under investigation: 28
			No. of Confiscation matters presently before the Court: 1
			Potential Benefit/Value of Confiscation Matters under investigation: approximately XCD12, 245, 845.00
			Updated as at August 2013
			No. of Cash Seizures: 11 Total Value of Cash Seizures: XCD1, 270,819.90
			No. of Cash Forfeiture Orders: 2 Total Value \$364, 145.42
			No. of Production Orders: 6
			No. of Directors Request: approximately 945
			No. of Restraint Orders presently: 14 Total Value of Restraint Orders: XCD8, 783, 498.00
			No. of Confiscation Cases under investigation: 29
			No. of Forfeiture Matters before the Court: 1 Value:XCD50, 000.00

Preventive measures				No. of Confiscation matters presently before the Court: 1 Potential Benefit/Value of Confiscation Matters under investigation: approximately XCD15, 045, 845.00
4. Secrecy laws consistent with the Recommendations	PC	There are no bank secrecy laws which impede the sharing of information. The minor shortcoming arises from the reluctance of entities to share certain information in practice. There is no obligation which requires all categories of financial institutions to share information among themselves for purposes of AML/CFT	• The Insurance Act and the Registered Agents and Trustee Act do not have expressed provision for the sharing of information. While in practice, this has not prevented them from sharing with authorities, for the avoidance of doubt it is recommended that expressed provisions in the respective pieces of legislation together with the requisite indemnity for staff members making such disclosures.	The Revised Insurance Act Section 20 which is tabled before Parliament for its second reading allows for the sharing of information. The Revised Act has been forwarded to a special legislative sub-committee of parliament, where representative stakeholders were required to provide comments. It is expected that the FSSU shall provide its response before
				the next sitting of Parliament. See also Registered Agent and Trustee Licensing Act Section 26 which specifically provides for disclosure to any regulatory body and other governments under MLAT to the Financial Sector Supervision Unit (FSSU) and by a Court order. See section 37of the MLPA No. 8 of 2010 provides adequate protection

				engaged in other business submit reports on suspicious activities. See also section 16 (2) of the MLPA 2010. Cabinet has decided to review the Insurance Bill prior to re-submission to Parliament. The Bill is currently being re submitted to Cabinet by the Drafting Department. It is anticipated that the Bill shall be passed by Parliament on or before November 2012. Updated as at August 2013 The recommendation to amend the Insurance Act to insert an expressed provision for the sharing of information with Authorities was approved by Cabinet and is tabled to be read at the next sitting of Parliament on 27th August 2013.
5.Customer due diligence	NC	The MLPA is significantly deficient. These essential criteria are required to be in the law and are not, and even where they are, it does not adequately meet the standard of the essential criteria.	 The St. Lucian authorities should consider either amending the MLPA or giving enforceable means to the Guidance Notes issued by the FIA. The MLPA should be amended to include provisions that would require 	Section 17 of the MLPA No. 8 of 2010 has addressed the customer due diligence requirements as provided for by Recommendation 5 in particular: • Regulations have been designed to implement a general threshold of

The MLPA does not create a legal obligation to undertake CDD above designated threshold, carrying out occasional wire transfers covered by SR VII, where the financial institution has doubts about the veracity of the adequacy of previously obtained customer identification data.

There is no legal obligation to carry on due diligence on an ongoing basis

There is no legal obligation to carry out enhanced due diligence for higher risk categories of customers / business relationships

All financial institutions do not apply CDD to existing customers on the basis of materiality and risk and also do not conduct due diligence on such existing relationships at appropriate times.

There is no legal obligation which requires financial institutions to obtain information on the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship.

There is no legal obligation which requires Customer Due Diligence information to be updated on a periodic basis.

all financial institutions to undertake CDD in the following circumstances:

- i. when performing occasional transactions above a designated threshold,
- ii. carrying out occasional transactions that are wire transfers under SR VII and
- iii. where the financial institutions is in doubt about the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained customer identification data:
- iv. on an ongoing basis;
- v. based on materiality and risk at appropriate times.
- Consistent practices should be implemented across all sectors for dealing with AML/CFT issues. The awareness levels of obligations under the MLPA are different within the sub-sectors. Supervisory oversight by the several regulators is also not consistent.
- The MLPA should be amended so that financial institutions and persons engaged in other business activity should be required to ensure that documents, data or information collected under the CDD process are kept up-to-date and relevant by undertaking routine reviews of existing records.

EC\$25,000.00/US\$10,000 for CDD.

- There are specified threshold for various categories of entities including financial institutions casinos, jewellers, accounts, lawyers, and other DNFBPs when engaged in cash transactions and financial transactions carried out in single operations or in several operations that appear to be linked.
- It requires a financial institutions that suspects that transactions relating to money laundering or terrorist financing to:
 - Seek to identify and verify the identity of the customer and the beneficial owner.
 - Make a STR to the FIA.
- Financial institutions are required by the MLPA No. 8 of 2010 to:
 - carry on due diligence on an ongoing basis, over the designated threshold and otherwise once a suspicion is aroused that a transaction

- The MLPA should be amended so that financial institutions are required to:
 - Undertake customer due diligence (CDD) measures when they have doubts about the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained customer identification data.
 - ii. Undertake customer due diligence (CDD) measures when there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, regardless of any exemptions or thresholds that are referred to elsewhere under the FATF Recommendations.
 - iii. Take reasonable measures to understand the ownership and control structure of the customer and determine who the natural persons are that ultimately own or control the customer. This includes those persons who exercise ultimate effective control over a legal person or arrangement.
 - iv. Obtain information on the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship.
 - v. Ensure that documents, data or information collected under the CDD process are kept up-to-date and relevant by undertaking reviews of existing records,

- may be related to money laundering and terrorism
- carry out enhanced due diligence for higher risk categories of customer/business relationships.
- Obtain information on the purpose and intended nature of the business relationship.
- Financial institutions.

The Revised GN makes provision for the carrying out of CDD on an ongoing basis. The GN also made provision for the carrying out of enhanced CDD for high risk categories of customers/business relationships.

It addresses the making of an STR when the institution is unable to obtain satisfactory evidence or verification of identity of customer/beneficial owners.

It highlights with particular clarity the procedure to be adopted for non face-to-face customers, indicating that no less a diligence procedure should be adopted non face to face business transaction, security transactions and life insurance business.

vi. vii.	particularly for higher risk categories of customers or business relationships. provide for performing enhanced due diligence for higher risk categories of customer, business relationship or transaction Provide for applying reduced or simplified measures where there are low risks of money laundering, where there are risks of money laundering or terrorist financing or where adequate checks and controls exist in national system respectively. Provide for applying simplified or reduced CDD to customers resident in another country which is in compliance and have effectively implemented the FATF recommendations.	See section 17 of the MLPA No. 8 of 2010. The Guidance Notes has been given the force of law by being implemented as Regulations. SI 55 of 2010. The requirement that all financial institutions should undertake customer due diligence is provided for under section 17 (1) of the MLPA. In addition section 17 (2) of the MLPA provides for a financial institution or a person engaged in other business activity to ensure that any document, date or information collected under the customer due diligence process is kept up-to-date and relevant by undertaking routine reviews of existing records particularly for high risk categories of customers or business relationships.
		Further section 17 (4) provides for measures to be taken with respect to the veracity and adequacy of information, suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, understanding the ownership and control structure of the customer, obtaining information on the purpose and intended nature of the business etc

	1				
6.Politically exposed persons	NC	There are no provisions in the law, guideline or industry practice which completely satisfies the essential criteria. The financial sector does not have procedures in place where senior management approval is required to open accounts which are to be operated by PEPs, as defined by FATF. The financial sector does not have ongoing enhanced CDD for PEPs. Majority of financial institutions do not utilise a risk based approach to AML/CFT issues Major gate keepers do not deal with the subject of PEPS pursuant to ECCB guidelines. Insurance companies & Credit Unions do not treat with the issue	i.	and procedures and appropriate risk management systems;	 Section 18 of the MLPA No. 8 of 2010 provides for PEPS. Revised GN has introduced measures for dealing with PEPs. In particular it provides for senior management approval to open accounts which are to be operated by PEPs. Ongoing enhanced CDD for PEPs Money Laundering (Prevention) Guidance Notes) Regulations SI 55 of 2010, Money Laundering (Prevention) Guidance Notes) Regulations SI 55 of 2010, under paragraphs 84 to 88. for low risk and high risk indicators including PEPs. In addition PEP has been defined under the Money Laundering (Prevention) Guidance Notes) Regulations SI 55 of 2010, (GN) wherein it includes senior officials in the executive, legislative, administrative, military or judicial branches of a foreign government, senior official of a major foreign political party. Steps have been taken to ratify the 2003 International Convention on Corruption, wherein Cabinet has agreed to its ratification. Steps are currently being taken to determine the

						steps and procedure in facilitating that process.
						Amended Draft Regulations, with proposed amendments circulated for review and finalization.
						On the 25th of November 2011 Saint Lucia acceded to the United Nations Convention against Corruption.
						Detailed Amendments regarding PEPs have been made to the Money Laundering Guidance Notes and have also been included in the draft Guidance Notes for DNFBPs and have consequently been finalised and signed by the Honourable Attorney General.
						The Money Laundering (Prevention) Guideline for Other Business Activity) Regulations and the amendment to the Money Laundering (prevention) Guidance Notes (Amendment) Regulations have been finalized and published respectively by Statutory Instrument 83 of 2012 and 82 of 2012.
						Gaps Closed
7.Correspondent banking	NC	There are no provisions in the law, guideline or practice which	Commercial required to:	Banks sl	hould be	Has been addressed in the Revised GN.

		completely satisfies the essential criteria. Commercial banks policies and procedures are deficient. There are no measures in place to: assess a respondent institution's AML/CFT controls to determine whether they are effective and adequate, document the AML/CFT responsibilities of each institution ensure that the respondent institution is able to provide relevant customer identification data upon request	i. ii.	assess a respondent institution's AML/CFT controls to determine whether they are effective and adequate; document the AML/CFT responsibilities of each institution; ensure that the respondent institution is able to provide relevant customer identification data upon request.	These recommendations have been met by Saint Lucia in that under section 17 of the MLPA it is a requirement that financial institutions and persons engaged in other business activity shall immediately obtain the information required under the CDD process. It is also required that adequate steps be taken in satisfaction of identity data etc from intermediaries and third parties upon request. Section 94 (j) of the Money laundering Guidance Notes stipulates that enhanced due diligence shall be conducted be commercial banks in ascertaining whether the bank has established and implemented sound customer due diligence, anti-money laundering policies and strategies and appointed a Compliance Officer (at managerial level) to include obtaining a copy of its AML policy and guidelines. Gaps closed
8.New technologies & non face-to-face business	NC	There are no provisions in the law, guideline or practice which completely satisfies the essential criteria. There is no framework which mitigates against the risk of misusing technology in ML/TF. Financial institutions are not required to conduct on going CDD on business	• F	Legislation should be enacted to brevent the misuse of technological developments in ML / TF. Financial institutions should be equired to identify and mitigate AML/CFT risks arising from undertaking non-face to face business ransactions or relationships. CDD done on conducting such business	Recommendation 8 has also been addressed in the Revised GN paragraph 90-101. Financial services providers offering services over the internet are required to implement procedure to identify its client similar to those adopted for personal interview clients. Provision for non face to face business is contained at paragraphs 90 – 93 of the

undertaken o	n non	face	to	face	should be undertaken on an on-going	Money Laundering Guidance Notes. It should also be noted that a breach of the
customers					basis.	Guidance Notes constitutes an offence
						under section 2 (2) of the Regulations.
						Consequently, the enactment of Guidance
						Notes provides a mechanism/regime for the misuse of technological developments in
						ML/TF.
						Technological developments outside of those posed by Internet related transactions
						have been specifically addressed at
						paragraph 98 where it speaks to other
						products emerging technology include: smartcards and e-cash.
						Amended Draft Regulations, with
						proposed amendments circulated for review and finalization
						Teview and imanzation
						Detailed Amendments regarding new
						technologies and non-face to face
						business have been made to the
						Money Laundering Guidance Notes and have also been included in the
						draft guidance notes for DNFBPs
						and have consequently been
						finalised.
						The Money Laundering (Prevention)
						Guideline for Other Business
						Activity) Regulations and the
						amendment to the Money
						Laundering (prevention) Guidance Notes (Amendment) Regulations
						have been finalized and published
						respectively by Statutory Instrument
						83 of 2012 and 82 of 2012.

				Gaps closed
9.Third parties and introducers	PC	Legislation or other enforceable means do not address CDD requirements where business is introduced by third parties or intermediaries. Adequate steps are not taken by insurance companies to ensure that copies of identification data and other relevant documentation relating to CDD requirements will be made available from the third party upon request without delay. Financial institutions do not implement procedures to satisfy themselves that third parties are regulated and supervised.	 Financial institution should be required to immediately obtain from third parties information required under the specified conditions of the CDD process. Financial institutions should be required to take adequate steps to satisfy themselves that copies of identification data and other relevant documentation relating to CDD requirements will be made available from the third party upon request without delay. Financial institutions should be obligated to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendation 23, 24 and 29 and has measures in place to comply with the CDD requirements set out in Recommendations 5 and 10. The competent authority for dealing with AML/CTF matters should circulate to all financial institutions lists e.g. OFAC, UN. The financial institutions should be required to incorporate into their CDD the use of 	These issues have been addressed by the MLPA section 17 and GN. Section 17 (a) provides for the reliance on intermediaries and third parties to perform and undertake aspects of Customer Due Diligence. Gaps closed

			assessments / reviews concerning AML/ CFT which are published by international / regional organisations.
10.Record keeping	NC	No requirement to maintain records of domestic and international transactions for at least five years whether or not the relationship has been terminated No requirement to maintain identification data, account files and business correspondence for at least five years following the termination of a relationship No requirement to make available customer and transaction records and information on a timely basis. No requirement to transaction records which are retained must be sufficient to permit reconstruction of individual transactions, so as to provide, if necessary, evidence for prosecution of criminal activity. No requirement for financial institutions to maintain records of business correspondence for at least five (5) years following the termination of an account or business relationship or longer if requested by a competent authority in specific cases upon proper authority.	 The MLPA should be strengthened to provide that the records to be kept are both domestic and international and also that such records must be sufficient to permit reconstruction of individual transactions so as to provide, if necessary, evidence for prosecution of criminal activity. The MLPA should be strengthened to provide that financial institutions should maintain records of business correspondence for at least five years following the termination of an account or business relationship (or longer if requested by a competent authority in specific cases upon proper authority). The provisions in both the POCA and MLPA should create a statutory obligation and a corresponding offence for instances where information is not maintained in a form which enables the competent authority to retrieve the information on a timely basis. Even though the various pieces of information may be available, the timely ability to reconstruct the transaction or sufficient evidence to procure a prosecution may be impeded. The MLPA No. 8 of 2010 contains a provision under section 16(1) to establish and maintain transaction recorded for both domestic and international transactions for a period 7 years after the completion of the transaction record. The minimum retention period according to section 16(7) of the MLP No. 8 of 2010 is: (a) If the record relates to the opening of an account is 7 years after the day on which the account is closed. (b) if the record relates to the renting of a safety deposit box ceases to be used, or in any other case a period of 7 years after the day on which the transaction recorded for both domestic and international transactions for a period 7 years after the completion of the transaction recorded for both domestic and international transactions for a period 7 years after the completion of the transaction of an account is 7 years after the completion of the opening of an account is 7 years after the day on which the

				purpose of assisting the investigation and prosecution of a suspected money laundering offence. The act also makes it an offence under section 16(9) for the failure of a financial institution to comply with this section. Recommendations have been fully met
11.Unusual transactions	NC	A legal obligation does not exist for financial institutions to pay special attention to complex, unusual or large transactions. Financial institutions do not document findings on the background and purpose of complex, large or unusual transactions There are no procedures which would require financial institutions to keep the findings on the background and purpose of all complex, unusual store such information to enable it to be retrievable by the competent authorities or auditors.	 Financial institutors should be encouraged to develop various examples of what would constitute suspicious, unusual and complex transactions. This should be disseminated to staff to make them become aware of such transactions. Internal reporting procedures should also be initiated to generate reports for review and appropriate action to be taken and ultimately to develop typologies for each type / sector of the financial sector. There should be legal obligation for financial institutions to report such transactions which the institution deems to be suspicious to the FIA as a suspicious transaction The MLPA and POCA should specifically provide that all documentation relating to the background and purpose of a transaction should be retained for a similar period of 7 years. 	The MLPA makes provision in section 16(1)(1) and (m) for financial institutions to report complex, unusual or large transactions. The definition of transaction record under section 2 of the MLPA has been extended to include all business correspondence relating to the transaction, all documents relating to the background and purpose of the transaction. Paragraph 31 of the GN provides for the mandatory attention to be given by financial institutions to all complex, unusual or large business transactions, or unusual patterns of transactions, whether completed or not and to insignificant but periodic transactions which have no apparent economic or lawful purpose. There is an obligation for financial institutions to report large complex and unusual transactions to the FIA pursuant to section 16 of the MLPA.

			In particular financial institutions are required to establish and maintain a record that indicates the nature of the evidence obtained. Section 156 of the Money Laundering Guidance Notes stipulates that "the Compliance Officer should be well versed in the different types of transactions which the institution handles and which may give rise to opportunities for money laundering. Examples are set out in Appendix A, these not intended to be exhaustive. Further the roles and responsibilities of the Compliance Officer are stated under section 44 of the Money laundering Guidance Notes. These include inter alia the requirement to develop various examples of suspicious/unusual transaction etc and the need to organise training sessions for staff on various compliance related issues etc The recommendation in relation to the obligation for financial institutions to perform enhanced due diligence have been prescribed by the guidelines in that section 2 of the MLPA indicates what constitutes a transaction record and as such pursuant to section 16 (1) a financial institution is obligated and mandated to examine the background for the purposes of reporting to the FIA in writing. Amended Draft Regulations, with proposed amendments circulated for review and finalization.
--	--	--	--

					Detailed Amendments regarding unusual transactions have been made to the Money Laundering Guidance Notes and have also been included in the draft guidance notes for DNFBPs and have consequently been finalised. The Money Laundering (Prevention) Guideline for Other Business Activity) Regulations and the amendment to the Money Laundering (prevention) Guidance Notes (Amendment) Regulations have been finalized and published respectively by Statutory Instrument 83 of 2012 and 82 of 2012.
					Gaps closed
12.DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11	NC	No requirement for DNFBPs to undertake CDD measures when: They have doubts as to the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained customer identification data. Transaction is carried out in a single operation or in several operations that appear to be linked Carrying out occasional transactions in relation to wire transfers in the circumstances covered by the Interpretative Note to SR VII.	•	Deficiencies identified for all financial institutions as noted in Recommendations 5, 6, 8-11 in the relevant sections of this report are also applicable to listed DNFBPs. Implementation of the specific recommendation in the relevant sections of this report will also apply to listed DNFBPs. Though lawyers are aware of the potential vulnerabilities in processing transactions without doing customer due diligence, it is not mandatory for them to make any reports with respect	Refer to comments made under Recommendations 5, 6, 8-11. See R24 in relation to CDD and STRs for the Legal Profession. See also sections 15, 16 and 17 of the MLPA. The MLPA provides by virtue of section 6 for the FIA to undertake inspections and audits to ensure AML compliance by the DNFBPs. Specific guidelines are being drawn up with respect to DNFBP's and shall be

There is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, regardless of any exemptions or thresholds that are referred to elsewhere under the FATF Recommendations.

Entering relationship with customer (whether permanent or occasional, and whether natural or legal persons or legal arrangements) and verify that customer's identity using reliable, independent source documents, data or information.

No requirement for DNFBPs to undertake CDD measures (when a person is acting on behalf of another person) to verify the identity and the authorization of mandatory of that person.

No obligation under MLPA to verify the legal status of legal person or legal arrangement.

No threshold amount is addressed in the MLPA.

No legislation exits to permit compliance with Special Recommendation

VII against Financing of Terrorism.

No requirement to conduct ongoing due diligence on the business relationship

No requirement for financial institutions to ensure that documents,

to PEPs, no face to face businesses, 3rd party referral and cross border banking relationships for suspect FT activities where the offence of FT has not been criminalised.

finalised shortly for review and publication.

These Guideline have been drafted, approved and shall be published in October 2011.

The Specific draft guidelines with respect to DNFBPs have been finalised for further review by a Drafting Consultant prior to publication.

Detailed Guidance Notes regarding DNFBPs have been made accepted and finalised.

The Money Laundering (Prevention) Guideline for Other Business Activity) Regulations and the amendment to the Money Laundering (prevention) Guidance Notes (Amendment) Regulations have been finalized and published respectively by Statutory Instrument 83 of 2012 and 82 of 2012.

Further Amendments have been proposed to the drafting consultant with respect to the Legal Profession Act, Chapter 2.04 to provide for the duty to report.

These amendments amongst others having been drawn up by the drafting consultant are being reviewed by the Legislative Drafting Department for

data or information collected under the CDD process is kept up-to-date and relevant	onward submission to Cabinet for approval and thereafter to the Parliament.
No requirement for simplified CDD measures to be unacceptable in specific higher risk scenarios	It is anticipated that the amendment to the Legal Profession Act shall be finalised on or before November
There are no rules or regulations requiring DNFBPs to comply with the essential criteria of Recommendation 6.	2012. Gaps Closed
There are no rules covering the proposals of Recommendation 8, and requiring financial institutions DNFBPs to take steps to give special attention to the threats posed by new technologies that permit anonymity	
No requirement for financial institutions to have policies and procedures in place to address any specific risks associated with non-face-to-face business relationships or transactions.	
There are no rules requiring DNFBPs to pay particular attention to relationships with persons in countries that do not apply the FATF Recommendations.	
☐ There are no rules to ensure that the financial institutions are informed of Concerns about the weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries.	

		There are no counter-measures for countries that do not apply the FATF Recommendation, or apply them to an insufficient degree. Lawyers for the most part claim legal professional privilege and a denial of awareness s to the prescribed STR form		
13.Suspicious transaction reporting	NC	Essential criteria 13.1 -3 should be in law / regulations - this is not the case. The reporting obligation does not apply to all designated categories of predicate offences under Recommendation 1. There is no legally enforceable obligation for financial institutions to report transactions which are attempted but not completed regardless of the value of the transaction. STRs are not generated by financial institutions when they should because there is neither any guidance from the FIA or in their policies and procedures as to what constitutes a suspicious transaction.	The POCA and MLPA should be amended to provide that: i. Financial institution should report to the FIA (a suspicious transaction report – STR) when it suspects or has reasonable grounds to suspect that funds are the proceeds of a criminal activity. At a minimum, the obligation to make a STR should apply to funds that are the proceeds of all offences that are required to be included as predicate offences under Recommendation 1. ii. The filing of a STR must apply to funds where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or they are suspected to be linked or related to, or to be used for terrorism, terrorist acts or by terrorist organisations or those who finance terrorism. All suspicious transactions, including attempted	Section 16 (1) (c) and 19 of the MLPA requires the reporting of STR where there are reasonable grounds to suspect that a transaction involves proceeds of a prescribed offence. An amendment has been done to broaden the category of predicate offences. See Recommendation 1. The MLPA further extends the category of predicate offences to all criminal conduct triable either way or on indictment by the definition of "relevant offence" under section 2. The MLPA and the Anti-Terrorism Act section 31 and 32 also provides under section 19 for the filing of STRs where there are reasonable grounds to suspect that the transaction or attempted transaction involves the proceeds of criminal conduct regardless of the amount of the transaction.

			transactions, should be reported regardless of the amount of the transaction.	Additionally, training continues to all financial institutions in identifying an STR and the procedure for its reporting. The gaps discerned by the examiners have been closed.
14.Protection & no tipping-off	PC	There is no specific protection from both criminal and civil liability for breach of any restriction on disclosure of information imposed by contract or by any legislative, regulatory or administrative provision, if they report their suspicions in good faith to the FIA. There is no prohibition against financial institutions, their directors, officers and employees (permanent and temporary) from "tipping off" the fact that a STR or related information is being reported or provided to the FIA.	 The indemnity should expressly include MLROs and Compliance Officers. Additionally it should explicitly include legal and civil liability which may arise. The protection should be available where there is a suspicion or a reasonable belief even though the underlying criminal activity is unknown and whether a criminal activity has occurred. The MLPA should be amended to make it an offence for MLROs, Compliance Officers, directors and employees who tip off that a STR has been filed. 	Protection and No Tipping-off are addressed in section 16(2), (3) and section 33 of the MLPA. Further, section 37 of the MLPA makes provision for criminal and civil liability protection against directors or employees of financial institutions. Section 38 of the MLPA creates the offence of "tipping off" whereby a person who obtains information in any form as a result of his or her connection with the Authority shall not disclose that information to any person except as far as it is required should any such information be wilfully disclosed, an offence is committed and the offender can be fined up to \$50,000.00.

Section 16 (3) of the MLPA deals
Section 10 (3) of the WEI 11 deals
specifically with MLROs wherein it
states that a financial institution or a
person enagaged in other business
activity makes any report pursuant to
subsection 1, the financial institution
or a person enagaged in other
business activity and the employees,
staff, directors, owners or other
representatives of the financial
institution or person engaged in other
business activity shall not disclose to
the person who is not subject of the
report to any one else - etc
The offence is therefore created
under section 16 (4) of the MLPA
where the fine imposed is not less
than \$100,000 and not exceeding
\$500,000.
The prohibition to prohibit tipping
off of disclosures that are in the
process of being made has been
addressed under section 16 (4)
Section 16 (3) of the MLPA covers
suspicion and investigation under
section 33 of the MLPA. Consequently
tipping off is prohibited for disclosures
that are in the process of being made, as
a suspicion has to be formulated first.
Proposed amendments have been
suggested to the Consultant drafter to

					deal specifically with tipping off that "are in the process of being made". Draft Amendments to deal with tipping off have been made by the consultant drafter and have been reviewed by the Legislative Drafting Department and shall be presented to Cabinet for approval and subsequently brought before Parliament. Pending
15.Internal controls, compliance & audit	PC	Provisions are contained in the law but all financial institutions do not comply. There is no requirement to appoint a compliance officer at the management level and on going due diligence on employees. Where the financial institutions do have policies and procedures there are deficiencies e.g. do not provide guidance on treatment of unusual, complex and suspicious transactions. The general requirements are contained in documents which have no enforceability for non compliance. There is no obligation for financial institutions and persons engaged in other business activity to establish ongoing employee training to ensure	•	The provisions of the MLPA should be extended so that all financial institutions and other persons engaged in other business activity should appoint a Compliance Officer at the management level who must be a fit and proper person, approved by the Board of Directors of the financial institution with the basic functions outlined in the law. The MLPA guidance notes should be expanded to require that internal policies and procedures provide for the Compliance Officer to have access / report to the board of directors.	The Guidance Notes (GN) and paragraph 39 deals specifically with the appointment of a compliance officer at management level. The GN have been expanded to require that internal policies and procedures provide for the compliance officer to have access/report to the Board of Directors. It must also be noted that paragraph 38 of the GN provides for the appointment of a reporting Officer/Compliance Officer, making it imperative that the Officer reports directly to the Board of Directors. The GN in Part III 170.1 provides for mandatory ongoing due diligence of the compliance officer and other employees. The MLPA legislates for employee due diligence under section 16(1)(o).

		that employees are kept informed of new developments, including information on current ML and FT techniques, methods and trends; and that there is a clear explanation of all aspects of AML/CFT laws and obligations, and in particular, requirements concerning CDD and suspicious transaction reporting. There is no obligation for financial institutions and persons engaged in other business activity to document and implement screening procedures for employees on an on-going basis.			Recommendations by examiners have been fully implemented.
16. DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21	NC	No obligation to establish and maintain internal procedures, policies and controls to prevent Terrorist Financing.	•	St. Lucian authorities may wish to consider amending the MLPA to require DNFBPs to establish and maintain internal procedures, policies and controls to prevent Money laundering and Terrorist Financing.	The MLPA provides for the FIA to undertake inspections and audits to ensure AML compliance by the DNFBPs under section 6 of the Act.
		No obligation to communicate internal procedures, policies and controls to prevent Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing to their employees.	•	St. Lucian authorities may wish to consider amending the MLPA to ensure that DNFBPs communicate internal procedures, policies and controls, develop appropriate compliance management	In addition to the internal reporting procedures currently under section 19 of the MLPA, we are currently drafting guidelines for the DNFBPs, which guidelines will provide for internal procedures and policies to control AML/CFT those guidelines will also make provision for employers and
		None of the DNFBPs interviewed has ever filed a STR to the FIA.		arrangements and put in place screening procedures to ensure high standards when hiring employees. Such amendments should also require	employees alike to satisfy AML/CFT obligations. See further Recommendation 24.
		No obligation to develop appropriate compliance management arrangements at a minimum the		DNFBPs to give special attention to business relations and transactions with persons (including legal entities	Further, section 16 (1) (o) (i) mandates the development of programmes against

		designation of an AML/CFT compliance officer at the management level. No obligation to put in place screening procedures to ensure high standards when hiring employees. No obligation to give special attention to business relations and transactions with persons (including legal entities and other financial institutions) in jurisdictions that do not have adequate systems in place to prevent or deter ML or FT. No obligation to put effective measures in place to ensure that financial are advised of concerns about weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries. Sanctions are not effective, proportionate and dissuasive	 St. Luc conside ensure effective dissuasi persons 	ive to deal with natural or legal covered by the FATF mendations that fail to comply national AML/CFT	money laundering and terrorist financing. Gap significantly closed In addition section 2 (2) of the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Guidance Notes) Regulations creates a sanction for non compliance with AML/CFT requirements` These Guideline have been drafted, approved and shall be published in October 2011, as regulations. The Specific draft guidelines with respect to DNFBPs have been finalised for further review by a Drafting Consultant prior to publication.
17. Sanctions	PC	The full ranges of sanctions (civil, administrative as well as criminal) are not available to all supervisors. The lack of enforcement of criminal sanctions negatively impacts the effectiveness of the imposition of criminal sanctions.	adminis	Il range of sanctions (civil, strative and criminal) should e available to all supervisors	Since the last Mutual Evaluation exercise we have increased the level of enforcement, in that regard we have revoked licences for noncompliance and have appointed judicial managers to entities in jeopardy.

The Revised F	SRA Act has been
forwarded to a	special legislative
sub-committee	of parliament, where
representative	stakeholders were
required to pro	ovide comments. It is
expected that t	he FSSU shall provide
its response be	fore the next sitting of
Parliament.	
It is anticipated the	nat upon the coming
into force of the	
FSRA under secti	on 40 other
administrative fur	nctions shall be
available to the A	
Authority may re-	quire a regulated entity
to pay a late	
	scribed amount where
that person fails to	
	r other information
required to be file	•
	nder this Act or any
enactment specifi	
	interval set out in, or
within the time re	•
by that enactment	
(b) provide comp	
information with	
	formation required to
be filed by that re	
	Act or any enactment
specified in Sched	dule 1;
or	
	at is payable under
section 39 at the p	prescribed
time.	1 , 11
	le a return, provide
information or pa	y the fee

				under subsection (1) is deemed to be a contravention for each day during which the failure continues." The FSRA has been passed by Parliament and s in effect. Cabinet has decided to review the Insurance Bill prior to re-submission to Parliament. The Bill is currently being re submitted to Cabinet by the Drafting Department. It is anticipated that the Bill shall be passed by Parliament on or before November 2012. It is also noted that on the 20th October 2012 the International Tax Cooperation Act No 6 of 2012 was passed. This act allows for the sharing of information in relation to tax matters.
18. Shell banks	NC	There is no requirement for financial institutions to satisfy themselves that respondent financial institutions in a foreign country do not permit their accounts to be used by shell banks.	• The MLPA guidance note should be amended to require financial institutions to ensure that their correspondent banks in a foreign country do not permit accounts to be used by shell banks.	Paragraph 94 (m) of the GN issued by FIA has been amended to require financial institutions to ensure that their correspondent banks in a foreign country do not permit accounts to be used by shell banks. Recommendation has been satisfied.
19. Other forms of reporting	NC	There has been no consideration on the implementation of a system for large currency transaction reporting.	• St. Lucia is advised to consider the implementation of a system In this regard St. Lucia should include as part	The MLPA makes provision via section 21 for all cash transactions above EC\$25,000 to complete a source of

There is no enforceable requirement	of their consideration any possible	funding declaration in a prescribed
for financial institutions to implement	increases in the amount of STRs filed,	form.
an IT system for reporting currency	the size of this increase compared to	
transactions above a specified	resources available for analyzing the	Section 16 (1) (1) makes it mandatory
threshold to the FIA.	information.	that upon the request of the FIA all
threshold to the Thi.	information.	currency transaction above EC
		\$25,000.00 shall be reported to the FIA.
		\$25,000.00 shall be reported to the FIA.
		W 4 5 1 111 14 1
		Further, it should be noted that under
		section 16 (8) of the MLPA it is
		mandatory that a financial institution or
		a person engaged in other business
		activity to record all transactions.
		Proposals are ongoing for increasing the
		staff at FIA for analyst and financial
		investigators to deal with analysing all
		STRs.
		It has been agreed that the staff of the
		FIA should be increased. The FIA is
		currently preparing for the interviewing
		of persons shortlisted. The Office is
		currently being reconfigured to
		accommodate the increase in staff.
		Discussions as to the feasibility of the
		implementation of a system where all
		(cash) transactions above a fixed
		threshold are required to be reported to
		the FIA have been initiated and is
		ongoing.
		See further Recommendation 26 & 30.
		See farther Recommendation 20 & 30.

20. Other NFBP & secure	PC		More on-site inspections are required.	There has been consideration of the implementation of a system by the FIA which is financially restrictive. Gap closed The Government of St. Lucia,
transaction techniques		Lack of effectiveness of procedures which have been adopted for modern secure techniques	 The Money Remittance Laws should be enacted. Standard provisions regarding complex and unusually large transactions should be imposed such that DNFBP are mandated to do enhanced due diligence and modern secured transaction techniques should be scheduled under the MLPA. 	As a result of the Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA) has commenced an exercise of regulating the Designated Non- Financial Business Practices (DNFBP) and it is intended that this process will allow for more effective regulation of that sector. The Money Services Business Bill will go through its remaining stages in Parliament on February 9 and 16, 2010. This Bill has been passed by Parliament and came into effect on the 3rd March 2010 as No 10 of 2010. It should be noted that most financial institutions provide an Internet Banking Service. This is not only restricted to account enquiries but account transfers and transfers to other agents such as Lucelec, Lime, Wasco.

Definition of transactions under the MLPA is not restricted and includes "Internet transactions" Provision for modern secure transaction techniques and enhanced due diligence for DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations' Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall commence August 2012.	 	
"Internet transactions" Provision for modern secure transaction techniques and enhanced due diligence for DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		Definition of transactions under the
Provision for modern secure transaction techniques and enhanced due diligence for DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
transaction techniques and enhanced due diligence for DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		"Internet transactions"
transaction techniques and enhanced due diligence for DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
enhanced due diligence for DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
DNFBPs are included in section 16 of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
of the MLPA. A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
A schedule of training shall commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		of the MLPA.
commence for other NFBPs from January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		A 1 11 C 1 11
January 2012. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		January 2012.
Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		Oncita Increations/Paviasy of
Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:- Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
done with respect to the following: Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
Seven (7) car dealers Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		done with respect to the following:
Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		done with respect to the following.
Ten (10) Insurance Companies Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		Seven (7) car dealers
Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		Seven (7) car dealers
Inspections: All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		Ten (10) Insurance Companies
All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		, (1)
All Six (6) Commercial Banks. A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		Inspections:
A Consultant is being retained to assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		1
assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		All Six (6) Commercial Banks.
assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
Unions, other Lending Agencies, other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall		
Investment Brokers which shall		
commence August 2012.		
		commence August 2012.

Detailed Amendments regarding unusual large transactions have been made to the Money Laundering Guidance Notes. These have also been included in the draft guidance notes for DNFBPs to ensure enhanced due diligence and have consequently been finalised. The Money Laundering (Prevention) Guideline for Other Business Activity) Regulations and the amendment to the Money Laundering (prevention) Guidance Notes (Amendment) Regulations have been finalized and published respectively by Statutory Instrument 83 of 2012 and 82 of 2012. On site inspections continues to be undertaken by the FIA. Audits were done by the FIA with respect to Banks, Insurance companies, car dealers and jewelers. Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/ Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to seven (7) car dealers, five (5) jewellers and approximately 25 insurance agents and brokers. This process is ongoing. **Updated as at August 2013**

					The FIA has continued audits and training for car dealers, credit unions, and insurance and insurance agents. Compliance meeting continue to be held quarterly.
21. Special attention for higher risk countries	NC	There are no obligations which require financial institutions to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons including legal persons and other financial institutions from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF recommendations. There are no effective measures in place to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries. There is no obligation with regard to transactions which have no apparent economic or visible lawful purpose, the background and purpose of such transactions should, as far as possible, be examined and written findings should be available to assist competent authorities and auditors. There is no obligation that where a country continues not to apply or insufficiently applies the FATF recommendations for St. Lucia to be	•	The FIA should be required to disseminate information about areas of concern and weaknesses in AML/CFT systems of other countries. Financial institutions should also be required as a part of their internal procedures to review these reports. Financial institutions and persons engaged in other business activities should be required to apply appropriate counter-measures where a country does not apply or insufficiently applies the FATF recommendations.	The Revised GN makes reference to regions that do not have proper AML/CFT systems in place. Therefore all countries that are not referred to should be considered as higher risk countries, for which high enhance due diligence should apply. Paragraph 147 of the GN (regulation) provides high risk indicators and directs the procedure to be adopted in identifying NCCTs. Reference is made to paragraph 147 of the MLPA and Anti-terrorism regulations wherein by virtue of these regulations the FIA has disseminated information about areas of concern. Amended Draft Regulations, with proposed amendments circulated for review and finalization. Further the FIA has proactively disseminated information about areas of concern by forwarding the information to all financial institutions and to the FSSU now FSRA which has circulated the

		able to apply appropriate countermeasures.		information to the financial service sector. The information with respect to areas of concern has been circulated to all registered agents and trustees. These were forwarded by an Advisory Circular on the 9th February 2012 These shall also be forwarded to the Insurance Council, ECCB, Credit Union Department and the Bankers Association. The information with respect to areas of concern has been circulated to the Banker's Association and the
22. Foreign branches &	NC	There are no statutory obligations which require financial institutions to	The details outlined in the guidance note should be adopted in the MLPA	Insurance Council. These were forwarded by an Advisory Circular dated the 26th September 2012. The Revised GN reflects that foreign branches and subsidiaries of financial
subsidiaries		adopt consistent practices within a conglomerate structure. Although this is done in practice, given the vulnerabilities, it should be made a legal obligation. There are no enforceable means which require financial institutions to ensure that their foreign branches and	and applied consistently throughout the industry.	institutions observe AML/CFT standards consistent with St. Lucia Laws. The GN notes are published and have been given legislative enforceability. Gaps closed

		subsidiaries observe AML/CFT standards consistent with the home country. No requirement for financial institutions to inform their home supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because it is prohibited by the host country.		
23. Regulation, supervision and monitoring	NC (reflected as PC in the final mutual evaluatio nreport)	The effectiveness of the FIA is negatively impacted because awareness of the FIA and its role in AML/CFT matters is relatively low in some parts of the financial sector. The FIA has only recently attempted to provide written guidance to the sector and not all stakeholders are aware of the existence of the guidance notes.	St. Lucia should consider a registration or licensing process for money or value transfer service businesses.	The Government via the Money Services Business Act allows for the regulation and licensing of money and value transfer services. Gaps closed
		The regulatory and supervisory measures which apply for prudential purposes and which are also relevant to money laundering is not applied in a similar manner for anti-money laundering and terrorist financing purposes, except where specific criteria address the same issue in the FATF methodology. Money or value transfer service businesses are not licensed		
24. DNFBP - regulation, supervision and	NC	No supervision of the DNFBPs	St. Lucian authorities may wish to consider regulating DNFBPs and	We are currently drafting guidelines for the DNFBPs, which guidelines will

monitoring	No supervisory regime that ensures	strengthen the relationship between	provide for internal procedures and
momtoring	they are effectively implementing the	the FIA and DNFBPs.	policies to control AML/CFT those
	AML/CFT measures required under		guidelines will also make provision for
	the FATF Recommendations	• The Legal Profession Act needs to be	employers and employees alike to
	No monitoring by Don Association	re-visited with respect to the	satisfy AML/CFT obligations.
	No monitoring by Bar Association.	monitoring and sanctions that may be	
		applied by the Bar Association.	The lack of a Bar Association
			secretariat makes information
		• Additionally, the Association needs	dissemination difficult. For years now
		funding, its own secretariat office and	the Bar Association has not existed with
		other technical resources so as to	a very strong structure. There are
		decrease its reliance upon the	however association meetings although
		Registrar of the Court.	poorly attended. The most effective communication tool for reaching the
		Mara facus also made to be alread	Attorneys is via their email as all
		 More focus also needs to be placed upon continuing legal education of 	Attorneys are part of an email
		members and implementing an	circulation.
		AML/CFT policy component into the	
		Code of Ethics.	In that regard, we have undertaken to
			introduce members at a Bar Association
		• The concept of legal professional	meeting MLPA and Terrorism
		privilege also needs to be put in	financing legislation and issues.
		context if lawyers are to be expected	
		to report STRs and the	Additionally we have decided to use the
		recommendations which outlines,	email which is most effectively used by
		good faith, high standards and	all counsel to circulate email to members on their continuous
		competent counterparts must be	obligations for customer due diligence.
		factored into these provisions.	obligations for customer due diffigence.
			These Guideline for DNFBP s have
			been drafted, approved and shall be
			published in October 2011, as
			regulations.

The Specific draft guidelines with respect to DNFBPs have been finalised for further review by a Drafting Consultant prior to publication. Detailed Guidance Notes regarding DNFBPs have been made, accepted and finalised and are expected to be passed. The Money Laundering (Prevention) Guideline for Other Business Activity) Regulations been finalized and published respectively by Statutory Instrument 83 of 2012. Members of the Legal Profession would also be guided by the provisions of the DNFBP's Guidance Notes. Further Amendments have been proposed to the drafting consultant with respect to the Legal Profession Act, Chapter 2.04 to provide for the duty to report. These amendments amongst others having been drawn up by the drafting consultant are being reviewed by the Legislative Drafting Department for onward submission to Cabinet for approval and thereafter to the Parliament. It is anticipated that the amendment to the Legal Profession Act shall be finalised on or before November 2012.

			It is to be noted the lawyers have already been scheduled to the MLPA and are obligated to adhere to the provisions of that Act.
25. Guidelines & NC Feedback	The guidance notes issued by the FIA does not give assistance on issues covered by relevant FATF recommendations FIA does not provide feedback to the financial institutions on STR filed and FATF best practices	 The guidance notes issued by the FIA should be circulated to all stakeholders. Consideration should be given to the FIA to providing regular feedback to financial institutions and other reporting parties who file Suspicious Transactions Reports. The authorities should consider reviewing the level of involvement of the FIA within the financial community, though there have been some interaction, there is clearly a need to provide additional seminars, presentations, guidance and advice to financial institutions and other reporting parties. 	The Revised GN makes provision for acknowledging receipt of the STRs and providing feedback reports to parties who file STRs. This will be achieved by using special reference numbers or identification codes, to protect the identity of the person being investigated. The receipt of STRs are being acknowledged by the FIA. Currently the logistics of feedback are being considered by the FIA. Currently, quarterly meetings are held with compliance officers in relation to filed STR's, generally. Further, there is also specific feedback in relation to a matter where there is a likelihood of prosecution and/or further investigations. Gaps closed In addition to the number of onsite inspections, training workshop conducted by the FIA, the FIA has also

				embarked on a number of news paper articles. The Office of the Attorney General shall also issue an annual publication, which first publication shall be published in March 2013 wherein articles are written to sensitise readers on AML/CFT matters.
Institutional and other measures				
26. The FIU	PC	There is no systematic review of the efficiency of ML and FT systems. Periodic reports produced by the FIA are not published; also they do not reflect ML trends and activities. A number of reporting bodies are yet to receive training with regard to the manner of reporting. Some stakeholders were unaware of a specified reporting form.	 St Lucian Authorities should move quickly and pass the Prevention of Terrorism Act. This will certainly help to strengthen the AML / CFT framework of the Country. Consideration should be given to the establishment of clear and unambiguous roles in the FIA. The authorities should consider giving the Board of the Financial Intelligence Authority the power to appoint the Director and staff without reference to the Minister. The authorities should consider reviewing the level of involvement of the FIA within the financial community, though there have been some interaction, there is clearly a need to provide additional seminars, presentations, guidance and advice to financial institutions and other reporting parties. 	The Anti-Terrorism Act was brought into effect in December 2008. The Anti-Terrorism (Guidance Notes) Regulation - SI 56 of 2010 was published on the 26th May 2010 and is in effect. A breach of which constitutes an offence, liable to a fine not exceeding \$1 million. A new staffing initiative providing for increased staff to the FIA should allow for (1) an effective and systematic review of the ML and FT systems. In the meantime ongoing reviews continue of foreign and domestic banks and credit unions. (2) Increased training to the various financial institutions

	and reporting bodies.
	Section 4(5) of the MLPA gives the Board of the FIA the power to appoint the Director without being subject to the approval of the Minister.
	Under sections 5, 6, 7 and 8 of the MLPA 2010 the functions, powers etc are provided for.
	In addition the section 4 (5) of the MLPA 2010 is being amended by deleting and substituting the following: The Authority shall appoint a Director and such other general
	support personnel as the Authority considers necessary on such terms and conditions as the Authority may determine. The Money Laundering Prevention (Amendment) Act has been passed by at the last sitting of Parliament in February 2011.
	Two additional financial investigators have been appointed to the FIA.
	Budgetary provisions have been made for the appointment of a Deputy Director, analyst and Legal Officer.
	Provision has been made for two additional financial investigators from the Customs and Excise Department.

				It is anticipated that one financial investigator and an additional analyst shall be attached to the FIA on or before the 30th September 2012. These officers prior to being assigned to the FIA shall be required to undergo the requisite Know Your Employee to ensure that the incoming persons are of the highest integrity. The two additional officers (one investigator and one analyst) to be assigned to the FIA shall now take effect on the 1st of March 2013. Updated as at August 2013 As of March 2013 the Police Department assigned two officers to the FIA, one as an analyst and the other as a financial investigator.
27. Law enforcement authorities	NC	No legislation or other measures have been put in place to allow for the postponement or waiver the arrest of suspected persons when investigating ML or seizure of cash so as to identify other persons involved in such activity. Investigation structure not effective Low priority given to ML and FT crime by the Police, there has been no prosecution to date.	 Greater priority should be given to the investigation of ML / TF cases by the Police and the DPP's Office. It is recommended that a Financial Investigation Unit be set up as part of the Police Force to investigate money laundering, terrorist financing and all other financial crimes. The necessary training should be provided to Officers who will staff this unit 	We have worked with UKSAT (Security Advisory Team) who have provided training the DPP's office and the FIA in prosecution matters and who have also provided training for the judiciary to assist in the facilitation of effective prosecution. As a result there are two pending cases before the court for confiscation.

		Investigative structure mechanism is ineffective – unable to ensure police did its function property	The investigative powers of FIA has been enhanced in ensuiring that there is now a designate law enforcement authority with responsibility for ensuring the MT and TF offences are investigated. An MOU for AML/CFT has been prepared to enhance inter agency cooperation among the Police, FIA, Customs and Inland Revenue Department. The purpose of the MOU is to enhance inter agency cooperation with regard to investigation and prosecution. It has been agreed that the staff of the FIA should be increased. The FIA is currently preparing for the interviewing of persons shortlisted. The Office is currently being reconfigured to accommodate the increase in staff. Recommendation is now fully compliant.
28. Powers of competent authorities	LC	The FIA is not able to take witness statements for use in investigations FIA cannot search persons or premises which are not financial institutions or businesses of financial nature	Section 4(4) to the MLPA preserves the power of officers of the FIA who are Police officers, Customs officers and Inland Revenue officers. The concomitant effect of this is that they retain the powers afforded to them under the Police Act, Criminal Code, Customs Act and Income Tax Act which allows the taking of witness statements for use in investigations the search of any premises.

				Gaps Closed
29. Supervisors	PC	Effectiveness of the ability of supervisors to conduct examinations is negatively impacted by the differing levels of the scope of the examinations and the training of staff. There is no obligation which gives the FIA adequate powers to monitor and ensure compliance by financial institutions with requirements to combat money laundering and terrorist financing consistent with the FATF recommendations.	St. Lucia should expedite the implementation of the SRU which will assist in harmonizing supervisory practices and may lead to more effective use and cross training of staff.	The Financial Services Regulatory Authority Bill will be going through its final stages in Parliament in February, 2010. Therefore establishing the single Regulatory Unit. The supervisors have recently received the benefit of training from the FIA on Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism compliance procedures. Notwithstanding the fact that the SRU has not been implemented, currently, the FSSU is responsible to uphold that mandate in harmonizing the supervisory practices. Ordinarily supervisors are required to monitor and ensure compliance procedures which includes AML/CFT. The training received will ensure that supervisors are possessed of the specific knowledge required to ensure effective compliance of AML/CFT. Under the MLPA FIA, section 67 910 (h) has been mandated with the specific function to inspect and conduct audits of financial institutions to ensure compliance with the Act. The FSRA has been passed by Parliament and is in effect.

				The office of the FSRA occupies new premises and officers of the FSRA operate as such and not as officers under the old regime of the FSSU. The Board of the FSRA has been appointed and has commenced operations. The Board's first meeting was convened on the 21st February 2013. Notwithstanding, the supervisory role has always been undertaken and executed by the trained staff of the FSSU whose role and responsibility was and continued to be harmonization and supervisory practices.
30. Resources, integrity and training	NC	The FIA is not sufficiently staffed and trained to fully and effectively perform its functions The Law enforcement agencies are not sufficiently staffed and trained to fully and effectively perform their functions. The independence and autonomy of the Authority as is presently structured could be subjected to undue influence and or interference Inability to maintain trained staff Inability to maintain ongoing staff training The FIA and the other competent authorities are lacking in the necessary technical and human	 The FIA should be staffed with at lease two dedicated Analyst. St Lucian Authorities may wish to consider sourcing additional specialize training for the staff, particularly in financial crime analysis, money laundering and terrorist financing. The authorities should consider providing additional resources to law enforcement agencies since present allocations are insufficient for their task. All of these entities are in need of additional training not only in ML / TF matters but also in the fundamentals, such as investigating and prosecuting white-collar crime. 	A new staffing initiative providing for increased staff to the FIA should allow for (1) an effective and systematic review of the ML and FT systems. In the meantime ongoing reviews continue of foreign and domestic banks and credit unions. (2) Increased training to the various financial institutions and reporting bodies.

resources to effectively implement AML/CFT policies and activities and prosecutions	Adequate training in ML and TF should be sourced for Judges Prosecutors and Magistrates so as to broaden their understanding of the various legislations.	The UKSAT (Security Advisory Team) has provided training for the DPP's office and the FIA on prosecution, and has also provided training for the judiciary which will facilitate effective prosecution. UKSAT (now ECFIAT) has organised training for Magistrate and Prosecutors for September 2010. It has been agreed that the staff of the FIA should be increased. The FIA is currently preparing for the interviewing
		of persons shortlisted. The Office is currently being reconfigured to accommodate the increase in staff. With the new staff structure one person has been identified to be an Analyst
		There is always ongoing training for personnel dealing with ML/FT such Cyber Crime investigation which has a financial crime investigation aspect as well. Two investigators have received training in investigating techniques to assist in the investigation of crime.
		Training was also held for Magistrate in money laundering and terrorism financing in January 2011.
		Training for FIA personnel was undertaken in July 2011 in financial analysis sponsored by Egmont.

	A cash seizure seminar for prosecutors and financial investigators was held in August 2011.
	Training has been identified in techniques of financial investigation and another for intelligence gathering analysis scheduled for October and December 2011 respectively
	The FIA currently has in place one financial analyst.
	On the 26 th and 27 th of March 2012 ECFIAT and ECSC JEI held a mock trial confiscation program for judges, prosecutors and financial investigators.
	It is anticipated that one financial investigator and an additional analyst shall be attached to the FIA on or before the 30th September 2012.
	Two Officers of the FIA did a Tactical Analysis Training intensive programme in May 2012.
	In September 2012 two other officers attended a Tactical Analysis Training programme in Antigua.
	In December 2012, the FIA provided training on customer due diligence, risks, and red flag issues for FSRA staff

	particularly in reference to the Insurance Industry.
	Also in January 2013, the FIA completed training with the rest of the Insurance companies.
	A second inspection and awareness program was also undertaken by the FIA with respect to car dealers and jewellers.
	In January 2013, the FSRA facilitated a training workshop with a consultant from ECCB wherein part of the training was with respect to on site inspections which component also dealt with AML/CFT.
	The two additional officers (one investigator and one analyst) to be assigned to the FIA shall now take effect on the 1st of March 2013.
	Quarterly meetings are held by FIA with the compliance officers from the financial institutions.
	In addition, Officers from the FIA are assigned to specific groupings to liaise with compliance officers to assist and make recommendations on their respective AML/CFT systems.

31. National co-operation NC	There are no effective mechanisms in place to allow policy makers, such as the FIA, FSSU and other competent authorities to cooperate and where appropriate, coordinate domestically with each other. Coordination and cooperation amongst agencies is ad-hoc and inconsistent.	•	Consideration should be given to the establishment of an Anti- Money Laundering Committee. The Committee should be given the legal authority to bring the various authorities together regularly to develop and implement policies and strategies to tackle ML and TF. The Committee should also be tasked with providing public education on issues of ML and TF.	A White Collar Crime Task Force was established in 2008 implemented which brings together high level persons from the Police, FIA, DPP, Attorney General's Chambers, Customs, Inland Revenue, for the main purpose of co operating and co-ordinating domestically to effectively develop and implement AML/CFT policy. The committee meets regularly.
	No provision for competent authorities to effectively develop and implement policies and activities for AML/CFT.	•	St Lucia may wish to consider establishing a multilateral interagency memorandum between the various competent authorities. This would enable them to cooperate, and where appropriate, coordinate domestically with each other concerning the development and implementation of policies and activities to combat ML and TF. Consideration should be given to developing a process that would allow for a systematic review of the efficiency of the system that provide for combating ML and FT.	More exposure has been given to members of the international fora to develop their appreciation for AML/CFT issues. Additionally a committee has been created to monitor St. Lucia's effective implementation of the 40 and 9 recommendations, and to continue police its legislation and policy to ensure that it remains effective in its ability to deal with AML/CFT issues. The committee has met frequently since its implementation in March 2009 and has proposed major changes to the current MLPA. The committee has advised on the implementation of policy to strengthen the AML/CFT framework. Arrangements have been made for FIA and Police to execute an MOU within the next two weeks, which shall assist

	and facilitate cooperation between the two entities.
	The MOU between the FIA and the
	Police has been signed and since then
	the two agencies have collaborated on a number of investigations.
	The FSSU is a member of the Oversight Committee for CFATF.
	Committee for CFATF.
	A joint MOU has been signed by law
	enforcement stakeholders to provide a mechanism for cooperation and
	coordination.
	Currently, the exercise by the CFATF
	Committee in completing the SIP templates provides and allows for a
	systematic review of Saint Lucia's
	overall ML and FT system in combating money laundering and terrorism
	financing. It allows for the
	identification of the weaknesses and
	strengths in the system.
	Currently an MOU between FIA and
	Inland Revenue Department has been executed. An MOU between Customs
	and Excise Department has also been
	executed.
	Further, the MLPA has been amended
	to also allow for the dissemination of information to the Customs and Excise
	information to the Customs and Excise

					Department, Inland Revenue Department. Bimonthly meetings are convened with the Central Intelligence Unit, Drug Squad, Custom Intelligence Unit and Special Branch. These meetings commenced in January 2013.
32. Statistics	NC	Legislative and Structural framework does not exist and there are no cases relative to terrorism as a predicate offence. Thus no statistical data was available They do not keep comprehensive statistics and these are not disseminated or acknowledged as received There are no reviews of the effectiveness of the systems for combating money laundering and terrorist financing. There are no reviews of the effectiveness of the systems for combating money laundering and terrorist financing. Could not be applied as there is no data where no ML prosecutions have been conducted	•	Consideration should be given towards putting in place a comprehensive framework to review the effectiveness of the system to combat ML and TF on a regular and timely basis. The policy targets proffered by the AG/Minister of Justice should be implemented particularly: i. The training of the prosecutorial agencies particularly in the areas noted above for which they are wholly deficient ii. The funding of internal programmes to improve the quality of technical and human resources iii. The dissemination of information on AML/CFT policies and activities for	The MLPA under section 5 and 6 (h) permits the FIA to review the effectiveness of the systems for combating money laundering and terrorist financing. The UKSAT (Security Advisory Team) has provided training for the DPP's office and the FIA on prosecution, and has also provided training for the judiciary which will facilitate effective prosecution. As a result there are two pending cases before the court for confiscation. The FIA has increased the range of statistical data to include wire transfers which has been facilitated by an improved database and two persons have been designated to collect statistical data. See R 31 for MOUs between local authorities. It should be noted that the FSRA when passed legislates for an MOU to be

	implementation as internal policies.	executed between the FIA and the FSSR.
iv	v. A structured system which promotes effective national cooperation between local authorities.	Section 6 (h) provides for the FIA to inspect and conduct audits of a financial institution or a person engaged in other business activity to ensure. This in self allows for some review of the system.
		Currently, the exercise by the CFATF Committee in completing the SIP templates provides and allows for a systematic review of Saint Lucia's overall ML and FT system in combating money laundering and terrorism financing. It allows for the identification of the weaknesses and strengths in the system. That in effect will be a review, which upon completion can be referred on a regular bases to improve on the system and further develop Saint Lucia's system.
		Currently FIA maintains a data base for statistics reflecting but not limited to STRs, received and disseminated, money laundering investigations, property frozen, restrained, seized and mutual legal assistance, foreign requests made, foreign request received, wire transfers, types of suspected offences, nationality of suspects, reporting institutions etc.
		Onsite Inspections/Review of Policies and Procedures/

		Consultations/ Training have been done with respect to the following:-
		Seven (7) car dealers
		Ten (10) Insurance Companies
		Inspections:
		All Six (6) Commercial Banks.
		*
		Inspections with respect to insurance companies are usually executed in
		one day; the banks over a period of three days and the car dealers half a
		day.
		It is intended that updates shall be obtained every six months from
		agencies with whom the FIA would have interacted.
		A Consultant is being retained to
		assist with the inspection of Credit Unions, other Lending Agencies,
		other Credit Institutions and Investment Brokers which shall
		commence August 2012.
		Updated Statistics from the FIA:
		No. of Cash Seizures: 7 Total Value of Cash Seizures: XCD740,
		028.00

No of Cash Forfe Pending: 6	iture Applications
rending. 0	11
	1
No. of Productio	n Orders: 2
No of Directors I	Request: 120
	Orders presently: 10 estraint Orders: XCD7,
	on Cases under
No. of Confiscation before the Court:	on matters presently
	Value of Confiscation vestigation: XCD10,
No. of STRs from Institutions: 41	n Financial
No of STRs from activities – 15	other business
	indering cases under
No. of mutual leg FIA:- 3	gal assistance sent by
	Total Value of Re 749, 498.00 No. of Confiscati investigation: 22 No. of Confiscati before the Court: Potential Benefit Matters under inv 745, 845.00 No. of STRs from Institutions: 41 No of STRs from activities – 15 No. of money lau investigations:- 3 No. of mutual leg

 -	
	No of joint investigations and operations:- 1
	No of officers trained in specific areas of AML/CFT:- 3
	Statistical Information from the FSRA (FSSU):
	Data and detailes of ongoing training to stakeholders regarding reporting requirements:
	New reporting forms were introduced in order to maintain statistical information and monitor the business of international financial services representation conducted by licensees.
	A list of countries having strategic deficiencies in relation to AML/CFT was circulated to institutions in order to apply scrutiny when transacting business.
	Guidance Notes for International Mutual funds Act was Revised July 23, 2012.
	Data on the number, natures and outcomes of interventions at financial institutions and persons engaged in other business activities:

	 The licence of an Insurance Broker. was suspended due to insolvency. An Insurance Broker was asked to cease doing business since it was operating without a licence to solicit and negotiate insurance business. Hence, it was in breach of the Insurance Act. The company then applied to the Registrar to be licenced as an Insurance Broker. However, upon review of the application, the Registrar concluded that the application did not satisfy the conditions for registration and the application was denied. Subsequently, the company appealed to the Tribunal for the reversal of the decision of the Registrar. The matter was held and the Tribunal upheld the decision of the Registrar not to issue a broker's licence to the company.
	 Two (2) insurance companie are under Judicial Management The licenses of two (2) insurance brokers were cancelled

	- For the year 2011 five (5) Incorporated Cells (ICs) were cancelled. One IC was cancelled on March 22, 2011 and the remaining four were cancelled on September 9, 2011.
	Data on compliance failures identified by the regulatory examination programme:
	A number of companies did not submit audited financial accounts within the stipulated time.
	Data on the number of cases where sanctions have been applied:
	EC\$237,875 represents the amount collected with regard to entities which did not submit their accounts on time for year 2011.
	Updated as at 13 th February 2013
	No. of Cash Seizures: 10 Total Value of Cash Seizures: XCD1, 062, 555.90
	No. of Forfeiture Orders: 2 Total Value \$364, 145.42
	No. of Production Orders: 5

		No. of Directors Request: approximately 643
		No. of Restraint Orders presently: 13 Total Value of Restraint Orders: XCD7, 749, 498.00
		No. of Confiscation Cases under investigation: 28
		No. of Confiscation matters presently before the Court: 1
		Potential Benefit/Value of Confiscation Matters under investigation: approximately XCD12, 245, 845.00
		In November 2012 one individual was extradited pursuant to the Extradition Act and one was surrendered pursuant to the Backing of Warrant Act.
		Statistics from FIA for the period August 2012 and February 2013
		Processing of STRs: 65 SARs were filed, of which 16 are under investigation, 24 are pending and 25 have been closed; 6 were referred to the Police.

	Number of SARs received vs number referred to DPP: of the 65 SARS
	filed 0 were referred to the DPP for
	prosecution, however 6 were referred to
	the Police
	ML/FT investigations initiated: 2
	money laundering investigations were
	initiated during the period
	Details of ongoing training to stakeholders relative to manner of
	reporting.
	Efforts taken to ensure widespread
	awareness of specific STR reporting
	form.
	Torin.
	AML Compliance Meeting held in
	October 2012 with 12 Insurance
	Brokers.
	AML Compliance Meeting held in
	October 2012 with 6 Banks.
	AML Audit conducted in November
	2012 with 3 Jewellers.
	Compliance training was conducted
	with respect to 13 Insurance/brokers in
	November 2012.

					Compliance training was conducted with respect to 6Insurance/brokers in December 2012. Compliance training was conducted with respect to 5 Insurance/brokers in and 5 Banks in February 2013.
33. Legal persons – beneficial owners	PC	There are inadequacies and lack of transparency in collating and maintaining accurate information which negatively affects access to beneficial information Minor shortcoming in the transparency of trust deeds. Registered agents have to be compelled by court order to comply even at onsite visit by FSSU. Minor shortcoming in the transparency of trust deeds. Registered agents have to be compelled by court order to comply even at onsite visit by FSSU.	allows for time verifications of and ownership a legal persons a identification da iii. Recruitment of staff with th qualifications, to expertise or ex handling corpora iv. Legislative which mandate transparency co beneficial own control of legal p	easures: ing for the FT measures. abase that ely and easy type, nature and control of and customer ta. if additional are requisite training and experience in attematters. amendment es adequate incerning the are and persons. amendments esses the penalties and	All financial institutions, credit unions are now subject to regular and ongoing training on customer due diligence. The FIA is in the process of providing training on AML/CFT measures for: FSSU staff, Registrar of Companies, Co-operatives, Insurance, Registrar of International Business Companies, Registrar of International Trusts and Attorney General's Chambers. In March 2009, an automated system was introduced in Registry of Companies which allows for timely and easy verification of type nature, ownership and control of legal persons regulated by the Registrar of Companies. The database is up to date. The Companies Act of St. Lucia mandates the striking off the register a company that does not file annual

	vi. vii.	by the Registrars as well as the judiciary. Policy manuals that provide rules in relation to regular reporting to the Ministers, proper policing of companies, AML/CFT guidelines on detecting and preventing the use of legal persons by money launderers. An internal or external auditing regime which provides the necessary checks and balances for accuracy and currency of files. Operational independence of the Registrars.	returns. Those returns require amongst other things that information concerning beneficial ownership is disclosed. See R 4 in relation to Registered Agent and Trustee Licensing Act Section 26 which specifically provides for disclosure to any regulatory body other governments under MLAT to the FSSU and by a Court Order. With respect to Insurance companies when a party is applying to register all information can be obtained and is accessible under requests. The Pinnacle database is up to date. Article 5 of the Tax Information Exchange Agreement allows for the exchange of information. The Insurance Act has penalty provisions which allows for fines, desist, revoke, intervene in the operations of the company. An amendment dated 22nd October 2012 was passed with respect to the International Business Companies Act to provide for a valid certificate of compliance to be issued by the Director of Financial Services to IBCs licenced to undertake banking, insurance and or mutual fund business.
			mutual fund business.

34. Legal arrangements – beneficial owners	NC	No requirement to file beneficial ownership information Non disclosure of beneficial ownership to Registered Agents is enabled by the secrecy provision of the International Trusts legislation No obligation to disclose beneficial ownership information to the competent authorities without a warrant from the court or the FSSU stating the direct purpose of for the request to inspect individual file Trusts created within the sector are usually well layered so that beneficial ownership is not easily discerned	 It is recommended that St. Lucian Authorities implement measures to facilitate access by financial institutions to beneficial ownership and control information so as to allow customer identification data to be easily verified. Also, given that any compulsory power for the purpose of obtaining relevant information would have to originate from the exercise of the Court's powers or FSSU in auditing the Registered Agent, there appears to be no guarantees that the information would be provided. Notably, no attempts have been made via the Courts to instill this compulsory power. Hence, attempts at Court action is recommended as a means of improving the effectiveness of the FSSU to obtain relevant information
International Co- operation			
35.Conventions	NC	Palermo and Terrorist Financing Conventions have not been ratified. No Anti-Terrorism Act UNSCR not fully implemented.	 St. Lucia needs to sign and ratify or otherwise become a party to and fully implement the Conventions which relate particularly to the Palermo Convention, Terrorist Financing Convention, Suppression of FT and UNSCRs relating to terrorism. Implement the legal frameworks for these conventions – in particular, enact its Anti-Terrorism Act. The convention on trans national organised crime has been approved for ratification by Cabinet who have further advised on implementing legislation for the convention. The Convention is given the force of law through the enactment of the MLPA, Counter-Trafficking Act No. 7 of 2010 and the Criminal Code (Amendment) Act No. 2 of 2010.

	Cabinet has considered the Convention
	on Corruption for its ratification.
	The Anti-Terrorism Act has been
	implemented.
	impremented.
	Steps are being taken to have these
	conventions acceded to. It is
	anticipated that the instruments of
	accession shall be deposited on or
	before the end of November 2011.
	On the 18th November 2011 Saint Lucia
	acceded to the International Convention
	for the Suppression of Financing of
	Terrorism.
	On the 25th of November 2011 Saint
	Lucia acceded to the United Nations
	Convention against Corruption.
	Further Saint Lucia is already is a
	signatory to the Palermo Convention,
	having signed on the 26 th September
	2001.
	2001.
	In July 2012 Cabinet approved the
	accession and or ratification of the
	following conventions:
	International Comments of South
	International Convention for the
	Suppression of Terrorist Bombings.
	Convention on the physical Protection
	of Nuclear Material, International.

		Convention Against the Taking of Hostages.
		Protocol Supplementary to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft.
		Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International Civil Aviation.
		The Instruments have already been drawn up and are awaiting signing and depositing. This process should be completed within the next two weeks.
		Further, the Draft memoranda to Cabinet with respect to the following protocols and conventions, having been reviewed and finalised by the Honourable Minister for Legal Affairs are being considered by Cabinet for ratification and or accession and it is anticipated that the respective instruments with respect to these shall be deposited on or before November 2012:
		Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf.
		Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts

	against the Safety of Maritime Navigation. International Convention for the Suppression of Acts of Nuclear Terrorism. Convention on the Prevention and punishment of Crimes Against Internationally Protected Persons. Convention on the Marking of Plastic Explosives for the purpose of Identification. Amendment to the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material. It is noted, that although Saint Lucia is proactively attempting to prepare and deposit the releavant instruments with respect to all the applicable conventions and protocols, Saint Lucia having acceded to the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism on the 18th of November 2011 by virtue of Article 2 (2) of that convention has acceded to all the annexed conventions without reservation. Further, the Anti-Terrorism Act has incorporated by reference the provisions

	and consequently is domestically implemented.
	Gaps Closed
	The instruments of accession and or ratification have been drawn up and
	signed with respect to all the outstanding Conventions and Protocols. These were
	forwarded to be deposited and confirmation with respect to the
	depositing of one convention is awaited.
	Saint Lucia has accordingly acceded to and ratified the following Conventions
	and or Protocols:
	Protocol to the convention for the suppression of unlawful seizure of aircraft – 12th September 2012.
	Convention on the punishment of crimes against protected persons – 12th November 2012.
	International Convention for the
	suppression of terrorist bombings – 17th October 2012.
	International Convention for the suppression of Acts of Nuclear terrorism
	– 12th November 2012.
	Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material – 14th October 2012.

				Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International Civil Aviation – 12th September 2012.
				Convention Against the Taking of Hostages – 17th October 2012.
				Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf – 6th February 2013.
				Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for the Suppression for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of maritime Navigation 6th February 2013.
				Amendment to the Convention on Physical Protection of Nuclear Material - 8th November 2012.
				The following instrument has been deposited and confirmation is awaited.
				Convention on the Marking of Plastic Explosives for the purpose of identification.
36. Mutual legal assistance (MLA)	PC	The underlying restrictive condition of dual criminality is a shortcoming.	The underlying restrictive condition of dual criminality should be addressed.	Clear channels for communication have been identified and set up. All MLAT's by all agencies are channelled through the Attorney General's Chambers who is the Central Agency.
				Consideration is given to section 18 (2) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters

		The condition of dual criminality applies to all MLA requests including those involving coercive methods. No clear channels for co-operation.		Act, Cap 3.03 provides for the refusal of a requests where the conduct if it had occurred in Saint Lucia would not constitute an offence. Section 18 (3) also provides for the central authority to exercise its discretion where the conduct is similar in Saint Lucia. Importantly, Section 18 (5) allows for the Central authority to provides mutual legal assistance notwithstanding the provisions of section 18 (2) and 18 (3). Consequently, there is nothing prohibiting assistance where both countries criminalise the conduct underlying an offence. Technical differences do not prevent the provision of mutual legal assistance.
37.Dual criminality	NC	Dual criminality is a prerequisite and the request shall be refused if absent. The condition of dual criminality apply to all MLA requests including those involving coercive methods	The underlying restrictive condition of dual criminality should be addressed	Consideration is given to section 18 (2) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, Cap 3.03 provides for the refusal of a requests where the conduct if it had occurred in Saint Lucia would not constitute an offence. Section 18 (3) also provides for the central authority to exercise its discretion where the conduct is similar in Saint Lucia. Importantly, Section 18 (5) allows for the Central authority to provides mutual legal assistance notwithstanding the provisions of section 18 (2) and 18 (3).

			Consequently, there is nothing prohibiting assistance where both countries criminalise the conduct underlying an offence. Technical differences do not prevent the provision of mutual legal assistance. Gap closed
38.MLA on confiscation and freezing	LC	No formal arrangements for coordinating seizures, forfeitures, confiscations provisions with other countries	The Cabinet of Saint Lucia has agreed the ratification of the Palermo Convention and for it to be given the force of law which convention will assist in the formalising of arrangements for co-ordinating seizures, forfeitures, confiscations provisions with other countries. Mutual Assistance in Criminal (Matters) Act, CAP 3.03 in particular section 21 and particularly in relation the USA and the Mutual Assistance (Extension and Application to USA) Regulations. A formalised process has been established making the Attorney General's Chambers the Central Authority for the purposes of receiving and processing of requests for assistance under the MLPA and the Mutual Assistance in

				Criminal (Matters) Act, CAP 3.03 and other requests for criminal assistance. Steps are being taken to have these conventions acceded to. It is anticipated that the instruments of accession shall be deposited on or before the end of November 2011.
39.Extradition	NC	ML is not an extraditable offence	It is recommended that the St. Lucian Authorities consider legislative amendment to: Include money laundering, terrorism and terrorist financing as extraditable offences. Criminalize Terrorism as an additional offence.	The Extradition Act now includes money laundering, terrorism and terrorist financing as an extraditable offence by the Extradition (Amendment) Act No.3 of 2010, Money Gap closed
40.Other forms of cooperation	PC	Unduly restrictive condition which requires dual criminality. Several conventions are yet to be ratified No Anti-Terrorism Law No MOU has been signed with any foreign counterpart	 The underlying restrictive condition of dual criminality should be addressed. Provide mechanisms that will permit prompt and constructive exchange of information by competent authorities with non-counterparts 	In December 2008 St. Lucia implemented the Anti-Terrorism Act. The Cabinet of Saint Lucia has agreed to the ratification of the Palermo Convention and for it to be given the force of law. An MOU from FINTRAC (Canada FIU) has been received for execution. An MOU shall be signed between Saint Vincent and Saint Lucia's FIA.

	The MOU between Saint Vincent and Saint Lucia has been signed.
	An MOU between Taiwan and Saint Lucia is being considered.
	On the 18 th November 2011 Saint Lucia acceded to the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism.
	On the 25th of November 2011 Saint Lucia acceded to the United Nations Convention against Corruption.
	Further Saint Lucia is already is a signatory to the Palermo Convention, having signed on the 26 th September 2001.
	In July 2012 Cabinet approved the accession and or ratification of the following conventions:
	International Convention for the Suppression of Terrorist Bombings.
	Convention on the physical Protection of Nuclear Material, International.
	Convention Against the Taking of Hostages.
	Protocol Supplementary to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft.

		Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International Civil Aviation.
		The Instruments have already been drawn up and are awaiting signing and depositing. This process should be completed within the next two weeks.
		Further, the Draft memoranda to Cabinet with respect to the following protocols and conventions, having been reviewed and finalised by the Honourable Minister for Legal Affairs are being considered by Cabinet for ratification and or accession and it is anticipated that the respective instruments with respect to these shall be deposited on or before November
		2012: Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf. Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for
		the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation.
		International Convention for the Suppression of Acts of Nuclear Terrorism.

	Convention on the Prevention and punishment of Crimes Against Internationally Protected Persons.
	Convention on the Marking of Plastic Explosives for the purpose of Identification.
	Amendment to the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material.
	It is noted, that although Saint Lucia is proactively attempting to prepare and deposit the releavant instruments with respect to all the applicable conventions and protocols, Saint Lucia having acceded to the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism on the 18th of November 2011 by virtue of Article 2 (2) of that convention has acceded to all the annexed conventions without reservation.
	Further, the Anti-Terrorism Act has incorporated by reference the provisions of the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism and consequently is domestically implemented.
	Gaps Closed

	The instruments of accession and or
	ratification have been drawn up and
	signed with respect to all the outstanding
	Conventions and Protocols. These were
	forwarded to be deposited and
	confirmation with respect to the
	depositing of one convention is awaited.
	Saint Lucia has accordingly acceded to
	and ratified the following Conventions
	and or Protocols:
	Protocol to the convention for the
	suppression of unlawful seizure of
	aircraft – 12th September 2012.
	Convention on the punishment of crimes
	against protected persons – 12th
	November 2012.
	Trovelliber 2012.
	International Convention for the
	suppression of terrorist bombings – 17th
	October 2012.
	International Convention for the
	suppression of Acts of Nuclear terrorism
	– 12th November 2012.
	Convention on the Physical Protection of
	Nuclear Material – 14th October 2012.
	Convention on the Suppression of
	Unlawful Acts relating to International
	Civil Aviation – 12th September 2012.
	Tan September 2012.

				Convention Against the Taking of Hostages – 17th October 2012. Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf – 6th February 2013. Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for the Suppression for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of maritime Navigation 6th February 2013. Amendment to the Convention on Physical Protection of Nuclear Material - 8th November 2012. The following instrument has been deposited and confirmation is awaited. Convention on the Marking of Plastic Explosives for the purpose of identification.
Nine Special Recommendations				
SR.I Implement UN instruments	NC	UNSCR not fully implemented. Anti-Terrorism Act not yet enacted. No laws enacted to provide the requirements to freeze terrorists' funds or other assets of persons	• St. Lucia needs to sign and ratify or otherwise become a party to and fully implement the Conventions which relate particularly to the Palermo Convention, Terrorist Financing	See R35. The Anti –Terrorism Act has been implemented and given the force of law.

		Protocol Supplementary to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft.
		Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International Civil Aviation.
		The Instruments have already been drawn up and are awaiting signing and depositing. This process should be completed within the next two weeks.
		Further, the Draft memoranda to Cabinet with respect to the following protocols and conventions, having been reviewed and finalised by the Honourable Minister for Legal Affairs are being considered by Cabinet for ratification and or accession and it is anticipated that the respective instruments with respect to these shall be deposited on or before November 2012:
		Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf.
		Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation.

	International Convention for the
	Suppression of Acts of Nuclear
	Terrorism.
	Convention on the Prevention and
	punishment of Crimes Against
	Internationally Protected Persons.
	,
	Convention on the Marking of Plastic
	Explosives for the purpose of
	Identification.
	identification.
	Amendment to the Convention on the
	Physical Protection of Nuclear Material.
	Filysical Flotection of Nuclear Material.
	It is noted that although Coint I wais is
	It is noted, that although Saint Lucia is
	proactively attempting to prepare and
	deposit the releavant instruments with
	respect to all the applicable conventions
	and protocols, Saint Lucia having
	acceded to the International Convention
	for the Suppression of Financing of
	Terrorism on the 18th of November
	2011 by virtue of Article 2 (2) of that
	convention has acceded to all the
	annexed conventions without
	reservation.
	Further, the Anti-Terrorism Act has
	incorporated by reference the provisions
	of the International Convention for the
	Suppression of Financing of Terrorism
	and consequently is domestically
	implemented.
	impremented.
	Gaps closed
	Caps crosed

SR.II Criminalise terrorist financing	NC	Terrorist financing is not criminalized as the anti terrorism act whilst passed by parliament is not yet in force. No practical mechanisms that could be considered effective	The government needs to ratify the Conventions and UN Resolutions and establish the proper framework to effectively detect and prevent potential vulnerabilities to terrorists and the financing of terrorism.	See R35. On the 26th May 2010, The Anti-Terrorism (Guidance Notes) Regulations was published by virtue of SI 56 of 2010 and given the force of law. Further, it should be noted that these Guidelines should be read in conjunction with the Guidance Notes with respect to Money Laundering. Steps are being taken to have these conventions acceded to. It is anticipated that the instruments of accession shall be deposited on or before the end of November 2011. On the 18th November 2011 Saint Lucia acceded to the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism. On the 25th of November 2011 Saint Lucia acceded to the United Nations Convention against Corruption. Further Saint Lucia is already is a signatory to the Palermo Convention, having signed on the 26th September 2001. In July 2012 Cabinet approved the accession and or ratification of the following conventions:

	International Convention for the
	Suppression of Terrorist Bombings.
	Convention on the physical Protection
	of Nuclear Material, International.
	Convention Against the Taking of
	Hostages.
	Protocol Supplementary to the
	Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft.
	Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International
	Civil Aviation.
	The Instruments have already been
	drawn up and are awaiting signing and
	depositing. This process should be completed within the next two weeks.
	Further, the Draft memoranda to Cabinet with respect to the following
	protocols and conventions, having been
	reviewed and finalised by the
	Honourable Minister for Legal Affairs are being considered by Cabinet for
	ratification and or accession and it is
	anticipated that the respective instruments with respect to these shall
	be deposited on or before November
	2012:
	Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the
	suppression of unlawful Acts Against

		the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on
		the Continental Shelf.
		Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for
		the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime
		Navigation.
		International Convention for the
		Suppression of Acts of Nuclear Terrorism.
		Convention on the Prevention and
		punishment of Crimes Against Internationally Protected Persons.
		Convention on the Marking of Plastic
		Explosives for the purpose of Identification.
		Amendment to the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material.
		It is noted, that although Saint Lucia is proactively attempting to prepare and
		deposit the releavant instruments with
		respect to all the applicable conventions and protocols, Saint Lucia having
		acceded to the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of
		Terrorism on the 18th of November
		2011 by virtue of Article 2 (2) of that convention has acceded to all the
		annexed conventions without
		reservation.

	Further, the Anti-Terrorism Act has incorporated by reference the provisions of the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism and consequently is domestically implemented.
	Gaps Closed The instruments of accession and or ratification have been drawn up and signed with respect to all the outstanding Conventions and Protocols. These were forwarded to be deposited and confirmation with respect to the depositing of one convention is awaited. Saint Lucia has accordingly acceded to and ratified the following Conventions and or Protocols: Protocol to the convention for the suppression of unlawful seizure of
	aircraft – 12th September 2012. Convention on the punishment of crimes against protected persons – 12th November 2012. International Convention for the suppression of terrorist bombings – 17th October 2012.

	International Convention for the suppression of Acts of Nuclear terrorism – 12th November 2012.
	Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material – 14th October 2012.
	Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International Civil Aviation – 12th September 2012.
	Convention Against the Taking of Hostages – 17th October 2012.
	Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf – 6th February 2013.
	Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for the Suppression for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of maritime Navigation 6th February 2013.
	Amendment to the Convention on Physical Protection of Nuclear Material - 8th November 2012.
	The following instrument has been deposited and confirmation is awaited.
	Convention on the Marking of Plastic Explosives for the purpose of identification.

SR.III Freeze and confiscate terrorist assets	NC	There is no specific legislation in place No reported cases of terrorism or related activities, The extent to which the provisions referred to the MLPA are effective cannot be judged. The Anti-Terrorism law has not been enacted.	 St. Lucia authorities need to implement the Anti-Terrorism legislation such that it addresses the following criteria: Criminalisation of terrorist financing Access to frozen funds Formal arrangements for exchange of information (domestic and international) Formal procedures for recording all requests made or received pursuant to the ATA. Further, there needs to be an expressed provision which allows for exparte applications for freezing of funds to be made under the MLPA. Also, the St. Lucian authorities need to ensure that there are provisions to allow contact with UNSCR and the ratification of the UN Convention on the Suppression of Terrorist Financing. 	The Anti – Terrorism Act implemented in December 2008 addresses the criminalisation of Terrorist Financing under section 9. The Anti – Terrorism (Amendment) Act No. 5 of 2010: - allows access to frozen funds - provides formal arrangements for exchange of information (domestic); - provides formal procedures for all requests made or received. The MLPA makes provision under section 23 for <i>ex parte</i> applications for freezing of funds. The convention on the suppression of terrorist financing has been ratified by St. Lucia through the enactment of the Anti-Terrorism Act in December 2008. The Anti – terrorism (Guidance Notes) Regulation SI 56 of 2010 must be read in conjunction with the Guidance Notes for Money Laundering. Steps are being taken to have these conventions acceded to. It is anticipated that the instruments of accession shall be deposited on or before the end of November 2011. On the 18 th November 2011 Saint Lucia acceded to the International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism.

	On the 25th of November 2011 Lucia acceded to the United Na	
	Convention against Corruption	
	Further Saint Lucia is already i	C 2
	signatory to the Palermo Conve	
	having signed on the 26 th Septe	ember
	2001.	
	Gaps Closed	
	The instruments of accession ratification have been drawn signed with respect to all the out	up and
	Conventions and Protocols. The forwarded to be deposite	
	confirmation with respect depositing of one convention is	to the
	Saint Lucia has accordingly ac	
	and ratified the following Cor and or Protocols:	
	Protocol to the convention	
	suppression of unlawful se aircraft – 12th September 2012	
	Convention on the punishment against protected persons	
	November 2012.	
	International Convention suppression of terrorist bombin October 2012.	
	October 2012.	

	International Convention for the suppression of Acts of Nuclear terrorism – 12th November 2012.
	Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material – 14th October 2012.
	Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts relating to International Civil Aviation – 12th September 2012.
	Convention Against the Taking of Hostages – 17th October 2012.
	Protocol of 2005 to the Protocol for the suppression of unlawful Acts against the Safety of Fixed Platforms located on the Continental Shelf – 6th February 2013.
	Protocol of 2005 to the Convention for the Suppression for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of maritime Navigation 6th February 2013.
	Amendment to the Convention on Physical Protection of Nuclear Material - 8th November 2012.
	The following instrument has been deposited and confirmation is awaited.
	Convention on the Marking of Plastic Explosives for the purpose of identification.

SR.IV Suspicious transaction reporting	NC	Terrorism is noted as a predicate offence in the MLPA but it is doubtful whether this can be enforced since there is no anti-terrorism legislation in place. The mandatory legal requirements of recommendation 13 are not codified in the law.	 The filing of a STR must apply to funds where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or they are suspected to be linked or related to, or to be used for terrorism, terrorist acts or by terrorist organisations or those who finance terrorism. All suspicious transactions, including attempted transactions, should be reported regardless of the amount of the transaction. The MLPA should be amended to provide that all suspicious transactions must be reported to the FIA regardless of the amount of the transaction. 	See SRI. See R13 Further part IV of the Anti – Terrorism (Guidance Notes) Regulations highlights the terrorism financing red flags. Section 32 (4) of the Anti- Terrorism Act, No 36 of 2003 makes it mandatory for every financial institution to report to the FIA every transaction which occurs within the course of its activities, and in respect of which there are reasonable grounds to suspect that the transaction is related to the commission of a terrorist act. Gap closed
SR.V International co- operation	NC	Terrorism and Terrorist Financing not extraditable offences Dual criminality is a prerequisite and the request shall be refused if absent	 St. Lucia should enact provisions which allows for assistance in the absence of dual criminality. St. Lucia must enact legislation that specifically criminalises terrorism and financing of terrorism. St. Lucia should consolidate the statutory instruments of the MLPA to avoid any inconsistencies. 	Terrorism and Terrorist Financing are extraditable offences through the enactment of the Extradition (Amendment) Act No. 3 of 2010. See MLPA No. 8 of 2010. See R37 Consideration is given to section 18 (2) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, Cap 3.03 provides for the refusal of a requests where the conduct if it had occurred in Saint Lucia would not constitute an offence. Section 18 (3) also provides for the central authority to exercise its discretion where the conduct is similar in Saint Lucia.

					Importantly, Section 18 (5) allows for the Central authority to provides mutual legal assistance notwithstanding the provisions of section 18 (2) and 18 (3). Consequently, there is nothing prohibiting assistance where both countries criminalise the conduct underlying an offence. Technical differences do not prevent the provision of mutual legal assistance. Gap closed
SR VI AML requirements for money/value transfer services	NC	No legal requirement under the MLPA. No obligation to persons who perform MVT services to licensed or registered. No obligation for MVT service operators to subject to AML/CFT regime. No listing of MVT operators is made available to competent authorities. No effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions in relation to MVT service are set out	•	Legislation should be adopted to require money transfer services to take measures to prevent their being used for the financing of terrorism, and to comply with the principles of the FATF Nine Special Recommendations on the subject. St. Lucia should ensure that persons who perform MVT services are either licensed or registered and that this function is specifically designated to one or more competent authority. MVT service operators should be made subject to the AML & CFT regime. St Lucia should ensure that MVT service operators maintain a listing of its agents and that this listing is made available to competent authorities.	The Money Services Business Act requires money transfer services to take measures to prevent the financing of terrorism. The MLPA 2010 makes provision for other business activities, listed under Part B, Schedule 2. Consequently provision is made under the MLPA for compliance of these entities (MVTs) in relation AML requirements. Further the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Guidance Notes) specifically indicates that the Guidelines also applies to money transmission services. As a result the AML & CFT regime applies to MVT service operators. Therefore the requirements under R. 4 -16 and R 21 – 25 are incorporated under the MLPA

			•	MVT operators should be made subject to effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions in relation to their legal obligations.	and therefore MVTs are subject to AML and CFT procedures. In addition section 2 (2) of the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Guidance Notes) Regulations creates a sanction for non compliance. Specific reference is made to section 16 (b) (ii) of the Money Services Business Act wherein an auditor in the performance of his duties must be cognisant of suspicious transaction in accordance with the MLPA and shall report the matter immediately to the licensee and the Authority. Also section 18 (1) of the MSBA mandates that a licensee shall institute procedure to ensure that the accounting records and systems of control comply with the requirements of the MLPA. Therefore the regulations MLPGNR must also be complied with.
SR VII Wire transfer rules	PC	There is no enforceable requirement to ensure that minimum originator information is obtained and maintained for wire transfers. There are no risk based procedures for identifying and handing wire	•	The guidance note should be amended to provide details of special recommendation VII with respect to dealing with wire transfers where there are technical limitations.	The GN (in particular paragraph 178) has been amended to provide details of special SRVII on wire transfers where there are technical limitations. The Sanctions will be provided to ensure that minimum originator information is

transfers not accompanied by complete originator information.

There is no effective monitoring in place to ensure compliance with rules relating to SRVII.

The exemption of retaining records of transactions which are less than EC\$5,000 is higher than the requirement of the essential criteria which obliges financial institutions to obtain and maintain specific information on all wire transaction of EUR/USD 1,000 or more.

Sanctions are unavailable for all the essential criteria under this recommendation.

- POCA and MLPA should be amended to require a risk based approach to dealing with wire transfers.
- Sanctions should be available for failure to comply with the essential criteria.

obtained and maintained for wire transfers.

The Anti-terrorism (Guidance Notes) Regulation passed on the 26th May 2010 must be read in conjunction with the Money Laundering Guidelines.

Section 17 the MLPA provides for the application of a risk based approach in dealing with wire transfers.

In addition section 2 (2) of the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Guidance Notes) Regulations creates a sanction for non compliance..

Further in relation to the maintenance of records for originator information, the MLPA creates sanction for the failure of the financial institution or a person keep records and copies of records under sections 16 (8) and (9).

Technical limitation issues are also addressed under paragraph 179 of the MLPGR wherein it is stated that where electronic transfers do not give complete originator information, institutions are required to give enhanced scrutiny to these.

Amended Draft Regulations, with proposed amendments circulated for review and finalization.

				Draft Amendments to deal with wire transfer have been made by the consultant drafter and has been reviewed by the Legislative Drafting Department and shall be presented to Cabinet for approval and subsequently published. Pending
SR.VIII Non-profit organisations	NC	No supervisory programme in place to identify non-compliance and violations by NPOs.	The authorities should undertake an outreach programme to the NPO sector with a view to protecting the sector from terrorist financing abuse.	A supervisory committee for the monitoring of NPO from their commencement has been created. This committee comprises high level
		No outreach to NPOs to protect the sector from terrorist financing abuse.	A supervisory programme for NPOs should be developed to identify non-compliance and violations.	personnel from the Registry of Companies and Intellectual Property, Inland Revenue, Ministry for Social Transformation and the Attorney
		No systems or procedures in place to publicly access information on NPOs.	Systems and procedures should be established to allow information on NPOs to be publicly available.	General's Chambers. The committee who meets at least once a month has been tasked with the
		No formal designation of points of contact or procedures in place to respond to international inquiries regarding terrorism related activity of NPOs.	Points of contacts or procedures to respond to international inquiries regarding terrorism related activity of NPOs should be put in place.	function of supervising and monitoring of NPO's. In that regard, it Scrutinses application for incorporation and undertakes due diligence of all applicants, and higher due diligence for applicants who are non nationals. It undertakes face to face interviews with all applicants,

	 It srutinizes all applications to determine its legitimacy and genuinesses. It circultes financial and CDD guidelines for all approves applications It has developed best practices for NPO, guidelines and Customer Due Diligence requirements. It is currently developing a database of all NPO's their Directors and other members. The Committee has been endorsed by Cabinet as the Not for Profit Oversight Committee as the committee which conducts due diligence, monitoring and oversight of applicants and existing NPOs.
	The information in relation to registered NPO's are available at the Registry of Companies.
	Currently, central authority is the point of contact to dealing with mutual legal assistance request. Therefore international inquiries regarding terrorism related activity of NPO's can be dealt with by the central authority. In addition the application for NPO's are approved by the office of the Attorney General subject to the recommendation of the Not for Profit Oversight Committee.

Currently personnel from the FIA is part of the NPO oversight committee as a means of sensitizing NPO applicants of money laundering and terrorism financing issues by advocating for the need for enhanced due diligence requirements etc. In January 2012 a sensitization workshop was held for all NPOs registered as Faith Based Organizations whereby they were trained and informed on procedures to be adopted in conducting enhanced due diligence. Between 2009 to present 95 Non-Profit applications have been presented to the Office of the Attorney General for approval. All NPO applicants are issued guidelines regarding money laundering and terrorism financing which they need to be familiar with prior to an interview by the Not-For-Profit Oversight Committee. As a consequence, they would be required to implement mechanisms regarding AML/CFT in their organisation if approved, by the Attorney General for implementation. 28 of the 95 Non-Profit Applications have been completed and approved. The initial directors for these

 ,	<u> </u>	
		applicants were sensitised and trained with respect to AML/CFT
		and the expectations required.
		Further, consideration is being given
		to the commencement of the Non-Governmental Organisation Act No.
		36 of 2006 which includes non-profit
		organisations and organisations
		which depend on donations.
		The Act shall be reviewed to make
		the requisite amendments, if any, in
		compliance with the AML/CFT regime.
		regime.
		The Act provides for the
		appointment of a Council under section 18 which function includes
		the following:
		"(a) to issue certificates and register
		Non-Governmental
		Organizations;
		(b) to keep and maintain a register of Non-Governmental
		Organizations;
		(c) to research the aims or objects of
		a registered Non- Governmental Organization to
		ensure that it is set up for a
		bona fide purpose;
		(d) to conduct investigations into the administration and activities
		of registered Non -Governmental
		Organizations where

	complaints are made against a Non-Governmental Organization; (e) to record all complaints received by the Council and to make copies of such complaints available to the public;"
	By Statutory Instrument No 144 of 2012 dated 12th November 2012 the Schedule of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act was amended by including Non –Profit Companies and Non –Profit Organisations as other business activities.
	A additional 10 Non-Profit Applications have been completed and approved. The initial directors for these applicants were sensitised and trained with respect to AML/CFT and the expectations required and were further informed of the requirements under the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act.
	With respect to mutual legal assistance, the Central Authority has dealt with 45 mutual assistance requests. These requests comprise those coming into Saint Lucia and those which Saint Lucia has requested.

An amendment is in the process of

being drafted to the Customs Control

	a threshold The provisions in the legislation are not sufficiently clear and specific. No stand alone Prevention of Terrorism Legislation The legislation doesn't specifically address the issue of currency and bearer negotiable instruments. No specific provisions in the legislation that allows Customs authorities to stop and restrain currency and bearer negotiable instruments to determine if ML/FT may be found. No mechanism in place to allow for the sharing of information.\No comprehensive mechanism in place to allow for proper co-ordination by the various agencies. In some instances, the effectiveness of the international co-operation in customs cases are impeded by political interference.	for the exercise of discretion that legal provisions be put in place requiring reporting of the transfer into or out of the country of cash, currency or other bearer negotiable instruments valued in excess of US \$10,000.00 and that appropriate reporting forms be simultaneously published and put in use, and that proportionate and dissuasive sanctions be provided for. It is further recommended that officers of the Police Force, Customs and the Marine Services be empowered to seize and detain cash, currency or bearer negotiable instrument valued in excess of US\$10,000.00 which has not been properly declared or about which there is suspicion that they are the proceeds of crime. Provisions should be made for any detained funds to be held for a specified renewable period to facilitate the investigation of the origin, ownership and intended use of the funds. Consideration should be given to providing law enforcement officers with the power to detain cash, currency or other bearer negotiable instruments suspected of being the	and Management Act to require the reporting to the transfers into or out of St. Lucia of cash, currency or other bearer negotiable instruments valued in excess of US\$10,000. The Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act No.4 of 2010 empowers Police Officers, Customs Officers, and Marine Services to seize and detain cash, currency or bearer negotiable instruments valued in excess of US\$10,000. The MLPA provides the FIA with the power to collect, receive and analyse reports submitted by Customs, Police and Inland Revenue Departments under section 5. An Amendment to the Proceeds of Crime Act is before Parliament to allow for the seizure and detention of cash. Provision has been made under the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act No. 1 of 2011 to allow for the detention and seizure of cash. Amendments regarding the US\$10,000.00 declaration, amongst others have been drawn up by the drafting consultant and is currently
--	--	--	---

It is recommended that for the

avoidance of ambiguity and the need

NC

No legal provision for reporting or for

a threshold

SR.IX Cross Border

Declaration & Disclosure

proceeds of crime wherever in the country seized, without being restricted to matters of cross border transfers with the view to facilitating appropriate investigations into the source of the funds.

- There is a need for increased participation by the Customs Department in combating money laundering and terrorist financing.
- Consideration should be given to have Customs officers trained in the area of ML and TF.
- Statistics should be kept on all aspects of Customs and Excise operations, these statistics should be readily available.
- All Customs fraud cases with substantial values should be submitted to the FIA, Prosecutor's office for predicate offence consideration regarding offences pursuant to ML, FT and proceeds of Crime legislation with a view to prosecution of offenders.
- Customs must take more drastic action against suspected ML offences and Commercial fraud offenders.
- Provision of basic analytical and case management software must be supplied as a priority and basic and advanced training in the use of such software is required.

being reviewed by the Drafting Department for onward submission to Cabinet for approval and thereafter to the Parliament.

It was anticipated that the amendment to the Customs Act would have been finalised on or before November 2012.

However, the drafting unit has indicated that provisions already exist in our laws.

Regulations 4 and 5 of the Customs regulations Cap 15.05 and section 9 91) of the Immigrant ordinance Cap 76 and regulation 7 of the Immigration Regulations Cap 76 provides for the reporting of a person carrying in excess the sum of US\$10,000.00.

The declaration form has been published under the Customs Regulation under Schedule 2, Form 15 and also referred to under Regulation 72 of the said Regulations.

Regulation 7 of the Immigration Regulations as amended by the Immigration (Amendment) Regulations No. 6 of 2007 and section 9 of the Customs (Amendment) regulations No. 7 of

	2007 provides for the publication of
	the declaration form in relation to
	persons carrying currency in excess
	of US \$10,000.00.
	Section 32 (1) and 94 of the Customs
	(Control and Management) Act Cap
	15.05 provides for the detention of
	the cash.
	Proportionate and dissuasive
	sanctions are provided for pursuant
	to Regulation 9 (2) of the
	Immigration ordinance, Cap 76,
	, 1
	Sections 32(30, 86, 93, 94, 113, 118
	of the Customs (Control and
	Management) Act, Cap 15.05 and
	Regulation 6 of the Customs
	regulations, Cap 15.05.
	An amendment has been proposed to
	include a definition of "money" to
	include cash and bearer negotiable
	instruments.
	Updated as at August 2013
	The recommendation to amend the
	definition of cash in the POCA to
	include bearer negotiable instruments
	was approved by Cabinet and is tabled
	to be read at the next sitting of
	Parliament on 27 th August 2013.
	Proposed draft amendments to the
	Customs (Control and Management)

Post-Plenary-Final

	Act to define cash to include bearer
	negotiable instruments and to include
	cash/money in the definition of goods
	have been approved by Customs
	Authorities.