

Sixth Follow-Up Report

Dominica

November 22, 2013

© 2013 CFATF. All rights reserved.

No reproduction or translation of this publication may be made without prior written permission. Requests for permission to further disseminate reproduce or translate all or part of this publication should be obtained from the CFATF Secretariat at **CFATF@cfatf.org.**

DOMINICA SIXTH FOLLOW-UP REPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report represents an analysis of Dominica's report back to the CFATF Plenary concerning the progress that it has made towards correcting the deficiencies that were identified in its third round Mutual Evaluation Report. Based on the action taken by Dominica since November 2012, the Plenary issued a Public Statement on the Jurisdiction and recommended that Dominica bring into force mechanisms to address its AML/CFT deficiencies by November 2013. This report contains an analysis of the action by Dominica since the May 2013 Plenary and incorporates the legislation enacted just prior to the Plenary on May 16, 2013. This report also contains an analyses of the key Recommendations rated as LC.
- 2. Dominica received ratings of PC or NC on thirteen (13) of the sixteen (16) Core and Key Recommendations as follows:

Table 1: Ratings for Core and Key Recommendations

| Rec. | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 26 | 35 | 36 | 40 | I | II | III | IV | V |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|
| Rating | PC | PC | PC | NC | C | NC | NC | PC | PC | LC | LC | PC | PC | PC | NC | PC |

3. With regard to the other non-core or key Recommendations, Dominica was rated partially compliant or non-compliant as indicated below:

Table 2: 'Other' Recommendations rated as PC and NC

| Partially Compliant (PC) | Non—Compliant (NC) |
|---|--|
| R. 9 (Third parties and introducers) | R. 6 (Politically exposed persons) |
| R. 11 (Unusual transactions) | R. 7 (Correspondent banking) |
| R. 15 (Internal controls, compliance & audit) | R. 8 (New technologies & non face-to-face |
| | business) |
| R. 20 (Other NFBP & secure transaction | R. 12 (DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11) |
| techniques) | |
| R. 22 (Foreign branches & subsidiaries) | R. 16 (DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21) |
| R. 27 (Law enforcement authorities) | R. 17 (Sanctions) |
| R. 28 (Powers of competent authorities) | R. 18 (Shell banks) |
| R. 29 (Supervisors) | R. 19 (Other forms of reporting) |
| R. 31 (National co-operation) | R. 21 (Special attention for higher risk |
| | countries) |
| R. 33 (Legal persons – beneficial owners) | R. 24 (DNFBP - regulation, supervision and |
| | monitoring) |
| R. 38 (MLA on confiscation and freezing) | R. 25 (Guidelines & Feedback) |
| SR. IX (IX Cross Border Declaration & | R. 30 (Resources, integrity and training) |
| Disclosure) | |
| | R. 32 (Statistics) |
| | R. 34 (Legal arrangements – beneficial |
| | owners) |

| SR. VI (AML requirements for money/value | | |
|--|--|--|
| transfer services) | | |
| SR. VII (Wire transfer rules) | | |
| SR. VIII (Non-profit organisations) | | |

4. The following table is intended to assist in providing an insight into the level of risk in the main financial sector in Dominica.

Table 3: Size and integration of Dominica's financial sectoras at 30 June 2013

| | | Banks | Other Credit Institutions* | Securities | Insurance | TOTAL |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Number of institutions | Total # | 12 | 11 | Nil | 17 | 38 |
| Assets | US\$ | 721,546 | 286,591 | Nil | 63,512 | 1,071,649 |
| | Total: US\$ | 602,394 | 186,234 | Nil | 91,267 | 179,895 |
| Deposits | % Non- resident | % of deposits | Nil | N/A | N/A | 23 |
| | % Foreign- owned: | % of assets | % of assets | % of assets | % of assets | % of assets |
| International Links | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | #Subsidiaries abroad | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

II. SUMMARY OF PROGRESS MADE BY DOMINICA

5. On May 16, 2013 Dominica enacted the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act; the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act, the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Amendment) Act, the Criminal Law and Procedure (Amendment) Act, the Financial Services Unit (Amendment) Act, and the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act. The AML Guidelines were revised.

III. REVIEW OF MEASURES TAKEN IN RELATION TO THE CORE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 6. For **Recommendation 1,** the fifth follow-up report had noted the positive actions by Dominica which resulted in this Recommendation being closed.
- 7. As for Recommendation 5, which was rated as NC the fourth follow-up report (Dominica 4th Follow-up Report) and the fifth follow-up (Dominica 5th Follow-up Report) have detailed the positive action by Dominica which resulted in six (6) of the eight (8) deficiencies being closed. The two (2) outstanding recommended actions:(ii)the requirement for financial institutions to ensure that documents, data or information collected under the CDD process is kept up to date should be enforceable. This has been specifically addressed by the regulation 25A ML(P)(A)R 2013. Here a person carrying on a relevant business is mandated to keep the documents, data and information collected pursuant to these said regulations (CDD information) up to date by carrying out reviews of existing records. Here Dominica may wish to implement this measure by using some sort of trigger, particularly one linked to higher risk categories of customers. This gap is closed. The other outstanding recommended action: (v) the Guidance Notes should include additional

guidance with regards to identification and verification of the underlying principals, persons other than the policyholders with regards to insurance companies. – The FSU has issued AMLguidelines pursuant to **s.9** of the **MLPA** which has resulted in this gap being Atparagraph 41 of section VI of these guidelines insurance companies or intermediaries are required to have CDD procedures which seek to:

- Identify the underlying principal(s) or beneficial owner of the customer, and take reasonable measures to verify the identity of the underlying principal(s) or beneficial owner such that the insurance company or intermediary is satisfied that it knows who the underlying principal(s) or beneficial owner is.
- Identify and verify the identity of the beneficiary of the insurance contract at or before the time of payout or the time when the beneficiary intends to exercise vested rights under the policy.
- Obtain appropriate additional information to understand the customer's circumstances and business, including the purpose and the expected nature of the relationship.

Recommendation 5 overall conclusion.

- 8. The two (2) outstanding deficiencies have now been addressed and as a consequence this Recommendation is *closed*.
- 9. For **Recommendation 13** the third follow-up report (<u>Dominica 3rd Follow-up Report</u>) has detailed the technical analysis which discerned the lone outstanding issue was inherently a cross referencing error. This has been addressed at **s.6 of the SFT(A)A 9 of 2013.** Consequently this Recommendation is now*closed*.
- 10. At **Special Recommendation II which was rated as PC**the fourth follow-up (Dominica 4th Follow-up Report) reporthas detailed the technical analysis of Dominica's actions which resulted in the closure of all the deficiencies. This Special Recommendation was *closed*.
- 11. **Special Recommendation IV was rated as NC.** Here the comments for Recommendation 13 above are relevant. This Special Recommendation is *closed*.

IV. REVIEW OF MEASURES TAKEN IN RELATION TO THE KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

12. For **Recommendation 3** the comments of the third follow-up report (<u>Dominica 3rd Follow-up Report</u>) the fourth follow-up report (<u>Dominica 4th Follow-up Report</u>) and the fifth follow-up (<u>Dominica 5th Follow-up Report</u>) reports are relevant. Specifically, there were two (2) recommendations made by the examiners and intended as cures for the gaps in the MER. The first required that Dominica's laws should allow for the initial application to freeze or seize property, subject to confiscation, to be made ex-parte or without prior notice. **S.29** (2) of the MLPA now enables the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) to make such an application with or without notice. Such applications however, according to **s.29** (1), are in relation to the property of, or in the possession or under the control of a person charged or who is about to be charged with or is being investigated with a money

laundering offence. The reports had concluded that "It was unclear whether this provision can be exercised on property being held or owned by a third party" where that third has not been charged for an offence. Dominica has directly addressed this deficiency at **s.3 of the ML(P)(A)** by capturing gifts made either directly or indirectly by a person after the commission of a money laundering offence. This Recommendation remains **closed**.

- 13. The fourth follow-up report (<u>Dominica 4th Follow-up Report</u>) has already noted the action which resulted in **Recommendation 4** being*closed*.
- For Recommendation 23 which was rated as NC please see the third follow-up report 14. (Dominica_3rd_Follow-up_Report) the fourth follow-up report (Dominica_4th_Followup_Report) and the fifth follow-up (Dominica_5th_Follow-up_Report) reports for analyses of Dominica's efforts at closing the deficiencies in the MER. The outstanding issue was related to Essential Criteria (EC) 23.3 and 23.3.1. Dominica has reported that the FSU has developed an onsite inspection manual specific to AML/CFT. This manual has been shared with the Secretariat and contains comprehensive details of the inspection processes which are to be followed by the FSU's inspectors when engaging its stakeholders. In this regard the manual contains detailed examination procedures which are all specific to AML/CFT. With regards to fit and proper criteria and the enforcement of these measures, Dominica had previously reported that the FSU's inspectors are guided by guided by s.27 of the FSU Act of 2008 which is concerned with the fitness of persons carrying on a licensed financial business. In fact s.27 (2) details several criteria which can be used to determine whether a person is fit and proper. At s.27 (3) evidence of certain previous conduct may be used in coming to a determination. Relative to the manpower, financial and technical resources and expertise of the FSU's examiners, Dominica has provided the Secretariat with documents showing details of the qualifications and expertise of all its examiners. This document has not been made available because of the confidential nature of the information it contained. Notwithstanding, the Jurisdiction has reported that the FSU inspectors will soon be undergoing CAM certification to bolster their current skillset. Dominica still has not provided any details on the financial resources available to the FSU. Notwithstanding, based on the above and the comments of the previous follow-up reports, it can be seen that the Jurisdiction has made a deliberate and concerted effort to improve both the legislative and operational support for the FSU and its structured work programme is a work in progress. This Recommendation is now closed.
- 15. For **Recommendation 26 which was rated as PC**, the issue relating to the security of the FIU's information is reported to have been addressed through the acquisition of physical offsite storage where copies of the FIU's database are secured. This gap is *closed*.
- 16. Relative to the recommended action that the FIU should have more control over its budget since the control currently maintained by the Ministry could impact the Unit's operation and to some extent its independence. Dominica has explained the process for the allocation of funds for its operations. According to Dominica "Whenever, the FIU needs to expend budgetary resources, a request is made by the Director of the FIU to the Permanent Secretary of the Ministry of Legal Affairs for endorsement of expenditure under the aegis of the budgetary allocation related to a specific expenditure head." Dominica further reports that "Requests have always been endorsed by the Permanent Secretary" in his/her capacity as Accounting Officer, endorses expenditure by the FIU to ensure that the FIU remains within its budgetary provisions. The budgetary allocation for fiscal years 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 amounted to \$273,542; \$370,386 and \$368,345 respectively and the Director of

the FIU has reported that in instances where the FIU had expended its allocated budget, additional funds were made available to it. This situation, as is now described by Dominica, is exactly as it were during the onsite and is what lead to the examiners noting it as a deficiency which could affect the operational independence of the FIU. Even though the FIU is reporting that the Permanent Secretary has always endorsed its requests, the existence of an approval process outside of the FIU suggests that there is the potential for a refusal, by the Permanent Secretary to occur. This gap is *open*.

17. Dominica has provided the Secretariat with a copy of its annual report for 2012. This report has been laid before the Dominican Parliament and is now publicly available from the government printer. It has also been circulated to all Egmont members. This gap is *closed*.

Overall conclusion for Recommendation 26

- 18. There were six (6) deficiencies noted in the MER and four (4) recommended actions aimed at closing those deficiencies. Dominica has completely addressed three (3) of the recommended actions whilst the other remains as it was during the onsite visit. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 19. For Recommendation 35 and Special Recommendation I ratings of PC were applied and identical deficiencies discerned. The recommended action was that the Commonwealth of Dominica should become a party to The 2000 United Nation Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime (The Palermo Convention) and fully implement article Articles 3-11, 15, 17 and 19) of the Vienna Convention, Articles 5-7, 10-16, 18-20, 24-27, 29-31, & 34 of the Palermo Convention, Articles 2- 18 of the Terrorist Financing Convention and S/RES/1267(1999) and its successor resolutions and S/RES/1373(2001).
- 20. Dominica acceded to the United Nation Convention Against Trans-national Organized Crime on May 17, 2013 therefore the related gap is *closed*.
- 21. The Vienna Convention has been implemented through domestic legislation. The legislation includes The Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act, The Drugs (Prevention of Misuse) Act, the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act, the Proceeds of Crime Act, the Financial Services Unit Act, the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act, the Integrity in Public Office Act, the Extradition Act, Protection of Witnesses Act. This gap is *closed*.
- 22. **Recommendation 36 which was rated as LC.**On November 14, 2013 Dominica advised the Secretariat that this deficiency has been addressed via the Central Authority in guidelines issued in May 2013. An analysis of this will be presented in Dominica's 7th follow-up report.
- 23. **Recommendation 40 which was rated as LC** has been addressed through the **s.40** of the **MLPA.** This section is concerned with the sharing of information with foreign counterparts and specifically states that the FIU shallnot refuse a request on the ground that it involves matters of afiscal nature. **S.19** (2) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act No. 9 of 1990 states the conditions where requests for cooperation can be refused. Fiscal matters are not included. This Recommendation is *closed*.
- 24. The procedure to give effect to Terrorist Financing Convention and S/RES/1267(1999) and its successor resolutions and S/RES/1373(2001) have not as yet been created. The gap here is

- open and consequently Recommendation 35 and Special Recommendation I remain outstanding.
- 25. **Special Recommendation I** is as was noted during the onsite. This Special Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 26. As for **Special Recommendation III**, Dominica was rated as PC and the examiners made four (4) recommendations to close the gaps they discerned.
 - i. Strengthen their legislation to enable procedures which would examine and give effect to the actions initiated under the freezing mechanisms of other jurisdictions – The third follow-up report (<u>Dominica_3rd_Follow-up_Report</u>) noted the action by Dominica through the enactment of s.10 of the SFTAA. That report however concluded, "It appears however that this amendment falls short of the requirement because even though it refers to the accounts, funds or property that was the subject of the freezing mechanism of the requesting State, there seems to be a discretionary obligation as to whether the court may "receive" the application from the competent authority. Additionally it is quite unclear as to what is intended by "receive a request". Further, no procedures are outlined which will give effect to any such action by the court" This issue has been addressed by Dominica at s.8 of the SFT(A) 2013. Accordingly the Court or other competent authority may receive a request from the court of another State to identify, freeze, seize, confiscate, or forfeit the property, or any property of corresponding values, proceeds or instrumentalities, connected to offence under the SFTA or any other enactment. That gap is now *closed*. Dominica has also provided the Secretariat with a copy of the Central Authority Procedures from the Chambers of the Attorney General. At page 13 under the heading 'Application for Order on behalf of foreign jurisdiction' the procedures to be followed when pursuing a request from a foreign jurisdiction to have an order enforced in Dominica is spelled out. This gap is closed.
 - ii. Implement effective mechanisms for communicating actions taken under the freezing mechanisms—The Central Authority Procedures details the procedures that will be employed upon receiptof a freeze order from another jurisdiction. The document at PART A spells outboth the actions that will be taken domestically and with respect to any foreign request received. This gap is **closed**.
 - iii. Create appropriate procedures for authorizing access to funds or other assets that were frozen pursuant to S/RES/1267 (1999)—This is achieved through the Central Authority Procedures. At page 12 "Access to Funds" the procedures to be employed by someone wishing to gain access to funds or other assets frozen pursuant to Security Council Resolution 1267 (1999) and its successor Resolution 1373 (2001) is detailed. This gap is closed.

- iv. Issue clear guidance to financial institutions and persons that may be in possession of targeted funds or assets or may later come into possession of such funds or assets. Dominica has amended the SFTA of 2003 by enacting a new s.47. At s.47 (1) there is now an obligation for the FSU to issue guidelines to financial institutions or persons in possession of funds related to a terrorist or terrorist group, including funds which are the subject of a freezing order. The FSUhas issued guidelines which it re-circulated to the financial sector on November 14, 2013 and provided the Secretariat with a copy of the communication. This gap is closed.
- 27. Action by Dominica has resulted in all of the deficiencies noted by the examiners being closed. Consequently **Special Recommendation III** is *closed*.
- 28. With regards to **Special Recommendation V** the examiners applied a PC rating and noted four (4) deficiencies for which corrective action were required. The fifth follow-up report has already detailed the positive action by Dominica which resulted in the *closure of the first three gaps*.
- 29. The fourth deficiency where the examiners discerned that there were nomeasures or procedures adopted to allow extradition requests and proceedings relating to terrorist acts and the financing of terrorism offences to be handled without undue delay is partially addressed at Part B of the Central Authority Procedures where extradition requests relating to terrorist financing is covered. Here the procedures to be adopted by Dominica when acceding to extradition requests is fully fleshed out but there appears to be no indication on the timeframe for doing this. This gap is *open*.
- 30. Dominica's action has fully addressed three (3) of the four (4) deficiencies. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.

V. REVIEW OF MEASURES TAKEN IN RELATION TO THE OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

- 31. **Recommendation 8 which was rated as NC** still has the deficiency noted in fifth follow-up report. This recommendation remains *outstanding*.
- 32. **Recommendation 9 which was rated as NC** still has the deficiencies noted in fifth follow-up report. This recommendation remains *outstanding*.
- 33. For **Recommendation 12 which was rated as NC**, the deficiencies for Rec. 8 and 9 are applicable. This Recommendation remains *outstanding*.

- 34. **Recommendations 15** continues to be in abeyance. The examiners had made two (2) recommendations aimed at improving the PC rating which they had applied. The first recommendation requiring financial institutions to maintain independent audit functions to test compliance with procedures, policies and controls has only been partially addressed through **r.3** (1) (a) (v) of the ML(P)R 2013 because there is no obligation that the audit function being referred to must be independent and adequately resourced. The noted gap therefore remains *open*. The second recommendation requiring financial institutions to also have internal procedures relative to terrorist financing has not as yet been addressed thereby also leaving this gap *open*. This Recommendation continues to remain *outstanding*.
- 35. **Recommendation 16 which was rated as NC**still has the deficiencies noted in fifth follow-up report. This recommendation remains *outstanding*.
- 36. **Recommendation 18 was rated as NC** there were two (2) recommended actions to close deficiencies noted in the MER. (1) Financial institutions should not be permitted to enter into, or continue correspondent banking relationship with shell banks and (2) Financial institutions should be required to satisfy themselves that respondent financial institutions in a foreign country do not permit their accounts to be used by shell banks. The first recommended action is now addressed at **r.20** (3) of the ML(P)R 2013 where a bank is prohibited from maintaining a business relationship with banks that do not maintain a physical presence under the laws of which they were established, unless they are part of a financial group subject to effective consolidated supervision. The first gap is **closed**. The second recommended action is has not as yet been addressed and so that gap is still **open**. Recommendation 18 is **outstanding**.
- 37. **Recommendation 19which was rated as NC,** Dominica previously reported that the FIU is, "Currently conducting a critical analysis of a cash reporting system". For this reporting period Dominica submitted an unsigned and undated Ms Word document under the letterhead of the FIU with the heading **CONSIDERATION OF FATF RECOMMENDATION.** It must be immediately noted that 'Consideration' as anticipated by Recommendation 19 is a formal process and must be undertaken by an entity which was constituted for that specific purpose. Whilst the document, which was developed by the FIU, makes out a case against establishing a threshold reporting system it cannot be accepted as formal consideration. This Recommendation is **outstanding**.
- 38. **Recommendation 20** is as was noted during the onsite. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 39. **Recommendation 21** is as was noted during the onsite. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 40. **Recommendation 22** is as was noted during the onsite. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 41. With regards to **Recommendation 24**, the third follow-up report has already noted the action by Dominica and concluded that, "This action has the effect of significantly closing the gap for Recommendation 24". In the context of the examiners recommendation that, "There is no comprehensive regulatory and supervisory regime that ensures compliance by casinos and other DNFBPs with the AML/CFT regime that is in place", Dominica is yet to demonstrate

- that its casinos and other DNFBPs are being effectively regulated and supervised for AML/CFT. This Recommendation remains *outstanding*.
- 42. **Recommendation 25** is as was noted during the onsite. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 43. For **Recommendation 27 which was rated as PC**, the examiners recommended action is the subject of policy document which is currently being drafted. This Recommendation remains *outstanding*.
- 44. **Recommendation 28 which was rated as PC**, is as was noted in the fifth follow-up report. This Recommendation remains *outstanding*.
- 45. **Recommendation 30 which was rated as NC** continues as noted in the fifth follow-up report. This Recommendation 30 remains *outstanding*.
- 46. For **Recommendation 31 which was rated as NC**continues as noted in the fifth follow-up report. This Recommendation 30 remains *outstanding*.
- 47. **Recommendation 32 which was rated as NC** continues as noted in the fifth follow-up report. This Recommendation 30 remains *outstanding*.
- 48. **Recommendation 33 which was rated as PC**is as was noted during the onsite. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 49. **Recommendation 34 which was rated NC** is as was noted during the onsite. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 50. For**Recommendation 38 which was rated PC** the status is as was noted in the fifth follow-up report. This Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 51. **Special Recommendation VII** is as was noted during the onsite. This Special Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 52. **Special Recommendation VIII** is as was noted during the onsite. This Special Recommendation is *outstanding*.
- 53. For **Special Recommendation IX which was rated PC** the status remains as noted in the fifth follow-up report. This Recommendation remains *outstanding*.

VI. MAIN CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PLENARY

Core Recommendations

54. Since its mutual evaluation Dominica has amended its AML/CFT legislation to address the deficiencies in the MER to the extent that all deficiencies have been addressed and Dominica is now in full compliance with the all of the Core Recommendations.

Key Recommendations

- 55. For Recommendations 3, 4, 23, 40 and SRIII, Dominica has addressed all the deficiencies identified in the MER.
- 56. For Recommendations 26, 35, 36, SR.I, and SR.V Dominica has made significant progress in addressing the deficiencies. For Rec. 35 and SR.I the conventions are in place and the various articles are supported by domestic legislation however the procedures to give effect to S/RES/1267 and its successor resolutions and S/RES/1373 are not yet in place.

Other Recommendations

57. Dominica has made sufficient progress to close Recommendations 6, 7, 11 17, 29 and SRVI. Significant progress has been made with Recommendations 15, 18 and 24. The other Recommendations remain as they were during the onsite.

Conclusions

- 58. Overall Dominica has reached a satisfactory level of compliance with all six core Recommendations and significantly addressed all the key Recommendation.
- 59. Given the significant progress made by Dominica and the ongoing efforts at further addressing some of the outstanding deficiencies, it is recommended that the Plenary allow Dominica another six (6) months to continue its reform.

CFATF Secretariat November 14 2013

Matrix with Ratings and Follow-Up Action Plan 3rd Round Mutual Evaluation The Commonwealth of Dominica – September 2013

| Forty Recommendations | Rating | Summary of Factors Underlying Rating | Recommended Actions | Action Undertaken |
|--------------------------|--------|---|---|--|
| Rec. 1 ML offence | PC | The physical and material elements of the money laundering offence in the Commonwealth of Dominica do not cover conversion or transfer. Designated categories of offences, Piracy (Pirates at Sea) and Extortion not criminalized. | The laws of the Commonwealth of Dominica should be amended to: i. Cover conversion or transfer as two additional physical and material elements of the money laundering offence; ii. Criminalize all the designated categories of offences and in particular Piracy (Pirates at Sea) and Extortion. | Section 3 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act No. 8 of 2011 now specifically include conversion and transfer. Once a person involves himself with the conversion or transfer of property that is the proceeds of crime then he has committed a money laundering offence. Section 3 of Piracy Act No. 11 of 2010 criminalizes Piracy. It reads "A person who engages in piracy commits an offence." Section 22A of the Theft Act Chap: 10:33 of the D.P.L. of 1000 as amonded by |

| | | | | Section 3(1) has been amended by section 4 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Amnendment) Act No. 5 of 2013 to reflect that 'property that is the proceeds of crime, knowing or believing the property to be the proceeds of crime commits an offence'. |
|---|----|--|--|--|
| Rec. 2 ML offence – mental element and corporate liability | LC | The Money Laundering (Prevention) Act, 2000 (Chapter 40:07), does not adequately detail what administrative proceedings that may be employed in dealing with legal persons who have been found criminally liable. No civil or administrative sanctions are provided for ML. | i. Adequately detail what administrative proceedings may be employed in dealing with legal persons who have been found criminally liable; ii. Provide for civil and administrative sanctions; | These deficiencies have been cured by the MLPA No.8 of 2011. Section 7 of this Act establishes the Financial Services Unit as the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority. Section 10 provides the authority with the power to give directives by written notice where there is contravention of the Act. These directives include: A) To cease engaging in any activity, behaviour or practice for a specified period B) To take remedial measures or action that the Authority considers necessary for the financial institution to be in compliance with |

| | | | the Ac | ot . |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| a N | o powers are given to | | | the Act gives the Authority |
| | dminister | | | administer the |
| | dministrative | | | e sanctions. Section 11 (2) and |
| | anctions. | | | the sanctions which can be |
| Sa | anctions. | | | section states:11(2) "The |
| | | | | y, pursuant to subsection (1)- |
| | | | | warning or reprimand to the |
| | | | | ial institution or person |
| | | | | ng on a scheduled business; |
| | | | | irectives as seen appropriate |
| | | | | e on the financial institution |
| | | | | son carrying on a scheduled |
| | | | | ess, in accordance with section |
| | | | | pecuniary penalty; or |
| | | | | mend, in accordance with |
| | | | section | |
| | | | i) | the suspension of any or all |
| | | | -/ | of the activities that the |
| | | | | financial institution or |
| | | | | person carrying on a |
| | | | | scheduled business may |
| | | | | have otherwise conducted |
| | | | | pursuant to the license of |
| | | | | the financial institution or |
| | | | | person carrying on a |
| | | | | scheduled business; or\ |
| | | | ii) | the suspension or revocation |
| | | | , | of the licence of the financial |
| | | | | institution or person |
| | | | | carrying on a schedule |
| | iii. | . Adopt an approach that | | business. |
| | | would result in more effective | | |
| | | use of existing legislation | | |
| | | | In relation to t | he administrative proceedings |
| | | | | ployed in dealing with legal |

| | | | | persons who have been found criminally liable, explanation is required. |
|---|----|---|---|---|
| Rec. 3 Confiscation and provisional measures | PC | In the Commonwealth of Dominica the laws do not allow the initial application to freeze or seize property subject to confiscation to be made ex-parte or without prior notice. | i. The laws or measures in the Commonwealth of Dominica should allow an initial application to freeze or seize property subject to confiscation to be made ex-parte or without prior notice, unless this is inconsistent with fundamental principles of domestic law. | Sec. 29 (1) of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 allows the D.P.P to make an application to the court for an order to freeze or seize property subject to confiscation in relation to a money laundering offence. Subsection 2 allows the D.P.P to make such an application without notice. Section 29 as amended bystates that "The Director of Public Prosecutions may make an application to the Court for an |
| | | Law enforcement agencies, the FIU or other competent authorities in the Commonwealth of Dominica do not have adequate powers to identify and trace property that is, or may become subject to confiscation or is suspected of being the proceeds of crime. There is little authority | ii. There should be authority to take steps to preventor void actions, whether contractual or otherwise, where the persons involved knew or should have known that as a result of those actions the authorities would be prejudiced in their ability to recover property subject to confiscation. | order to freeze- a) Property of, or in the possession or under the control of a person charged or who is about to be charged or is being investigated for a money laundering offence; b) Any property possessed by a person to whom a person referred to in paragraph (a) has directly or indirectly made a gift." Sub-section 2 will remain the same. Where a persons involved knew or should have known that as a result of those actions the authorities would be prejudiced in their ability to recover property subject to |

in The Commonwealth of Dominica to take steps to prevent or void whether actions. contractual or otherwise, where the persons involved knew or should have known that as a result of those actions the authorities would be prejudiced in their ability to recover property subject to confiscation.

confiscation, it would mean that they would no longer be considered "innocent" third parties .It would mean that they are accomplices or offenders in accordance to **Section 4 of the Money Laundering** (Prevention) (Amendment) Act and as such the authorities would have the power to seize their assets and there would be no need to void the transaction. However, legislation does provide for the voiding of transactions in certain situations. Section 11 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993, Section 38A of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by section 16 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of the 2011 and Section 34 of the MLP Act. No.8 of 2011 are designed for that specific purpose. These section state"The Court may-

- A) Before making a forfeiture order;
- B) In the case of property in respect of which a freezing order was made and where the order was duly serzed,

Set aside any conveyance or transfer of the property that occurred after the seizure of the property or the service of the freezing order, unless the conveyance or transfer was made for valuable consideration to a person acting in good faith or without notice."

N. B. The provision can be exercised on property being held or owned by a third party. Since the DPP can provide evidence to the Court by way of an application that

| | | the property is related to a person charged |
|----------|---|--|
| | | or who is about to be charged with or is |
| | | being investigated with a money laundering |
| | | offence, the DPP may make an application |
| | | to the Court for an Order to freeze the |
| | | property. Rights of bona fide third parties |
| | | are captured at Section 35 of Act No. 8 of |
| | | 2011 |
| | | In July 2010, the FIU secured a Freeze |
| | | Order on a House, its contents and motor |
| | | vehicles. In the same case, in August 2012, |
| | | the FIU secured a supplementary Freeze |
| | | Order on Bank Accounts and other assets. |
| | | Copies of the Freeze Orders are submitted |
| | | herewith. |
| | | If the property held by the third party |
| | | satisfies the broad definition of money |
| | | laundering as stated in Section 3 of the |
| | | Money Laundering (Prevention) Act No. 8 |
| | | of 2011 that third party will be charged for |
| | | money laundering and the property will be |
| | | subject to a Freeze Order. |
| | | Section 35 of Act No. 8 of 2011 requires the |
| | | DPP to publish Freeze Orders. This Section |
| | | also provides for bona fide third parties to |
| | | apply to the Court for recourse. |
| | | apply to the court for recourse. |
| | | Dominica has drafted an amendment to the |
| | | Money Laundering (Prevention) Act No.8 |
| | | of 2011 which would address the comment |
| | | which states "that Dominica has not |
| | | demonstrated that the existing confiscation |
| | | measures can be exercised on property held |
| | | or owned by a third party where that third |
| | | party has not been charged for a criminal |
| | | offence." |
| <u> </u> | 1 | OH CHECK |

| | | | | Clause 29 of the Draft Bill cited above appropriately deals with this comment. |
|--|----|--|---|--|
| Rec. 4 Secrecy laws consistent with the Recommendations | PC | Inability of the competent authorities to share information without an MOU or court order | i. Dominica should enact provisions allowing the ECCB, FSU, the MLSA, the registered agents to share information with other competent authorities | The FSU is the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority by virtue of section 7 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act No.8 of 2011. Sec. 32 of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008 as amended by Section 11 of the FSU (Amendment) Act No. 10 of 2011 makes provisions for the sharing of information with other competent authorities. It states "In discharging his functions under the Act the Director May- a) Seek assistance, share or request information from the Central Bank subject to a confidentiality agreement and a memorandum of understanding b) Seek assistance, share or request information, from other regulatory authority including a foreign regulatory authority." |
| Rec. 5 Customer due diligence | NC | The requirements that documents, data or information collected under the CDD process should be kept up to date by the financial institution is not | i. The legislation should entail requirement to undertake CDD measures according to recommendation 5. | The Money Laundering (Prevention) Regulations deal with customer due diligence. Specifically, regulation 10 places an obligation on a person carrying on a relevant business to obtain further information from the client and also dictates measures to be taken in relation to |

enforceable.

- The obligation that financial institutions should perform ongoing due diligence on the business relationships is not enforceable.
- The determination by the financial institution as to who are the ultimate beneficial owners is not enforceable.
- No guidance for the insurance companies with regards to identification and verification of the underlying principals, persons other than the policyholders.
- Financial institutions do not perform enhanced due diligence for higher risk customers.
- Financial institutions are not required to perform CDD measures on existing clients if they have

ii. The requirement for financial institutions to ensure that documents, data or information collected under the CDD process is kept up to date should be enforceable.

iii. Requirement for on-going due diligence on the business relationships should be enforceable.

the business relationship. Section 11 deals with on-going due diligence and section 12 deals with enhanced customer due diligence measures and on-going due diligence.

There is a proposed amendment to the Money Laundering (Prevention) (Amendment) Regulation S.R.O.4 of 2013 which provides for the information collected under the CDD process to be kept up to date. Section 25 of the of the Regulations will be amended by clause 4 of **Money** the Laundering (Prevention)(Amendment) Regulations which will insert a new section 25A which states "A person carrying on a relevant business shall keep documents, data or information collected under these Regulations up to date and relevant by undertaking reviews of existing records."

The Money Laundering (Prevention) (Amendment) Regulations is enforced by the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act No. 8 of 2011.

Section 7 of the MLPA No. 8 of 2011

Section 7 of the MLPA No. 8 of 2011 establishes the Financial Services Unit as the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority. Provisions of the MLPA and

Regulations are enforceable using the section quoted above along with section10 which allows the authority to give

| anonymous accounts. The business clients on the exempted list of the banks do not submit a source of fund declaration for each transaction. | v. | Requirement to take reasonable measures to determine who are the ultimate beneficial owners or exercise the ultimate effective control should be enforceable. The Guidance Notes should include additional guidance with regards to identification and verification of the underlying principals, persons other than the policyholders with regards to insurance companies. | directives to financial institutions. Section 11 of the Act gives the Authority the powers to administer the administrative sanctions. Section 11 (2) and 12 deals with the sanctions which can be imposed. Section 10 (1) (c) of the Money Laundering (Prevention) S.R.O 4 provides for the taking of reasonable measures to determine beneficial owners. This is in compliance with CDD measures outlined in the FATF recommendations. The FSU have established guidelines called the Anit-Money Laundering Guidelines of 2013. Paragraph 41 of the guidelines deal with identification and verification of the underlying principals, persons other than the policyholders with regards to insurance companies. Section 8, 10, 11, 12 & 22 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Regulations of 2012provide for enhanced due diligence for higher risk customers. However, sections 11 and 12 are directed to higher risk situations. These sections read as: 11 "A person carrying on a relevant |
|--|-----|--|--|
| | vi. | | 2012provide for enhanced due diligence for higher risk customers. However, sections 11 and 12 are directed to higher risk situations. These sections read as: |

| | | customer, his commercial activities, if any, and risk profile and, where required, the source of funds." 12. "A person carrying on a relevant business shall apply on a risk-sensitive basis enhanced customer due diligence measures and enhanced on going due diligence under regulation 11 in any situation which by its nature can present a higher risk of money laundering." |
|--|---|--|
| | vii. Financial institutions are not required to perform CDD measures on existing clients if they have anonymous accounts. | |
| | | Section 12 of theMoney Laundering (Prevention) Regulations of 2012 Anonymous accounts are not permitted in Dominica due to the identification requirements mandated by the MLP Regulations (current and proposed). Sections 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9 of S.R.O. 14 of 2001 implicitly prevents the opening of anonymous accounts (current regulations). These provisions are carried forward in the |

| | new MLP Regulations at section 3 and Part III of the MLP S.R.O. The exempt list has been eliminated. The exempt list in fact consisted of low risk customers. |
|---|--|
| viii. The bank should not keep an exempted list for business clients so that they do not require to fill out a source of fund declaration form for each deposit | Part III of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Regulations No. 4 of 2013 provides for <i>inter alia</i> the mandatory requirement for the production of sufficient evidence of identity with respect to both natural and legal persons. In the absence of the production of that information by the applicant for business the Regulations mandates that the relation should not proceed. |
| | In addition, information is required on the purpose and nature of the business relationship. |
| | Additional CDD control measures can be found at section 3 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Regulations which makes it mandatory for FIs and DNFBPs to maintain identification procedures in accordance with regulations 8, 9, 10 and 15; as well as record keeping, internal reporting (regulation (26), internal controls and communication procedures, an audit function to test compliance, screening procedures when hiring customers and initial and refreshers training policies. A penalty of forty thousand dollars and a term of imprisonment not exceeding two |

| | (2) ******* |
|--|--|
| | (2) years. |
| | |
| | |
| | Non-compliance with the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act and Regulations made thereunder will invoke the powers of the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority established at section 7 of the Money |
| | Laundering (Prevention) Act No. 8 of 2011. |
| | A range of sanctions are at the disposal of the said Authority at section 8, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act for non-compliance |
| | These sanctions range from warning letters, issuance of directives and guidelines with regards to measures to be implemented by FIs and DNFBPs, imposition of pecuniary penalties, suspension of activities, revocation of license or issuance of a reprimand. |
| | Sections 10, 11, 12 and 22 An additional element of the required CDD measures is captured at section 10 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Regulations regarding certain activities a FIs or DNFBP must do when establishing a business relationship. They include obtaining information on the purpose and nature of the business relationship; evidence of identity when the transaction is carried by either a natural or legal person. |
| | |
| | Mandatory on-going due diligence measures |

captured at section 11 of the Regulations provides for the execution of due diligence measures by financial institutions and DNFBPs with regards to every transaction conducted during the course of the business relationship. Existing customers are captured at section 22 where a period of six (6) months is given to the financial institution and DBFBPs to verify the identity of the customers failing which, the relationship should be terminated. extension of time may be granted only on application to the Financial Services Unit, the Supervisory Authority with oversight over these matters, for a period of six (6) months. However, failure by the financial institution or DNFBP to obtain the necessary data o sufficiently identify the identity of its customers, the regulation mandates that the relationship shall be terminated. Section 12 mandates that enhanced due diligence be conducted on a risk-sensitive basis in any situation which by its nature cold pose a higher risk of money laundering. This requirement forces the continuous updating of the records held by financial institutions and DNFBPs. Reference is made to CDD requirements to be obtained by the financial institution and DNFBPs on the identity of the beneficial owners of legal persons sufficient to identify the ownership and control structure of same. This includes incorporation documents, the

| | | identities of directors, the principal owners |
|--|--|--|
| | | and beneficial owners and any authorised to |
| | | act on behalf of the company including their |
| | | identities. |
| | | |
| | | These sections i.e. 3,8, 9, 10, 11 12, 13, 14, |
| | | 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of S.R.O. 4 |
| | | of 2013inter alia addresses the following |
| | | Section 3. Systems and training; Section |
| | | 8. Identification procedures, business |
| | | relationships and transactions; Section 9. |
| | | Identification and verification of customer |
| | | identity; Section 10. Further information to |
| | | be obtained and measures to be taken |
| | | when establishing a business relationship; |
| | | Section 11. On-going due diligence; |
| | | Section 12. Enhanced customer due |
| | | diligence measures and ongoing due |
| | | diligence; Section 13. Identification |
| | | measures where reliance placed on |
| | | intermediary; Section 14. Identification |
| | | procedure where payment by post, |
| | | delivered by hand or electronically; |
| | | Section 15. Identification procedure where |
| | | transaction is conducted on behalf of |
| | | another; Section 16. Obligation where |
| | | business is conducted on behalf of |
| | | another; Section 17. Persons exempted |
| | | from identification procedures; Section 18. |
| | | Evidence of identity not required in certain |
| | | circumstances; Section 19. Measures in |
| | | relation to politically exposed persons; |
| | | Section 20. Measures in relation to cross |
| | | border correspondent banking and similar |
| | | relationships; Section 21. Electronic funds |
| | | transfer to include originator information; |

| | Section 22. Existing customers. |
|--|---|
| | With regards to sections 17 and 18 where identifications procedures may not be required when conducting a transaction, this exemption is only applicable where during a previous transaction sufficient evidence of identity was presented by the customer who is a legal person and the customer is licensed and or registered, and supervised by the Authority, who is satisfied as to the adequacy of measures by that customer to prevent money laundering. |
| | Hence, it is explicitly implied due to the range of CDD measures that FIs and DFBPS have to comply with when establishing or on previously established business relationships, that anonymous accounts are not allowed within the jurisdiction. |
| | All clients of FIs and DNFBPs, including existing clients are required to produce sufficient information as relates to their identity. This is mandated in particular in regulations 8 and 22 – Existing Clients. All FIs and DFBPs are given at a maximum one (1) year to update all records of existing clients. Six (6) months in the first instance and an additional six (6) months on application approved by the Authority. The regulations further states that failure to update these records should result in the termination |

| | | | | of the business relationship. |
|------------------------------------|----|--|---|---|
| Rec. 6 Politically exposed persons | NC | It should be enforceable on the financial institutions that they apply enhanced and ongoing due diligence on their PEPs. | i. Recommendation 6 should be enforceable on the financial institutions. ii. Financial institutions should apply risk based approach on their PEPs clients, and continue to do enhanced due diligence on them. | (Prevention) Regulations SRO No. 4 of 2013 requires relevant businesses to put appropriate risk management systems in place to determine if a customer or |

| Rec. 7 Correspondent banking | NC | No requirement to determine the nature of business reputation of a respondent and the quality of supervision. No assessment of a respondent AML/CFT controls and responsibilities. No provision to obtain senior management approval before | i. ii. | The specific requirement to understand and document the nature of the respondent bank's business and reputation, supervision of the institution and if they have been subjected to money laundering or terrorist financing activities or regulatory action. Financial institutions | Regulation 20 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) (MLP) Regulations of 2012specifies the requirements for financial institutions with regards to cross border correspondent banking relationships and similar relationships. This section outlines the requirement for customer identification, assessment of the entity's anti—money laundering controls to ascertain that they are adequate and effective, and on going customer due diligence. Regulation 20 (1) (a),(b) &(c) of the MLP Regulations SRO No. 4 of 2013 requires banks to adequately identify and verify |
|-------------------------------|----|---|-----------|---|--|
| | | approval before establishing new correspondent relationships. | 11. | should be required to assess all the AML/CFT controls of respondent. | respondent banks, gather sufficient information and determine the reputation, quality of supervision including whether the respondent bank has been subject to a |

No condition to document respective AML/CFT responsibilities in correspondent relationships.

No requirement for financial institutions with correspondent relationships involving "payable through accounts" to be satisfied that the respondent.

Financial institutions have not performed all normal CDD obligations on its customers that have access to the accounts.

No requirement for the financial institution to satisfy themselves that the respondent institution can provide reliable customer identification data upon request.

money laundering investigation or regulatory action.

Regulation 20 (1) (d) of SRO No. 4 of 2013 requires banks to assess a respondent bank's anti money laundering controls and ascertain that they are adequate and effective.

Regulation 20(1) (f) deals with documentation of responsibilities in correspondent relationships.

iii. The financial institutions should document the AML/CTF responsibility of each institution in a correspondent relationship

iv. Financial institutions should require senior management approval before establishing new correspondent relationships.

v. Financial institutions should ensure that the correspondent relationships if involved in payable through accounts that they normal CDD obligations as set out in R5 have been adhered to and they are able to provide relevant

Regulation 20 (1) (e) requires the in relation to cross- border correspondent banking, that a bank must first obtain the approval fromsenior management before establishing a new correspondent relationship;

Regulation 20(2) addresses concern v. It provides for necessary measures related to payable through accounts. The section states "Where a cross-border correspondent banking relationship involves the maintenance of payable-accounts, the bank or the financial institutions shall ensure that the person or entity with whom it has established the relationship-

- (a) has verified the identity of and performed(b)
- on-going due diligence on such of that person's customers that have direct access to accounts of the financial institution; andis able to provide the relevant customer identification

| | customer request. | identification | upon | data upon request to the financial institution." |
|--|----------------------|----------------|------|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | O No. 4 of 2013 requires |
| | | | | banks to document the responsibilities of financial |
| | | | | institutions in correspondent banking |
| | | | | relationships. |
| | | | | Section 20 (2) of SRO No. 4 of 2013 provides for necessary measures related to payable through accounts. |

| Rec. 8 New technologies & non face-to-face | NC | There are no provisions which require the financial institutions to have measures aimed at preventing misuse of technology developments in money laundering and terrorist financing. | red to | nancial institutions should be quired to have measures aimed prevent the misuse of chnological developments. | |
|---|----|--|-----------|--|--|
| Rec. 9 Third parties and introducers | PC | No requirement for financial institutions to immediately obtain from all third parties necessary information concerning certain elements of the CDD process referenced in Recommendation 5.3 to 5.6 The requirement that financial service providers be ultimately responsible for obtaining documentary evidence of identity of all clients is not enforceable. Competent authorities should give guidance with regards to countries in which the third party can be based. | i. ii. | Financial institutions relying on a third party should be required to immediately obtain from the third party the necessary information concerning the elements of the CDD process detailed in Recommendation 5.3 to 5.6. The requirement that financial service providers be ultimately responsible for obtaining documentary evidence of identity of all clients should me made enforceable Competent authorities should take into account information on countries which apply FATF Recommendations in determining in which country the third party can be based. | Regulation 13 of the MLP Regulations of 2013. "Where a person carrying on a relevant business relies on an intermediary or third party to undertake its obligations under regulations 8, 9,10 or 19 or to introduce business to it- (a) It must be satisfied that the third party is able to provide copies of identification data and other documents relating to the obligation of due diligence under regulations8,9,10 or19 without delay; (b) it shall satisfy itself that the third party or intermediary is regulated and supervised, and has measures in place to comply with the requirements set out in regulations8,9,10,19,20 and 24. U SWP pertinent guidance and supervised and has measures in place to comply with the necessary will be given to financial institutions. |
| Rec. 10 | C | | | | Money Laundering (Prevention) |

| December 2 | | | | | (Amendment) Regulation deals with record |
|----------------------|----|------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| Record keeping | | | | | keeping. |
| Rec. 11 | PC | No requirement for | i. | The Commonwealth of | Section 19 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 as |
| | | financial institutions to | | Dominica should consider | amended by section 6 of the Money |
| Unusual transactions | | examine as far as possible | | amending its legislation so as | Laundering (Prevention) (Amendment) Act |
| | | the background and | | to mandate financial | meets the requirements of the examiners, as |
| | | purpose of complex, | | institutions to examine the | it places the obligations outlined in |
| | | unusual large transactions | | background and purpose of | recommendation 11 on financial |
| | | and to set their findings in | | all complex, unusual or large | institutions or persons carrying on a |
| | | writing. | | business transactions | scheduled business. Section 19(1)(ii)and (iii) |
| | | | | whether completed or not, all | states that "A financial institution or person |
| | | | | unusual patterns of | carrying on a scheduled business shall pay |
| | | | | transactions which have no | attention to- |
| | | | | apparent or visible economic | (ii) all unusual patterns of transactions, |
| | | | | or lawful purpose. | whether completed or not; |
| | | | | | (iii) insignificant put periodic transactions, |
| | | | ii. | The Commonwealth of | |
| | | | | Dominica should consider | |
| | | | | amending its legislation so | 0 2 2 |
| | | | | that the financial institutions | financial institution or person carrying on a |
| | | | | would be mandated to | scheduled business shall examine as far as |
| | | | | examine the background and | possible the background and purpose of |
| | | | | purpose of all complex, | |
| | | | | unusual or large business | |
| | | | | transactions whether | least seven years."Section 19(1B) states that: |
| | | | | completed or not, all unusual | "A financial institution or person carrying |
| | | | | patterns of transactions | on a scheduled business shall make the |
| | | | | which have no apparent or | records kept under subsection (1A) available |
| | | | | visible economic or lawful | |
| | | | | purpose and set fort their | io us auduor. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | findings in writing and to | |
| | | | | make such findings available | |
| | | | | to competent authorities and | |
| | | | | auditors. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | | Section 19 of MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 to be amended to include new sections (2) a |
|-------------------------------|----|--|----|--|---|
| Rec. 12 DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11 | NC | The requirements of Recommendations 5, 6, 8 to 11 are not adequately enforced on DNFBPs. | i. | The deficiencies identified for all financial institutions for R.5, R.6, and R.8-11 in the relevant sections of this report are also applicable to DNFBPs. The implementation of the specific recommendations in the relevant sections of this report will also be applicable to DNFBPs. While Dominica has passed legislation capturing DNFBPs under its AML/CFT regime, there is no competent authority that ensures these entities are subject to monitoring and compliance with the requirements of the MPLA or the Guidance Notes. | Section 7 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011establishes the Financial Services Unit as the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority. Section 9 (1) (b) of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008 as amended by section 6 of Act No. 10 of 2011 Part II and III of the MLP Regulations 2012 DNFBPs are a subset of 'relevant business' as captured at Section 2 (1) in SRO No. 4 of 2013. Thus the SRO is applicable to DNFBPs. |

| | | | iii. | subject to ongoing monitoring and compliance given the role that they play in the keeping of and maintenance of beneficial owners' information for IBC's and other companies that they register. | The FSU SWP addresses data capture during the year. |
|--|----|---|------|---|--|
| Rec. 13 Suspicious transaction reporting | NC | The requirement to report suspicious transactions should be linked to all transactions and not only to complex, large, unusual. No requirement to report attempted transactions. The reporting of an STR does not include transactions that are linked to terrorism | | The financial institutions should be required to report STRs to the FIU. The requirement for financial institutions to report suspicious transactions should also be applicable to attempted transactions. The obligation to make a STR | Sec. 19 (2) of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 Makes provision for the reporting of all transactions, proposed transaction or attempted transactions that raises reasonable suspicion of being related to money laundering offences or proceeds of crime to the Director of the FIU. |

| | | institutions. | | | believe that an investigation into a money laundering offence has been, is being or is about to be made shall not prejudice the investigation by divulging the fact to another person." |
|---|----|---|-----|---|--|
| | | | | | that an investigation into a money laundering offence has been, is being or is about to be made shall not predu |
| | | | | | Section 21 of MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 |
| | | | | | The 'tipping off' provision in Section 5 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 references 'a person' which is broadly defined at Section 2 (1) of the said Act and specifically refers to directors, officers and employees at Section 21 of Act No. 8 of 2011. |
| Rec. 15 Internal controls, compliance & audit | PC | Financial institutions do not maintain an independent audit function to test compliance with policies, procedures and | i. | The requirement to maintain independent audit functions to test compliance with procedures, policies and controls should be adhered | Development of CFT Regulations. Section 3 (1) (a) (v) and (vi) of the MLP |
| | | controls Internal procedures do not | ii. | to. Requirement of the financial | Regulations as per Section 54 (3) of Act No. 8 of 2011 Section 3 (1) (a) (v) of SRO No. 4 of 2013 |
| | | include terrorist financing. | 11. | institutions to have internal procedures with regards to money laundering should also include terrorist financing. | requires a person carrying on a relevant business to maintain an audit function to test compliance with its anti-money laundering procedures, policies and controls. |
| | | | | | Section 3 (1) (a) (vi) of the cited SRO requires the maintenance of screening |

| Rec. 16 | NC | No effective application of | i. | There is no specific body | procedures to ensure high standards when hiring employees Via section 7 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 |
|----------------------|----|---|-----|--|--|
| DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21 | | R 13-14, R 15 and 21. No competent body to impose sanctions/fines. | | charged with the duty of applying sanctions to DNFBPs without requiring a court order. | the FSU is charged with the duty of applying sanctions to the DFNBPs without first requiring a court order. Sections 9-12 of the Act outlines the measures which the authority can take, none of which requires a court order. Section 11 of the MLP ACT No.8 of 2011 |
| | | | ii. | As well the FSU does not conduct ongoing monitoring and compliance checks on these entities or persons to ensure that the requirements of R 13-14, R 15 and 21 are complied with, particularly as regards the money remitters and licensed agents. It is recommended that a competent authority (FSU) be entrusted with the legal responsibility of imposing sanctions or fines as well as conducting on-going monitor and compliance. | makes provisions for the imposition of sanctions on financial institutions and scheduled entities. These sanctions also include the imposition of fines. Section 12 (C) of the Act makes provisions for the imposition of a "pecuniary penalty" on schedule entities or financial institutions. Section 9(1) (b) of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008 as amended by Section 6 of the FSU (Amendment) Act No. 10 of 2011 deals with onsite monitoring by FSU of scheduled entities and financial institutions. The FSU has established a structured work programme in August 2012, which includes onsite monitoring and offsite surveillance of scheduled entities. The FSU has conducted onsite inspections of the commercial banks and two offshore banks. |

| | | | | Section 7 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 and Section 9 (1) (b) of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008 establishes the FSU as the Regulatory / Supervisory Authority for scheduled entities. DNFBPs are scheduled entities. The FSU SWP of August 2012 focused on inspections. A further developed SWP is forwarded herewith. Sections 11, 12 and 13 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 authorizes the FSU to apply administrative sanctions on DNFBPs |
|-------------------|----|---|--|--|
| Rec. 17 Sanctions | NC | Lack of a designated in regulatory body to apply sanctions/fines and the absence of a clearly defined process in the law or guidance notes. | body designated to impose administrative and civil sanctions/fines for non-compliance with the requirements of the AML/CFT legislation/regime. As well the legislation should define the process for applying these sanctions. | Section 7 of the MLP Act No.8 of 2011 has established the FSU as the Money Laundering Supervisory authority. Under section 9 the Unit has the authority to issue directives and section 10-12 gives thetheunit the authority to impose administrative and other sanctions on financial institutions and scheduled entities for non-compliance with the requirements of the Act and Regulations which reflect the requirements of AML//CFT. The sections also defines the process for applying these sanctions. |
| | | | | Sec. 47 (1) of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 17 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011. Section 7 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 and Section 9 (1) (b) of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008 establishes the FSU as the Regulatory / Supervisory Authority for scheduled entities. The FSU SWP of August 2012 focused on |

| | | | | | inspections. A further developed SWP is forwarded herewith. Sections 11, 12 and 13 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 authorize the FSU to apply administrative sanctions on scheduled entities. Section 47 (1) of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 17 of Act No. 9 of 2011 provides for administrative sanctions related to terrorist financing. |
|----------------------------------|----|--|----|--|---|
| Rec. 18 Shell banks | NC | The requirement for domestic and offshore banks not to enter into correspondent banking relationship with shell banks is not enforceable. No requirement for financial institution to satisfy themselves that the respondent financial institutions do not permit their accounts to be used by shell banks. | i. | Financial institutions should not be permitted to enter into, or continue correspondent banking relationship with shell banks Financial institutions should be required to satisfy themselves that respondent financial institutions in a foreign country do not permit their accounts to be used by shell banks. | Regulation 20(3) of the Money Laundering (Prevention) S.R.O4 of 2013 prohibits banking relationship with shell banks. The section states "A bank shall not maintain a business relationship with banks that do not maintain a physical presence under the laws of which they were established, unless they are part of a financial group subject to effective consolidated supervision" |
| Rec. 19 Other forms of reporting | NC | No evidence that Dominica has considered the feasibility and utility of implementing a fixed threshold currency reporting system. | i. | The Commonwealth of Dominica is advised to consider the implementation of a system where all (cash) transactions above a fixed threshold are required to be reported to the FIU. In this regard the Commonwealth of Dominica should include as part of their consideration any possible | The FIU is currently conducting a critical analysis of a cash reporting system. A document will be generated by June 2013 Dominica has considered the feasibility and utility of implementing a fixed threshold currency reporting system. Attached is a document headed "Report on FATF Recommendation 19" which provides the |

| | | | increases in the amount of STRs filed, the size of this increase compared to resources available for analyzing the information. | necessary action taken to demonstrate compliance with this recommendation. |
|--|----|--|---|--|
| Rec. 20 Other NFBP & secure transaction techniques | PC | Procedures adopted for modern secure techniques are ineffective | i. More on-site inspections are required. i. Modern secured transaction techniques should be scheduled under the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act, 2000 (Chapter 40:07), | |
| Rec. 21 Special attention for higher risk countries | NC | There are no measures that require competent authorities to ensure that financial institutions are notified about AML/CFT weaknesses in other countries. There are no provisions that allow competent authorities to apply countermeasures to countries that do not or insufficiently apply | established to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about AML/CFT weaknesses in other countries. | |

| | | the FATF Recommendations. | | |
|---|----|---|--|---|
| Rec. 22 Foreign branches & subsidiaries | PC | Requirement to inform the home country supervisor when local laws and guidelines prohibit the implementation. | i. Inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CTF measures because this is prohibited by local laws, regulations and measures. | |
| Rec. 23 Regulation, supervision and monitoring | NC | No competent authority assigned the responsibility of monitoring and ensuring compliance with AML/CFT requirements. No specific body entrusted with the responsibility for conducting on-site examinations and regular off-site monitoring. | i. The FSU should be entrusted with the legal authority to ensure compliance with the MLPA, its Regulations and the Anti-Money Laundering Guidance Notes. As well the Unit should implement a structured work programme, approved by the Financial Director to ensure ongoing onsite and off-site monitoring. These measures should be applicable to all institutions under the regulation | Sec. 9 (1) (b) of the FSU Act 18 of 2008speaks broadly to the monitoring of compliance by regulated persons. This monitoring can take the form of either offsiteand onsite or both types of monitoring. Undert the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act NO.8 of 2011, the FSU was made the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority. The FSU Amendment Bill will amend section 9 of the Act in paragraph b to specifically include offsite surveillance. |

| | | and supervision of the FSU. The Unit should also be legally entrusted with the responsibility to license or register DNFBP'S and those financial institutions not under the purview of the ECCB. | No. Min licen with inve busi a fit amo a fi |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | | By (Pre now entr |
| | | | • |
| | | | • |

and supervision of the FSU. The | Section 6 (2) Money Services Business Act 8 of 2010. Notwithstanding that the nister is the one who actually issues the ence, the FSU is the one who is charged th the important task of conducting the restigations to ascertain the nature of the siness of applicants, that the applicant is it and proper person to conduct business ong other things. As such the FSU plays fundamental role in the issuing of nses.

> virtue of the Money Laundering revention) Act No.8 of 2011 the FSU is w the supervisory authority and it is now trusted with

- The supervision of all financial institutions and persons carrying on scheduled business
- Developing anti-money laundering strategies for Dominica
- Advising the Minister with regard to any matter relating to money laundering
- Creating and promoting training requirements for financial institutions and persons carrying on scheduled businesses
- Conducting inspections of any financial institutions or scheduled businesses whenever it is necessary to do so to ensure compliance with requirements of the MLP Act.
- Sending of information received from inspection to the Unit where it is believed that a money laundering

| | offence has been committed. |
|--|---|
| | The FSU has established a structured work programme in August 2012, which includes onsite monitoring and offsite surveillance of scheduled entities. The FSU has conducted onsite inspections of the commercial banks and two offshore banks.more information is required. |
| | The FSU Structured Work Program (SWP) established in August 2012 focused essentially on inspections. A further developed FSU SWP is submitted herewith. As obtains with other jurisdictions, offsite surveillance is not legislated as it is not necessary to legislate offsite surveillance. However, Dominica intends to make the legislative amendment before the May Plenary. |
| | Examinations The FSU has conducted onsite examinations of the various financial institutions set out in |
| | Part 1 of the Schedule to Act No. 8 of 2011 and Schedule 2 of Act No. 9 of 2011 to examine compliance with the MLPA/CFTA and the guidance notes and to satisfy itself that there is sound compliance by the sector with |
| | the legislative requirements. The following is a list of the onsite examination which was |

| | done: |
|--|---|
| | 1. National Bank of Dominica; August 8,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 2. Scotia Bank; August 13,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 3. Royal Bank of Dominica; August 20,2013; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 4. Kensington Bank; August 21,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 5. First Caribbean International Bank; August 21,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 6. Commonwealth Bank; October; 18,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 7. Easy Money Financial Corporation; October 23,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 8. Western Union; May 30,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 9. Archipelago Trading; June 14,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 10. Financial Services Inc. (Fast Cash); |

| | July 3,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk 11. Suncard; June 19,2012; evaluation of |
|--|--|
| | the AML/CFT risk 12. Ready Credit; August 2,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 13. Big Edge Financial Corporation; July 19,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | 14. Credit Union Managers and Compliance Officers; November 14,2012; evaluation of the AML/CFT risk |
| | Offsite Examinations |
| | • The Institutions AML/CFT compliance programe was submitted to the Financial Services Unit during the period August 2012 to December |
| | 2012 where an offsite evaluation has been conducted to assess the level of prudence and compliance that exists at various institutions as it relates to |
| | combating money laundering and terrorist financing. During this evaluation the following areas were; the institutions risk profile, volume of business, nature of business, customer |

| | base, product and services offered, training programe, effectiveness of compliance officer, reporting and record keeping, customer due diligence, know your employees and customers and customer identification programs. |
|--|--|
| | As part of the structured work programe of the Financial Services Unit, it is expected that during the quarter ending June, 2013 the follow up process of bot onsite and offsite evaluation of all the Schedule entities will be conducted and emphasis placed on continued evaluation of these institutions. |
| | The FSU has developed an onsite inspection manual specifically catered to deal with aspects of AML/CFT. This manual would be used as a guide and provide assistance in conducting onsite inspections on financial institutions and the DFNB's. The manual addresses the issue of EC23.3. |
| | Members of the FSU who are responsible for conducting onsite inspections will soon be undergoing CAM certification process in order to equip them with more useful tools for conducting inspections. This will also help in the area of demonstrating that the FSU has adequate expertise in terms of training of its examiners. |
| | The FSU has also made some improvements |

| Rec. 24 DNFBP - regulation, supervision and monitoring | NC | No regulatory/supervisory measure are in place to ascertain compliance with AML/CFT laws and guidelines nor, is the FSU charged with the responsibility of | i. There is no comprehensive regulatory and supervisory regime that ensures compliance by casinos and other DNFBPs with the AML/CFT regime that is in place. As well, there is no designated regulatory body to discharge that function as well as to apply relevant sanctions/fines | established the FSU as the Money Laundering Supervisory authority. Under section 9 the Unit has the authority to issue directives and section 10-12 gives thetheunit the authority to impose administrative and other sanctions on financial institutions for non-compliance with the requirements of the the Act and Regulations which reflect |
|---|----|--|---|---|
| | | monitoring and ensuring compliance with AML/CFT requirements. | for non-compliance. | the requirements of AML//CFT. The sections also define the process for applying these sanctions. Section 7 and 8 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011. |
| | | | i. It is recommended that a competent body, the FSU be charged with the responsibility of monitoring and ensuring compliance with the requirements of the regime as well as imposing sanctions. i. The AML/CFT legislation should also detail the process to be adopted when applying sanctions. | 2008 as amended by section 6 of the FSU (Amendment) Act No. 10 of 2011 deals with onsite monitoring. Sec. 47 of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 17 of the SFT |

| Rec. 25 Guidelines & Feedback | NC | Non issuance of ispecific guidelines to assist DNFBPs and other financial institutions with implementing the requirements of the AML/CFT regime. Non issuance of guidelines by SROs and other competent authority (FSU) for DNFBPs. The authority has not provided the financial sector with adequate and appropriate feedback on the STRs | financial institutions and DNFBPs with adequate and appropriate feedback on the STRs. | |
|----------------------------------|----|--|---|--|
| Institutional and other measures | | | | |

| Rec. 26 | PC | The FIU is not the central authority for | i. The FIU should be made the central authority for the receipt | Sec. 4 (1) (a) of the FIU Act No. 7 of 2011 makes the FIU the central authority for |
|---------|----|--|---|---|
| The FIU | 10 | the receipt of STRs | of STRs from reporting entities | receipt of STR reporting and information |
| The Fie | | from reporting | as it relates to both Money | relating to the property of terrorist groups |
| | | entities. | Laundering and Terrorist | and financing. Sec. 19 (2) of the MLP Act |
| | | endues. | Financing. | No. 8 of 2011 |
| | | . In practice CTDs are | r mancing. | dictates that suspicious transactions be |
| | | • In practice STRs are filed with the MLSA | | reported to the FIU. |
| | | | | reported to the FIC. |
| | | and copies are made available to the FIU. | | Section 19A (2) of the SFT Act No. 3 of |
| | | available to the FIU. | | 2003 as amended by Section 11 of the SFT |
| | | | | (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011. |
| | | • The FIU does not have | | This section clearly states that suspicion |
| | | total control over the | | transactions as it relates to money |
| | | STRs it maintains on | | laundering and terrorist financing "shall |
| | | behalf of the MLSA. | | promptly" be reported to the "Unit". Unit |
| | | | | in this section refers to the FIU. So both the |
| | | Although the FIU has | | MLPA and the SFTA acknowledges the |
| | | almost immediate | | FIU as the central authority for the receipt |
| | | access to the STRs | | of STRs. |
| | | submitted by the | | of STAS. |
| | | Financial Institutions | | |
| | | and other scheduled | | |
| | | entities, the MLPA | ii. The FIU should have | It is an accepted international standard |
| | | charges that the STRs | more control over its budget | that FIUs can be located in the Ministries of |
| | | should be sent to the | since the control currently | Legal Affairs or Finance and as such, |
| | | Money Laundering | maintained by the Ministry | would have to comply with the accounting |
| | | Supervisory Authority | could impact the Unit's | procedures of the Ministry. Budgeting is |
| | | (MLSA) who is then | operation and to some extent its | addressed at Sections 10 and 11 of Act No. |
| | | charged with sending | independence. | 7 of 2011. The FIU is allocated a yearly |
| | | it to the FIU. At the | macpendence. | budget which is under the direct control of |
| | | same time the | | the Director. |
| | | legislation requires that STRs relating to | | |
| | | the TF should be sent | | |
| | | to the Commissioner | | |
| | | | | Analysis of an appropriate backup storage |
| | | of Police. | | in appropriate backup storage |

| To the extent that the budget of the FIU is controlled by the Ministry this could impact on its ability to be operationally independent. The annual report prepared by the Unit is not made public. | iii. Although the security of the database seems adequate, backup data should be housed off-site to ensure that in the event of a catastrophe at the Unit there would be the opportunity for the recovery of data. iv. The FIU should prepare annual reports which they would be able to disseminate to the public which would enhance awareness. | system. This system will be implemented in the near future. As it pertains to the Offsite Storage of FIU Information; a Security Safe has been acquired and immobilized at a secure offsite location. FIU database backups are continuously generated and secured within the said safe. Sec. 9 of the FIU Act No. 7 of 2011. Analysis of available Annual Reports. Production of Annual Report to include requisite information. It must be noted that the FIU can apply for Seizure and Restraint Orders under the of Section 37 (1) of Act No. 3 of 2003 and Forfeiture Orders under the aegis of |
|--|--|--|
| The data held by the FIU however, all backup data are housed on site which effectively defeats the purpose of having the backup done. | awareness. | Section 8 of Act No. 3 of 2003 in relation to property of terrorists and terrorist groups. The FIU continues to maintain comprehensive and secured databases on the Microsoft SQL Platform in accordance with essential criteria 32.2 of Recommendation 32. In 2012, the FIU received 87 STRs, 15 requests from the Police Service, 6 requests from Regional FIUs and 6 requests from Members of the Egmont Group. The FIU made two requests of Egmont Members. All requests were fulfilled. The FIU has an active case portfolio of 22 |

| | | | | cases with 9 cases at the Magistrate's Court. The FIU's Annual Report has been prepared by the FIU and has been laid before Parliament. A copy of the report is attached hereto. |
|--------------------------------------|----|---|---|---|
| Rec. 27 Law enforcement authorities | PC | No consideration of taking measures providing for the postponement or waiving of arrest of suspects or seizure of money for the purpose of identifying suspects or for evidence gathering. There is no group specialized in investigating the proceeds of crime. | i. Provisions should be made in domestic legislation that allow authorities investigation ML cases to postpone or waive the arrest of suspected persons and/or the seizure of money for the purpose of identifying persons involved in such activities or for evidence gathering. ii. Legislation should be put in place to provide investigators of Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing cases with a wide range of investigative techniques including controlled delivery. iii. There should be a group of officers who would be trained in investigating the proceeds of crime, perhaps in the NJIC, who would supplement the efforts of the | money laundering, the CDPF has trained a cadre of police officers in financial investigations, money laundering, terrorist financing and cyber-crime investigations. Between 2008 and 2012 some twenty eight (28) police officers have been trained to facilitate the detection, prevention and deterrence of money laundering and the financing of terrorist activities. As part of our the mandate of the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority, the FSU is responsible for providing training and assisting the sector in efficiently structuring and educating its staff and those directly involved in the financial services sector. The following training has been provided, both |

| | FIU. | Money Laundering and Terrorist |
|--|------|---|
| | | Financing by Mr.ArthertonNesty, Senior Examiner |
| | | 2. July 2012, Training provide to the Money Services Business Sector, on Combating Money laundering and Terrorist Financing and familiarization with the various pieces of legislation. |
| | | 3. September 10,17 and 24 2012, training provided to Financial Services Inc.(Fast Cash), Money laundering and Terrorist Financing by Mr.ArthertonNesty |
| | | 4. October 2012, Training provided to Easy Money Financial Corporation on Combating Money Laundering. |
| | | 5. November 2012, Training provided to the Credit Union Sector on Terrorist Financing and Money Laundering |
| | | 6. February 2013, training provided to Archipelago Trading/Cambio Man, Money Gram on the familiarization with the AML/CFT Act and the combating of Money Laundering. |
| | | The Financial Services Unit continue to |

| | | | | ensure that the financial sector is properly educated as it relates to combating money laundering and terrorist financing and in this drive have put in place a structured work programe for 2013 which will place much emphasis on Training, offsite and onsite examination and prudential benchmarks related to AML/CFT in the Commonwealth of Dominica. |
|--|----|---|---|--|
| | | | | A policy document is currently being drafted which will deal with the use of controlled deliveries as an investigative tool in both money laundering and terrorist financing cases. Dominica is currently in the process of drafting MOU's which will be used by States as a tool for coordinating the use of controlled deliveries in the fight against money laundering and terrorist financing. This draft policy will outline the roles of the relevant law enforcement agencies and the process for the use of controlled deliveries. |
| Rec. 28 Powers of competent authorities | PC | No provision in the SFTA which affords the FIU or the Commissioner of Police the ability to compel the production of business transaction records, in pursuit of TF investigations. No explicit legal provision for predicate offences investigators | i. The SFTA should be amended to provide investigators with the ability to compel the production of business transaction records. ii. There should be explicit legal provisions for the investigators of predicate offences to be able to obtain search warrants which would enable them seize and obtain business transaction | By virtue of section 4 of the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act 10 of 2010, Terrorism and Financing of Terrorism are scheduled offences. Section 46 of POCA #4 of 1993 makes provisions that i) where a person is convicted of a scheduled offence or ii) where the police officer has reasonable grounds for suspecting that a person has committed a scheduled offence, |

| | | to obtain search warrants to seize and obtain business transaction records. | records. | of the High Court for a search warrant to seize necessary documents in an effort to facilitate an investigation. |
|---------------------|----|--|---|---|
| Rec. 29 Supervisors | PC | FSU does not have the authority to conduct inspections of financial institutions, including on-site inspections to ensure effective monitoring and compliance. | i. The FSU should be legally entrusted with the authority to monitor and ensure compliance with the AML/CFT requirements. As well the Unit should be able to conduct onsites, request off site information and should be entrusted also with adequate powers of enforcement against its licensees and registrants that are not subject to the Off Shore Banking Act or the Banking Act. | Section 1 (3) of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008 as amended by Section 3 of the FSU (Amendment) Act No. 10 of 2011 Section 7 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011of the Act establishes the FSU as the Money Laundering Supervisory Authority. Section 8 of the MLPA Act No. 8 of 2011 outlines the functions of the Authority. Section 9 of the Act provides the FSU with the authority to issue guidelines in respect of standards to be observed and measures to be implemented by financial institutions. Section 10-12 entrusts the FSU with adequate powers of enforcement against scheduled entities and financial institutions which include the powers to issue directives as contained in section 10; the power to impose administrative sanctions as captured by section 11; and to provide for the suspension of activities and suspension and revocation of licensees as contained in section 12 of the Act. |

| | | | | Section 9 of the FSU Act No. 18 of 2008entrusts the FSU with the authority to monitor and ensure compliance with the AML/CFT requirements. Sections 9(1) (a-d) specifically deal with monitoring compliance. Section 9 as amended by section 6 of the Financial Services Unit (Amendment) Act 10 of 2011 makes provision for on site monitoring. A proposed amendment has been tabled in front of Parliament to make provision for offsite surveillance. Section 9 of the Act will be amended in paragraph (b) by inserting the words "and offsite surveillance" immediately after the word "examinations." |
|---|----|---|---|---|
| Rec. 30 Resources, integrity and training | NC | The staff of the FIU consists of only four persons where the Senior investigator functions as the systems administrator who in the absence of the Director also has to take on those duties. There is not a sufficient staff compliment in the Police, the FIU and the Supervisory Authority to be able to completely deal with issues | i. The staff of the Unit should be expanded to include a database administrator. ii. The FSU is not adequately staffed. The Unit's request for additional staff should be adhered to. It is also recommended that a restructuring of the Unit should be considered so that its regulatory and supervisory functions can be discharged effectively. iii. The FSU should consider the | As at August 1, 2012; the FIU has a permanent staff of 6 officers. A primary responsibility of one of these officers is data base management. The FIU continues to maintain comprehensive and secured data bases on the Microsoft SQL Server Platform in accordance with essential criteria 32.2 of Recommendation 32. In 2012, The FIU received technical assistance from ECFIAT in case management and capacity building and from NAS of the US Embassy in capacity building. OAS CICAD and CICTE and UNODC had given the FIU technical assistance in October 2011 and is considering the |

relating to ML, FT and other predicate offences.

- There is also only limited continuous vetting of officers to ensure that the highest level of integrity is maintained.
- The FSU should be adequately staffed to discharge its functions.
- The staff, and budget and Anti-money laundering/combating of terrorist financing training of the staff in the DPP Office is in adequate

establishment of databases to allow for effective off-site supervision.

- iv. Technical resource- The Police Force should be provided with better communication equipment.
- v. With the increased demand on the Police the numbers in the police contingent should be increased.
- vi. Special training in money laundering and terrorist financing should be provided to magistrates and judges to ensure they are familiar with the provisions for dealing with the seizure, freezing and confiscation of property
- vii.There should be a group of officers who would be trained in investigating the proceeds of crime, perhaps in the NJIC, who would supplement the efforts of the FIU.
- viii. There should be regular inter agency meetings among all the agencies that are charged with ensuring the effectiveness of the AML/CFT regime.

delivery of further technical assistance

Custom and Excise personnel is also an important part of the law enforcement apparatus. There are several units in this department that are responsible for investigations into money laundering, terrorism financing and FATF 20 designated categories of offences. This units are the Intelligence Unit, Investigation Unit, Mobile Unit Risk Management Unit, Canine Unit

The establishment of the Commonwealth of **Dominica Police Force was increased to five** (500) hundred by a Cabinet decision dated March 2, 2010 by the creation of fifty (50) new Police Constables positions. The present strength is four hundred and sixty with forty (40) vacancies which is mostly due to attrition. Some thirty eight (38) Police Recruits commenced training at the **Police Training School at Morne Bruce on** March 1, 2013 and are expected to join the ranks of the Police Force by September 2013. The Government of Dominica has given a commitment to further increase the establishment of the Police Force by the creation of an additional one hundred (100) new positions.

| | ix. There should be put in place some measures to vet the officers in these agencies to ensure that they maintain a high level of integrity x. Databases should be established which can be shared by all authorities responsible for monitoring and ensuring compliance with the AML/CFT regime in Dominica. | The Dominica Police Force introduced polygraph testing as part of its vetting process of persons who work in sensitive or specialized sections such as the CID, Anticrime Task Force, Drug Squad, Special Branch, and NJIC in 2011. The polygraph testing of the ranks of the Police Force is being done on a voluntary basis. The vetting process is coordinated by the Regional Security System (RSS) and funded by the US Embassy in Barbados. The US only provides funding for the vetting of persons in specialized sections or areas. Between November 2012 and February 2013 some sixty eight (68) police officers were vetted comprising of senior managers, middle managers and lower ranks. Other sensitive personnel and other ranks will be vetting if funding is available. Outside funding will have to be sourced for personnel not in specialized or sensitive areas and new entrants into the Police Force. |
|--|--|--|
| | | The permanent staff of the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions consists of the Director of Public Prosecutions and two State Attorneys. |

| | As part of Dominica Police Force's approach to effective criminal intelligence gathering, the NJIC is charged with the responsibility to deal with intelligence gathering as it pertains to national security issues and not the investigations of money laundering and terrorist financing cases. |
|--|---|
| | As part of its strategic approach to assist in the efforts to deter, prevent and thwart money laundering, the CDPF has trained a cadre of police officers in financial investigations, money laundering, terrorist financing and cyber-crime investigations. Between 2008 and 2012 some twenty eight (28) police officers have been trained to facilitate the detection, prevention and deterrence of money laundering and the financing of terrorist activities. |
| | Recently, some of these trained police officers were able to provide support for the FIU during a major money laundering investigations. |

| Rec. 31 National co-operation | PC | There are no joint meetings dedicated to developing policies and strategies relating to AML/CFT The Supervisory Authority does not adequately supervise the DNFBPs and other entities in the financial sector at this time. There should be measures in place so that the authorities can There are, coordinate with each other concerning the development and implementation of policies and activities to combat ML and FT. | i. There should be regular inter agency meetings among all the agencies that are charged with ensuring the effectiveness of the AML/CFT regime. ii. The Supervisory Authority needs to expand its activity so as to ensure that all entities who may be susceptible to be used for Money laundering or Terrorist Financing are aware of these dangers and take the necessary precautions. iii. There should be established and maintained regular inter-agency meetings where policies and actions are developed. iv. There should be a closer link between the Supervisory Authority and the DNFBPs. v. There should be measures to allow the authorities to coordinate in Dominica with each other concerning developments with regards to money laundering and terrorist financing. | There are effective cooperation / coordination among local agencies such as the Customs, Police, FIU in regards to money laundering. terrorism financing and other designated category of offences. The Customs is part of the Technical Working |
|--------------------------------|----|---|--|--|
| Rec. 32 Statistics | NC | Competent authorities appear to have limited opportunity to maintain | i. The competent authorities should maintain comprehensive statistics on matters relevant to the effectiveness and efficiency | In 2012, the FIU has commenced two new cases in the Magistrate's Court under the aegis of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of |

comprehensive statistics on matters relevant to the effectiveness and efficiency of systems for combating money laundering and terrorist financing specifically in relation to Money Laundering Financing **Terrorist** investigationsprosecutions and convictions- and on property frozen: seized and confiscated.

- **Competent authorities** appear to have limited opportunity to maintain comprehensive statistics on matters relevant to the effectiveness and efficiency of systems for combating money laundering and terrorist financing specifically in relation to Terrorist financing freezing data.
- In the Commonwealth of Dominica the

of systems for combating money laundering and terrorist financing.

ii. With respect to MLA and other international request the Commonwealth Dominica should maintain statistics on the nature of such requests and the time frame for responding.

1993 in collaboration with the Dominica Police Force and conducted to cash seizure investigations in consonance with the Customs and Excise Division. Currently, the FIU has six cases involving fourteen persons before the Magistrate's Court. An application for Paper Committal has been made at the Magistrate's Court for one of these cases.

The FIU continues to maintain comprehensive and secured databases on the Microsoft SQL Server Platform in accordance with essential criteria 32.2

The Statistics for Customs as maintain and generated from their ASYCUDA world computer program system indicates the following: 2010/2011 the currency seizure amounted to EC\$20.158.50 for that same period there were fines imposed by Custom for various offences amounted \$239,701.40. In the period 2011/2012, there were currency seizures amounted to \$736,375.70. For that same period, a total of EC\$461,467.33 was received as fines imposed for various offences. For the period 2012 to date there have been currency seizures amounted to \$269,038.93 and fines imposed for various offences for that period amounted to \$413,874.25.

The statistics compiled by the Canine Unit of the Customs which was established in April 2011 indicates that, from July 2011 to present there have been twenty two (22) joint operations with the police which

| Т | T | T | |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| | Competent authorities | | resulted in over ninty (90) kilograms of |
| | do not maintain | | cocaine, Two Thousand One Hundred and |
| | comprehensive | | Sixty Two (2162) pounds of Cannabis, Two |
| | statistics on matters | | Thousand Seven Hundred and Eighty Five |
| | relevant to the | | (2785) Cannabis trees, seven firearms and |
| | effectiveness and | | large quantities of ammunition have been |
| | efficiency of systems | | detained. |
| | for combating money | | |
| | laundering and | | |
| | terrorist financing. | | |
| | Annual statistics are | | |
| | however maintained on | | |
| | Mutual legal assistance | | |
| | or other international | | |
| | requests for co- | | |
| | operation and all | | |
| | mutual legal assistance | | |
| | and extradition | | |
| | requests (including | | |
| | requests relating to | | |
| | freezing, seizing and | | |
| | confiscation) that are | | |
| | made or received, | | |
| | relating to ML, the | | |
| | predicate offences and | | |
| | FT, including whether | | |
| | it was granted or | | |
| | refused but no statistics | | |
| | maintained on the | | |
| | nature of the request | | |
| | and the time frame for | | |
| | responding. | | |
| | - Sponting. | | |
| | • While the examiners | | |
| | found that statistics | | |
| | were kept, the | | |
| | were kept, the | | |

| examiners finds that | |
|---------------------------|--|
| the competent | |
| authorities should | |
| maintain | |
| comprehensive | |
| statistics on matters | |
| relevant to the | |
| effectiveness and | |
| efficiency of systems | |
| for combating money | |
| laundering and | |
| terrorist financing. | |
| | |
| • There are no statistics | |
| kept on formal | |
| requests made or | |
| received by law | |
| enforcement | |
| authorities relating to | |
| ML and FT, including | |
| whether the request | |
| was granted or refused. | |
| 5 | |
| No statistics are kept | |
| on on-site examinations | |
| conducted by | |
| supervisors relating to | |
| AML/CFT and the | |
| sanctions applied. | |
| T. T. T. T. | |
| • There is no statistics | |
| available on formal | |
| requests for assistance | |
| made or received by | |
| supervisors relating to | |
| or including AML/CFT | |
| | |

| including whether the |
|----------------------------|
| request was granted or |
| refused. |
| |
| • Lack of databases to |
| |
| facilitate sharing of |
| information between |
| authorities responsible |
| for discharging |
| AML/CFT |
| requirements. |
| requirements. |
| The Companies and |
| • The Supervisory |
| Authority is not |
| effective in relation to |
| some entities in the |
| financial sector. |
| |
| • The effectiveness of the |
| |
| money laundering and |
| terrorist financing |
| system in Dominica |
| should be reviewed on |
| a regular basis. |
| |
| • No comprehensive |
| statistics on matters |
| relevant to the |
| effectiveness and |
| |
| efficiency of systems |
| for combating money |
| laundering and |
| terrorist financing. |
| terrorist financing. |

| Rec. 33 | PC | • Lack of ongoing | i. There is a need to ensure that |
|-------------------|----|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | monitoring and | |
| Legal persons – | | compliance. The FSU | g g |
| beneficial owners | | should implement such | |
| | | a programme for | |
| | | AML/CFT purposes as | |
| | | well as genera | |
| | | supervision and | |
| | | regulation. | IBC's incorporated by the |
| | | | agent. |
| | | Measures should be in | A A |
| | | place to make sure that | |
| | | the bearer shares are | |
| | | not misused for money | both AML/CFT purposes and |
| | | laundering | for general supervisory and |
| | | | regulatory purposes. |
| | | | regulatory purposess |
| | | | iii. There should be measures to |
| | | | ensure that bearer shares are |
| | | | not misused for money |
| | | | laundering. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Rec. 34 Legal arrangements – beneficial owners | NC | The Authorities should include current and accurate information of the beneficial ownership and control as part of the register information on international trusts. Registration of Trusts does not include information of the settler and other parties to a Trust. Competent Authorities do not have access to information on the settler, trustees or beneficiaries of a Trust. | i. Information on the settlors, trustees and beneficiaries of Trusts should be made available to the Registrar or if not recorded there should be available from the registered agent on request without the written consent of the Trustee. ii. Competent Authorities should be able to gain access to information on beneficial ownership of Trusts in a timely fashion. iii. Even though currently there are no trust activities in Dominica, the authorities in Dominica should include adequate, accurate and current information on the beneficial ownership and control of legal arrangements as part of the register information on international trust. | |
|---|----|---|--|---|
| International Co- operation | | | | |
| Rec. 35 Conventions | PC | • The Commonwealth of Dominica is not a party to The 2000 UNC Against Transnational Organized Crime – (The Palermo | i. The Commonwealth of Dominica should become a party to The 2000 United Nation Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime – (The Palermo Convention) and fully implement article Articles | Palermo Convention and analysis of domestic legislation to determine deficiencies in the satisfaction of the Palermo, Vienna and Terrorist Financing Conventions. |

Convention).

- Commonwealth of Dominica many but not all of the following articles of the Vienna Convention (Articles 3-11, 15, 17 and 19) have been fully implemented.
- In The Commonwealth of Dominica some but not all aspects of Articles 5-7, 10-16, 18-20, 24-27, 29-31, & 34 of the Palermo Convention have been implemented.
- In The Commonwealth of Dominica many but not all of Articles 2- 18 of the Terrorist Financing Convention are fully implemented.
- In the Commonwealth of Dominica, S/RES/1267(1999) and its successor

3-11, 15, 17 and 19) of the Vienna Convention, Articles 5-7, 10-16, 18-20, 24-27, 29-31, & 34 of the Palermo Convention, Articles 2- 18 of the Terrorist Financing Convention and S/RES/1267(1999) and its successor resolutions and S/RES/1373(2001)

Article 5

With the passage of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Bill 2013, Dominica is in compliance with Article 5. Part II of the Transnational Organizsx Crime Act 13 (Prevention and Control) of 2013 criminalizes organized criminal activity. Section 3 of the Act particularly deals with the criminalization of organized crime.

Dominica is now a party to the Palermo convention. Also, legislative amendments have been made which facilitate the objectives of the Convention. Section 4(a) of the Money Laundering (prevention Amendment) Act of 2013 has made concealing. disguising. transferring. converting, disposing of and engaging in transaction which involves property that is the proceeds of crime, knowing or believing the property to be the proceeds of crime, a criminal offence. This section meets the requirement of article 6 (1) (a&b) of the Palermo Convention.

Article 7

Dominica is already in compliance with Article 7 of this convention as the FSU and FIU work hand in hand to provide a supervisory regime for banks and nonbank financial institutions. Further the FIU is the central authority for reporting of STRs and the FSU is responsible for onsite and offsite monitoring of financial

| resolutions and S/RES/1373(2001are not fully implemented. | institutions. The Money Laundering Regulation on a whole effectively deals with customer due diligence, customer identification, record-keeping in keeping with requirements of article 7(a). In relation to article 7(b), information sharing and cooperation amongst law enforcement and other authorities on the domestic plain, Dominica is compliant as there is networking and sharing of information between the FIU, FSU, Customs and Police, being the main entities involved in combating money laundering and terrorist financing. Article 7(1) (b) – Section 19(1) and 20 of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 18 Chap: 12:19 provides for law enforcement and other authorities dedicated to combating money-laundering to be able to cooperate and exchange information at the international level. Section 3 of the FIU Act establishes the FIU unit and section 4 details the function of the FIU unit which is to serve as a national centre for the collection, analysis |
|---|---|
| | information at the international level. Section 3 of the FIU Act establishes the FIU unit and section 4 details the function |
| | Article 8. Corruption has already been criminalized in Dominica. Section 38, 39 and 40 of the Integrity in Public Office, Act 6 of 2003 creates the offence of bribery. |

| | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | Section 45 of the Act deals with the presumption of corruption. Section 41 of the Act makes it an offence for a person to aid, abet or facilitate another person in the commission of any offence under this Act in accordance with Article 8(3) of the Convention. |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| | r c s c | Article 9 The IPO is designed to deal with the requirements of Article 9(1). Section 9(3) deals with the functions of the commission, section 11 deals with the powers of the commission which are necessary for combatting corruption. As it relates to article 9(2), section 43-48 of the Act deals with the penalties associated |
| | S | Section 13 of the IPO Act provides the commission with the necessary adequate ndependence to deter the exertion of nappropriate influence on their actions. |
| | | Article 10. Section, 39 and 40 of the Integrity in Public Office, Act 6 of 2003 creates the offence of bribery, which by virtue of the Interpretation and General Clauses Act Chapter 3:01 applies to legal persons. According to the Act "person includes a company." Further, the Money |
| | I t | Laundering (Prevention) Act also puts it beyond doubt that a "person" for the purpose of the Act includes a company. Article 6 and 8 offences also apply to legal |

| | persons. |
|--|--|
| | The Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 also refers to the liability of "a person" engaged in organized criminal activity. Section 3 of the Act establishes the liability of a person involved in organized crime. vAs explained above, the word 'person' refers both to natural and legal persons. As such liability of legal person is captured as it relates to organized crime. |
| | Provision is made for the criminalization of laundering of proceeds of crime as stated in Article 6 of the Convention in Section 3 of the MLPA 8 of 2011. Section 3(3) of the Act provides for the sanctions associated with Article 6. The severity of the sentence implies that the gravity of the offence was taken into consideration. |
| | Section 43 of the IPO Act provides sanctions for the offence of corruption. Article 11 Part iv section 11 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 provides the penalty for the commission of a section 3 offence(which is the criminalization of participation in an organized group) which is an Article 5 offence. It states "A person who is convicted of an offence under section 3 is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine of \$3,000,000 or to imprisonment for |

| 25 years or to both." Given the harsh |
|--|
| nature of the penalty it is safe to say that |
| the penalty has taken into account the |
| gravity of the offence. |
| genting, or the control of the contr |
| Section 3(3) of the Money Laundering |
| (Prevention) Act 8 of 2011 provides the |
| sanction for an Article 6 offence |
| (criminalization of laundering proceeds of |
| crime). The section takes into |
| consideration the gravity of that offence |
| and states:" A person who commits an |
| offence under subsection (10 or (2) is liable, |
| on conviction, to a fine not exceeding five |
| million dollars, and to imprisonment for a |
| term not exceeding ten years." |
| |
| Section 43 of the IPO Act provides |
| sanctions for the offence of corruption |
| which is an Article 8 offence. It states "A |
| person who commits an offence under this |
| Part is liable- |
| (a) On conviction on indictment to a |
| fine of twenty-five thousand |
| dollars or to imprisonment for a |
| term of ten years or to both such |
| fine and imprisonment; and |
| (b) On summary conviction, to affine |
| five thousand dollars or to |
| imprisonment for a term of two |
| years or to both such fine and |
| imprisonment, |
| And shall be ordered to pay to such public |
| body and in such manner as the Court |
| directs, the amount or value of any |
| advantage received by him, or such part |

| | thereof as the Court may specify." Further, section 44 of the Act makes provisions for alternative convictions and amending particulars. |
|--|--|
| | As it relates to the offence of obstruction of justice which is an Article 23 offence, Section of 12 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 takes into account the gravity of the offence in establishing the sanction. It states "A person who is convicted of the offence of obstruction of justice under section 6 is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine of \$700,000 or to imprisonment for 10 years or both". |
| | Article 12 In relation to Article 12(1-5), Section 17-23 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993 outlines the procedures that deal with confiscation of the proceeds of crime of the offences listed in the Convention. |
| | Section 30 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993 provides for the Director of Public Prosecutions to apply to the Court for a restraining order against any realisable property held by the defendant or specified realisable property held by a person other than the defendant. |
| | Article 12(6)- Section 41 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993 gives police officers the authority to compel the |

| | production of documents by way of production order from any person. It must also be noted that the word "person" in this section also refers to legal persons. Section 59 of the Act makes provisions for the D.P.P to apply to the courts for an order enabling Government departments to disclose information and documents held by them which the Court considers relevant to any into, or proceedings relating to a scheduled offence. Section 47 of the Act also makes provision for monitoring orders which can be used to obtain information held by financial institutions for a particular period. Further, section 48 of the Money |
|--|---|
| | Laundering Act No.8 of 2011 overrides secrecy obligations. Section of 17 of the MLPA 8 of 2011 allows the Director of the FIU to make a written requests to financial institutions and persons carrying on a scheduled business to obtain access to and make copies of (if necessary) all information held |
| | by the institution. The provision of Article 12(7) has been satisfied by section 18(3) of POCA Act 4 of 1993 and section 31(2) of the MLPA No.8 of 2011 which places the onus on the person who has benefited from the commission of the scheduled offence to prove the lawful origin of the property. |

| | Article 13 Article 13(1)- Sections 27-28 of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters adequately deals with providing assistance to designated foreign countries in relation to confiscation orders. In addition, section 71 of POCA Act 4 of 1993 deals with the execution and registering of external forfeiture and confiscation orders. |
|--|--|
| | Also section 16 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 specifically states that the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act applies to the Transnational Act in "relation to an offence under this Act as if the offence were a serious offence within the meaning of section 2 of the Act; and the assistance to be afforded may be requested for any of the purposes specified in Article 18 of the Convention" |
| | Article 13(2)- Section 20 of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act generally provides for the giving of assistance to a designated country in obtaining evidence or information relevant to a criminal matter. Section 22 of the Act provides for assistance to a country in obtaining article or thing, by search and seizure if necessary once the request is accepted. Section 26 of the Act provides for assistance to a designated country in identifying, locating, tracing or assessing |

| | the value of property derived or obtained, directly or indirectly from the commission of a specified serious offence. |
|--|--|
| | Article 14 The Money Laundering Prevention Act 8 of 2011 as amended by Section 36 of the Money Laundering (Prevention) Act 8 of |
| | 2013makes provisions for sharing funds derived from the sale of confiscated proceeds of crime with other states. Section 36 of the Money Laundering |
| | (Prevention) Act makes it clear that property, assets, funds seized under the Proceeds of Crime Act will be deposited into the assurance fund. Sections 36(b) of the Act specifically provides for the |
| | payment of money out of the fund to satisfy an obligation to a foreign state in respect of confiscated assets. Section 36(c) provides for the sharing of confiscated property |
| | with another State. However, our domestic law does not give priority consideration to the returning the confiscated proceeds of crime to the requesting State. |
| | Article 15 |
| | Section 14 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 deals with jurisdiction for offences |
| | under the Act. This would mean that the section applies to Article 5,6&23 offences. Article 15 (1) (a)- Section 14 (e) & (f) corresponds to Article |
| | 15(1)(b) |

| | Section 14(b) corresponds to Article |
|----------|--|
| | 15(2)(a) |
| | Section 14(a)&(d) corresponds to Article |
| | 15(2)(b) |
| | |
| | |
| | Section 59 of the International Maritime |
| | Act No. 9 of 2000 |
| | Section 59 states- "59(2) At all times |
| | during the period that a vessel has the |
| | right to fly the Flag of Dominica, the vessel |
| | shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction |
| | and control of Dominica the Flag State, in |
| | accordance with the applicable |
| | Tr. |
| | international conventions Agreements and |
| | with provisions of this Act and any |
| | Regulation made thereunder. |
| | |
| | In relation to Article 15 normally |
| | principles of international law pertaining |
| | to jurisdiction will apply. |
| | |
| | Article 16(3) of the Convention has been |
| | addressed in Schedule 3 of the |
| | Transnational Organized Crime Act 13 |
| | (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of |
| | 2013. The offences under this Act have |
| | been made extraditable offences. |
| | |
| | Article 16 |
| | Section 6 of the Extradition Act of |
| | Dominica makes provision for the |
| | apprehension and surrender of a fugitive. |
| | Section 14(1) of the Extradition Act makes |
| | provision for the detention of a fugitive |
| | apprehended in Dominica pending |
| <u>l</u> | apprenence in Dominica pending |

| | determination of extradition proceedings. |
|--|---|
| | All references made to the "Act" in this section refers to the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act Chap. 12:19. |
| | Article 18 The Mutual Assistance Criminal Matters Chap. 12:19 deals with Article 18. Division 2 of the Act makes provisions for general assistance under the Act, particularly sections 20-25. |
| | Section 19 deals with the acceptance or refusal of requests under the Act. Further, section 16 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 states that the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act applies to the Transnational Organized Crime Act. |
| | Article 18 (3) (a)- section 7(a) & (c)of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act deals with the taking of evidence or statements from persons. |
| | Section 12 of the Act deals with effecting service of judicial documents. |
| | Section 9 of the Act addresses the issue of executing searches and seizures, and freezing. It states "where there are reasonable grounds to believe that an article or thing is in a Commonwealth Country could give or provide evidence or assistance |

| | relevant to any criminal matter, a request may be transmitted requesting that assistance be given by the country in arranging the attendance of the person in Dominica to give or provide that evidence, or, as the case may be, assistance." |
|--|---|
| | Section 7(f) of the Act deals with obtaining samples of any matter or thing taken, examined or tested. Subsection (g) of that section makes provision for obtaining any information relevant to building, place or thing viewed or photographed. |
| | Section 7 (d) of the Act makes provisions for the obtaining of copies of judicial records or official records which have been examined. |
| | Section 15 of the Act deals with providing assistance to a designated foreign country in identifying, locating or assessing the value or amount of any property derived or obtained directly or indirectly form the commission of a serious offence. |
| | Section 10 of the Act deals with the giving of assistance in arranging the attendance of person who could give or provided evidence or assistance relevant in a criminal matter. Article 18(11) & 18(12) is met by section 24(3) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Chap. 12:19 which provides the |

| control ==4 | of Dominiositle 41- |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | of Dominica with the |
| | conditions subject to |
| | er is be transferred, |
| | ns with respect to the |
| custody, release or | return of the prisoner. |
| | |
| Article23 | |
| | ransnational Organized |
| | and Control) Act 13 of |
| | he criminal offence of |
| obstruction of just | ce. The section states "A |
| person, who, in re | elation to a witness or |
| justice system p | articipant involved in |
| criminal proceeding | ngs to which this Act |
| applies- | |
| a) Uses or the | reatens to use physical |
| force; | |
| b) Intimidates | ; or |
| c) Promises of other mate | or offers a financial or |
| | of interfering with the |
| | in the case of witness, of |
| · · | eified in subsection (2), |
| commits an offence | |
| commits an orrence | |
| Article 24 | |
| | nesses Act No. 4 2013 |
| | t in that regard to |
| | sses. Section 4 of the Act |
| | ring witness anonymity. |
| | ct assists in meeting the |
| | n 4 by providing for the |
| | itness anonymity order. |
| | Act caters to the need of |
| | s of the witness private. |
| | es for the eligibility of |
| Section 12 provid | cs for the enginity of |

| | witnesses to be given assistance on the grounds of fear or distress in testifying. Section 16 makes provision for a witness to give evidence by 'live link'. Section 17 makes provision for witness to give evidence in private, section 18 provides for video recorded evidence and section makes 19 allows for video recorded cross examinations or re-examinations. Section 20 provides for examination of witness through an intermediary. |
|--|--|
| | Article 27 Article 9 of the Security Assistance Among Caricom States Act 6 of 2007 addresses the provisions of this Article. It provides for contracting parties to agree to cooperate in the areas of combating threats to national and regional security, minimizing the incidence of serious crimes etc. |
| | Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime. |
| | Article 5 Section 8 of the Transnational Organized Crime Act no.13 of 2013 creates the offences relating trafficking in persons. |
| | Article 6 |

| | Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized |
|--|---|
| | |
| | Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 f 2013 |
| | states that "Notwithstanding the provisions |
| | of any other law, all legal proceedings |
| | conducted in relation to the offence of |
| | trafficking in persons shall be conducted in |
| | camera." This is a measure taken in an |
| | attempt to protect the privacy and identity |
| | of victims of trafficking in persons. |
| | of victims of transcentig in persons. |
| | Article 6(6) |
| | Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized |
| | |
| | Crime Act makes provision for this. It states |
| | (Where a person is convicted of the offence |
| | of trafficking in persons, the court may, in |
| | addition to any penalty imposed under this |
| | section, order that person to pay restitution |
| | to the victim." Section 13(4) indicates what |
| | the restitution must compensate for and |
| | section 13(5) states that (Notwithstanding |
| | subsection (3), where the property of a |
| | person convicted under this Act is forfeited, |
| | under the Proceeds of Crime Act or any |
| | other relevant Act, restitution shall be paid |
| | to the victim as far as possible, from that |
| | property or the proceeds thereof." |
| | property of the proceeds thereof. |
| | Article 8 |
| | In relation to Article 8(1) section 17 of the |
| | relation to Article of J section 17 of the |

| | |
|------|--|
| | Immigration and Passport Act of Dominica |
| | makes provisions for prohibited immigrants |
| | to leave the state. |
| | Section 33 and 35 of the Act can also be of |
| | assistance. |
| | Article 9 |
| | Sections of the Immigration and Passport Act |
| | listed below deal with Article 9. |
| | Section 6 of the Act deals with passports. |
| | Section 8 deals with the prohibition of |
| | immigrants from entering the state. |
| | Article 10 |
| | Provisions of this article can be dealt with |
| | using the mutual assistance in criminal matters Act. |
| | matters Act. |
| | Article 11 |
| | Section 3 of the Immigration and Passport |
| | Act which deals with the power to search |
| | and section 12 deal with the provisions of |
| | article 11(2)-11(4). |
| | |
| | |
| | Section |
| | |

| | |
|------|--|
| | Section 12A as amended by section 4 of the |
| | Act which deals with power to board and |
| | search ships. |
| | |
| | Section 20 |
| | Protocol against the illicit Manufacturing of |
| | and Trafficking in Firearms, Their parts |
| | and Components and Ammunition, |
| | supplementing the United Nations |
| | Convention against Transnational |
| | Organized Crime. |
| | Andiala 5 |
| | Article 5 |
| | Section 9 of the Firearms Act Chap. 15:31 |
| | deals the offences relating to selling or |
| | transferring firearms or ammunition |
| | Confirm 15 deals much the marking on |
| | Section 15 deals with the prohibition on manufacture and of firearm or |
| | ammunition. |
| | annumuon. |
| | Section 10 deals with special offences as to |
| | possession of firearms in certain |
| | circumstances. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | TownsistEinonsina |
| | TerroristFinancing |

| | Dominica is in compliance with this Article. Section 4 of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act, 2011 Act No.9 of 2011 amended section 2 of the Act. The definition of the word "terrorist" is "an individual who performs a terrorist act or engages in a terrorist activity." |
|--|--|
| | Article 2- Dominica is in compliance with Article 2 by virtue the SFTA notably by section 4 of the Act which provides for the act of terrorist financing. |
| | Section 4(1) of the Suppression of the Financing Act of Terrorism Act 3 of 2003 as amended by Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 6 of 2013, with the offence of terrorist financing. The section now reads: "A person commits an offence within the meaning of 1999 Convention, if that person by means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and wilfully provides or collect funds with the intention or in the knowledge that such |
| | funds shall be used in full or part - A) in order to carry out a terrorist act B) by a terrorist group; or C) by a terrorist." Section 4 (3) is in compliance with Article 2(5)(a)&(b). "A person commits an offence within the meaning of subsection 1 |

| | b) c) | if that person knowingly or intentionally-attempts to commit the offence participates as an accomplice in the commission of the offence referred to in paragraph (a) of this subsection organizes or directs others to commit the offence or to participate as an accomplice in the commission of an offence under this subsection; or contributes to the commission of an offence referred to in paragraph (a), (b), or(c). Section (4) of the Act is in compliance with section 3(5) (c). Section 14 of the Act further stipulates activities which are forbidden. |
|--|-------|--|
| | | Article 4- Section 4 establishes as criminal offences under its domestic law the offences set forth in article 2. Section 5 of the Act deals with the penalties for a person convicted of a section 4 offence. Section 7 deals with penalties for a body of persons convicted of a section 4 offence. According to section 5(1). (b), an entity who commits a terrorist act commits an |

| | 0.4 |
|--|------|
| offence and is liable to a fine of | of 1 |
| million dollars. | ļ |
| | ļ |
| Article 5 | ļ |
| Section 5(1) (b) of the Act deals with | the |
| liability of section 4 offence in relation | n to |
| legal entities. | ļ |
| Section 5(2) states that the liability | y is |
| incurred without prejudice to the crim | inal |
| liability of individuals having commi | tted |
| the offence. | ļ |
| Sections 45 of the SFTA No.3 of 2003 d | eals |
| with the general penalties and section | |
| deals with offences committed by entire | |
| By virtue of our Interpretation | |
| person covers legal entity and | |
| definition section of SFTA defines a per | |
| as a legal entity. | 5011 |
| | ļ |
| In accordance to section 7 of the Act | . 28 |
| amended by Act No. 9 of 2011, upon | 7 |
| conviction of a financial institution of | |
| offence under this Act the court may or | |
| a written warning be imposed on | |
| directors or employees of the institut | |
| the financial institution's license is lia | |
| to be suspended cancelled and a fine | |
| exceeding one million dollars may | |
| imposed on the financial institution. | De |
| imposed on the imancial institution. | ļ |
| Article 7 | ļ |
| Section 10(1) and 10(2) of SFTA Act No | . 2 |
| of 2003 deals with provisions of Article | |
| This Articles addresses the issue of | 1. |
| | to |
| jurisdiction. Dominica has jurisdiction | ιυ |
| try offences under this Act when it is | |

| | committed - (a) in Dominica; (b) by a national or citizen of Dominica; (c) on board a vessel flying the flag of Dominica or an aircraft registered under the laws of Dominica at the time of the commission of the offence. Section 10(3) deals with the provisions of Article 7(2)(d)&(e). |
|--|---|
| | Article 7(4) is dealt with by section 33 of the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism Act 3 of 2003 which states: "Where a person who commits an offence under this Act is present in Dominica and that person is not extradited to a State which establishes jurisdiction over that person, the Director of Public Prosecutions shall prosecute the person for the commission of the offence." |
| | Article 8 Section 12 of the Act addresses the concerns of Article 8(1') in terms of freezing assets. It states; "The Attorney General shall, on the publication of a designation order, in writing issue an order to a financial institution in the State requiring it to freeze any account, funds or property held by that financial institution on behalf of a person who or terrorist group which is the subject of a designation Order." |
| | Section 23(1)of the Act provides the police with power to seize property used in the commission of terrorist act. It states: "The Commissioner of Police may seize any property where he has reasonable grounds for |

| | suspecting that the property has been or is being used to commit the offence under this Act." In respect of the identification of funds used or allocated for the purpose of committing the offences set forth in article 2 section 11B (a) &(b)of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 9 of 2013 can be utilized. The section outlines to the financial institutions the procedures which ought to be applied when they have received the list of designated entities and they realize that individuals on the list have funds with the financial institutions. |
|--|--|
| | Section 11C of the Act deals with detention in that upon receipt of information from the financial institutions in accordance with 11B, the Financial Investigative "Unit shallimmediately conduct necessary investigations to verify the accuracy of the information provided by the financial institution." |
| | Section 30 of the Proceeds of Crime Act Chap. 12:29 as amended by section 12 of the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act 7 of 2013 states: "The director of Public Prosecutions may apply to the Court for a restraining order against any realisable property held by a defendant or specified realisable property held by a person other than the defendant." Section 32 of the Act as amended by section 13 of the Proceeds of Crime |
| | (Amendment) Act No.7 of 2013 also deals |

| | with restraining orders which can be made |
|--|---|
| | ex parte. |
| | Further, section 59 B -59I of the Act make |
| | it possible for the State to recover in civil |
| | proceedings before the Court, property which is, or represents property obtained |
| | through unlawful conduct . Section 59L |
| | states that the "Attorney General may apply |
| | to the Court for a recovery order against any person who the Attorney General believes |
| | holds recoverable property." |
| | Article 8(2) |
| | Section 8. (1)Where a person is convicted of |
| | an offence under thisPart, in addition to any penalty the Court may impose, the Court |
| | may order forfeiture to the State of - |
| | (a) the funds collected or retained by that |
| | person or byany other person on behalf of the convictedperson for the commission of the |
| | offence; |
| | (b) any property used for, or in connection |
| | with thecommission of the offence; and (c) any funds, property or asset derived from |
| | anytransaction by the convicted person or in |
| | relationto which the offence is committed. |
| | (2) Before making an order under subsection |
| | (1), the Court shall give every person appearing to have an interest in the funds, |
| | property or assets in respect of which the |
| | order is proposedto be made, an opportunity |
| | of being heard. (3) Property, funds and assets forfeited to the |
| | State undersubsection (1) shall vest |
| | automatically in the State - |

| (a) if an appeal has been made against the |
|--|
| order, on |
| the final determination of the appeal; and |
| (b) if no appeal has been made against the |
| order, atthe end of the period within which an |
| appeal may be made against the order. It must |
| be noted that section 8 is complimented by |
| section 37 of the Act. |
| section 37 of the Act. |
| Section 38(1) further states: "The Attorney |
| General may apply to a Judge for an order of |
| forfeiture in respect of- |
| (a) property owned or controlled by, or |
| on behalf of a terrorist or terrorist |
| group; or |
| (b) property that has been, is being or |
| will be used, in whole or in part to |
| commit or facilitate the commission |
| of a terrorist act. |
| Section 4 of the Proceeds of Crime Act |
| Chap:12:29 as amended by section 5 of the |
| Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act 7 of |
| 2013 states: "Where a person is convicted of |
| a scheduled offence committed after the |
| coming into force of this Act, on the |
| application of the Director of Public |
| Prosecutions or if the Court considers it |
| appropriate to do so, the Court may make |
| one or both of the following orders- |
| (a) a forfeiture order against property |
| that is tainted property in respect of |
| a scheduled offence; |
| (b) a confiscation order against the |
| person in respect of benefits derived |
| by the person from the commission |
| of a scheduled offence or any other |

| | criminal conduct." |
|--|---|
| | Section 17 (1) of the Proceeds of Crime Act as amended by section 6 of the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act No. 7 of 2013 which states that: "Subject to this section, where the Director of Public Prosecutions applies to the Court for a confiscation order against a person in respect of that person's conviction for a scheduled offence, the Court shall, if it is satisfied that the person has benefited from the scheduled offence or any other criminal conduct, order him to pay to the State an amount equal to the value of the benefits, or such lesser amount as the Court certifies in accordance with section 20 to be the amount that might be realised a the time the confiscation order is made." |
| | Section 7 & 8 of that Act makes provision for the Court to determine whether or not a person has benefited from a scheduled offence or any other criminal conduct and for assessing the value of that benefit. |
| | Article 8(4) |
| | Section 12C of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 9 of 2011 goes a step further than provision 8(1) of the Article in that it makes provision for the court, upon application, by the competent authority, to receive a |

| | request from the court of another State to freeze the accounts, funds or property connected to a terrorist, terrorist group, that was the subject of the freezing mechanism of the requesting state. |
|--|---|
| | Article 9 Part 6 of the Act adequately provides provisions to deal with investigations of alleged offences under the Act. Section 20 of the Act empowers the "Unit" with the authority to investigate certain dealings. |
| | Where the Commissioner of Police receives information that a person who committed or is alleged to have committed an offence under this Act or an offence under the corresponding Act of any other State, and that person is present in Dominica, section 21 of the Act empowers the Commissioner of Police to investigate the facts contained in such information. |
| | Section 21 of the Act adequately addresses the provisions of Article 9 of the Convention as its sections deal with – i) the investigation and presence of offenders in Dominica ii) ensuring the presence of the person present in Dominica for the |
| | purpose of prosecution and extradition iii) entitlement of person regarding whom the measures referred to in paragraph 2 of Article 9 of |

| | the Convention |
|--|--|
| | section 22 of the Act deals with the notification to appropriate states in accordance with the convention. |
| | Article 10 Section 33 of the Act fully addresses provisions of this Article as it providers for offenders who are present in Dominica who have not been extradited to be prosecuted. |
| | Article 11 (1)- Section 25 of this Act amends the schedule to the Extradition Act which sets out the extradition crimes by the insertion of " 29.An offence against the law relating to the suppression of financing of terrorism." |
| | Section 27 as amended by the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 9 of 2011 makes provisions for the request for extradition to be considered whether or not there is an extradition treaty between Dominica and the requesting state. |
| | Section 29 of the SFTA states- "Notwithstanding anything in the Extradition Act or in any other enactment, all extradition treaties entered by Dominica with any State or extended to Dominica shall be deemed |

| | amended to the extent necessary to give effect to the 1999 Convention." |
|--|---|
| | Article 11 (4)- Section 28 of the SFT Act 3 of 2003 deals with the scope of jurisdiction for extradition. The offences set forth in article 2 shall be deemed as if it had been committed not only in the place in which it occurred but also in any state or territory which establishes jurisdiction in accordance with the provisions of this Act in respect of the offence. |
| | Article 12 Article 12 (1) Section 34 of the Act governs the exchange of information relating to terrorists, terrorist groups and terrorist acts and activities provided that a request is made by the appropriate foreign state for the necessary information. |
| | Section as amended by section 36B of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act makes provision for information sharing with foreign counterpart agency in relation to the commission of an offence under the Act. Section 36Callows for the Unit to use memorandum of understandings with foreign counterpart agencies that perform |
| | similar functions to that of the Unit where the Director considers it necessary for the discharge or performance of the functions |

| | of the Unit. |
|--|---|
| | |
| | Section 14(2) of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 9 0f 2011 provides for the sharing of information notwithstanding any obligations as to secrecy, confidentiality or other restriction upon disclosure of information imposed by any law. |
| | |
| | Article 13 Section 31 SFTA 3 of 2003 of the Act corresponds with this Article |
| | Article 14 Section 30 SFTA 3 of 2003 of the Act corresponds with this article. |
| | Article 16 Section 32 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 deals with conditions for transfer of persons detained in the requested state. It adequately deals with Article 16 (1) (a&b). |
| | Article 17 Section 8 of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Dominica enshrines the principle of natural justice which guarantees fair treatment. |
| | Article 18 A new Part VA has been included in the SFTA No.9 of 2011 which places an |
| | obligation on financial institutions to |

| large business transactions whether completed or not. Protection of Victims of Trafficking in Persons Article 5 Section 8 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | report to the Unit all complex, unusual or |
|---|--|--|
| completed or not. Protection of Victims of Trafficking in Persons Article 5 Section 8 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person 1 convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Protection of Victims of Trafficking in Persons Article 5 Section 8 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Persons Article 5 Section 8 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Section 8 of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person 1 convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Crime (Prevention and Control) Act 13 of 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| 2013 establishes as criminal offences the conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person 1 convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim.' Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Article 6(1) Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person 1 convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition and penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | conduct set forth in article 3 of this Protocol. |
| Section 10(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition and penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | Article 6(1) |
| Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| 2013 makes provisions for all legal proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | · · · |
| proceedings conducted in relation to the offence of trafficking in persons Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Article 6(6) Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | offence of trafficking in persons |
| Section 13(3) of the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Crime (Prevention and Control) Act No.13 of 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| 2013 offers the victims of trafficking persons the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| the possibility of obtaining compensation for damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| damaged suffered. The section states: "Where a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| a person I convicted of the offence of trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| trafficking in persons, in addition to any penalty imposed under this section, order that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| that person to pay restitution to the victim." Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Section 13(4) speaks to the type of restitution which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| which may be obtained by the victim. Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | |
| victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | which may be obtained by the victim. |
| victim from the forfeited funds and or property of the convicted person. | | Subsection 13(5) makes it possible to pay a |
| property of the convicted person. | | |
| | | |
| 1.41.0 | | |
| Article 8 | | Article 8 |

| | Section 17 of the Immigration and Passport Act to some extent provides for repatriation |
|--|--|
| | of prohibited immigrants. |
| | Article 9(a) |
| | In an attempt to prevent and combat |
| | trafficking in persons, Dominica has take |
| | legislative action which involves: 1. the criminalization of human |
| | 1. the criminalization of human trafficking by section 27B(1) of the |
| | Immigration And Passport |
| | (Amendment) Act No. 19 of 2003 |
| | and the imposition of a fine of |
| | \$100,000. By section 27B(2) upon |
| | conviction. |
| | 2. The criminalization of :- |
| | a) Providing false or misleading |
| | information on a passport b) Omitting of a matter or |
| | thing without which a |
| | statement or information is |
| | misleading in a material |
| | particular |
| | c) Furnishing of a document |
| | which is false or misleading |
| | in a material particular to an |
| | immigration officer, or |
| | department in connection with an application for |
| | extension or renewal of a |
| | passport |
| | d) Intentionally defacing or |
| | damaging a passport issued |
| | under this Act |
| | e) The forging of a passport |
| | f) Being in possession of a |

| | passport which a person |
|--|--|
| | knows to be forged or |
| | fraudulently or illegally |
| | obtained |
| | g) The selling, exchanging, or |
| | giving to another or dealing |
| | with a forged passport by |
| | virtue of section 28C(1) of |
| | the Immigration and |
| | Passport (Amendment) Act |
| | No. 19 of 2010. |
| | Section 28C(2) of the Act provides for the sanctions to imposed where an offence has |
| | been committed. Further, section 35A makes |
| | it an offence to assist unlawful immigration to |
| | another state and provides the penalties for |
| | the offence. |
| | |
| | 3. The imposition of restrains on |
| | persons who are not citizens of |
| | Dominica by section 27C of the Act. |
| | 4. The granting of powers of search to |
| | immigration officers which allows |
| | them to board and search any vessel |
| | arriving in the State. |
| | 5. Deeming persons who enter the State |
| | without a passport as prohibited immigrants by virtue of section 6 of |
| | the Immigration and Passport Act |
| | Chap. 18:01. |
| | 6. Prohibiting the entrance of prohibited |
| | immigrants into the state by virtue of |
| | section 8 of the Immigration and |
| | Passport Act Chap. 18:01. Section 20 |
| | the Act goes further to require a |
| | person held to be a prohibited |

| | immigrant or to whom a permit is |
|--|---|
| | issued to, if so required by the |
| | immigration officer, submit to his |
| | finger-prints and photograph being |
| | taken by the immigration officer. |
| | 7. Requiring the master of a vessel |
| | |
| | arriving form any place outside the |
| | State or departing from the State to |
| | furnish to the competent authority |
| | the relevant advance passenger information data set out in Schedule |
| | |
| | 1, in respect to the vessel and each |
| | person on board in accordance to |
| | section 12 of the Immigration and |
| | Passport Act Chap. 18:01 as |
| | amended by section 4 of the |
| | Immigration and Passport |
| | (Amendment) Act No.11 of 2007. |
| | Article 11 (3) |
| | Section 3 of the Immigration and Passport |
| | Act Chap. 18:01 as amended by section 4 of |
| | the Immigration and Passport (Amendment) |
| | Act No. 11 of 2007 and section 35 of the Act |
| | establishes the offence and section 36 of the |
| | Act as amended by Immigration and Passport |
| | (Amendment) Act No. 19 of 2003 deals with |
| | the appropriate sanctions. |
| | Vienna Convention |
| | <u>Vienna Convention</u> |
| | Article 3 |
| | The provisions of Article 3 are dealt with |
| | in the Drugs (Prevention of Misuse Act) |
| | Chap. 40:07. |
| | Article 3 |
| | Sections 3-10 of the Act deals with Article |
| | 3(1) |

| a0 | LC | • The Commonwealth of | i. To avoid | conflicts of | Section 2 of the Money Laundering Prevention Act deals with Article3(b) Sections 17 & 20 of the Misuse Act deals with Article 3(c). Article 3(2) Sections 7-8 of the Misuse Act deals with the restriction of the possession of controlled drugs and the restriction of cultivation of cannabis plant respectfully. Article 3(3) Section 2(2) of the Money Laundering Prevention Act deal with this. Article Provisions of this Article have already been provided in Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993 and has been explained earlier and has also been dealt with by the "Central Authority Procedure" Amendments have also been made to the "Central Authority Procedure" in attempt to bring it up to date with the requirements of CFATF. A copy of the document is attached. Article 7 This is dealt with by the Act |
|----|----|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| ลบ | LC | • The Commonwealth of | i. 10 avold | commets of | Aummstrative Consideration |

| | | Dominica has not considered devising and applying mechanisms for determining the best venue for prosecution of defendants in the interests of justice in cases that are subject to prosecution in more than one country. | jurisdiction, the Commonwealth of Dominica should consider devising and applying mechanisms for determining the best venue for prosecution of defendants in the interests of justice in cases that are subject to prosecution in more than one country. | Determined by court practice |
|----------------------------------|----|--|---|--|
| Rec. 37 | C | | | |
| Dual criminality | | | | |
| Rec. 38 | PC | Unclear legislation | i. Commonwealth of Dominica | Sec. 36 of the MLP Act of No. 8 of 2011 |
| MLA on confiscation and freezing | | Unclear legislation regarding request relating to property of corresponding value. | should consider establishing an asset forfeiture fund into which all or a portion of confiscated property will be deposited and | "There shall be established an Asset Forfeiture Fund under the administration and control of the Minister of Finance in consultation with the DirectorSec. 37 of the |
| | | • Unclear legislation regarding arrangements for co-ordinating seizure and | will be used for law enforcement, health, education or other appropriate purposes. | MLP Act No. 8 of 2011"The Government of Dominica may share with another State, on terms and conditions to be agreed in writing, property which has been directly |
| | | confiscation actions with other countries.No consideration of the | ii. The Commonwealth of Dominica should consider authorising the sharing of confiscated assets between them when confiscation | or indirectly confiscated or forfeited as a result of coordinated law enforcement action between Dominica and the other State." |

| | establishment of an | is directly or indirectly a result | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | asset forfeiture fund | of co-ordinate law enforcement | The criterion in 38.1 is met. Section 27 (1) |
| | into which all or a | actions. | (a)(ii) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal |
| | | actions. | |
| | portion of confiscated | | Matters states |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | property will be | | "This section applies where- |
| | deposited. | | (a) An order is made in a commonwealth |
| | | | country |
| | No consideration of | | ii) imposing on the person against whom the |
| | authorising the sharing | | order is made a pecuniary penalty calculated |
| | of assets confiscated | | by reference to the value of property so |
| | when confiscation is | | derived or obtained;" |
| | directly or indirectly a | | section 27(b) goes on further to state that |
| 1 | result of co-ordinate | | "property available for the satisfaction of the |
| | law enforcement | | order or the pecuniary penalty under the |
| | actions. | | order, or to which the order would apply, as |
| | | iii. The laws should clarify whether | the case may be, is suspected on reasonable |
| | | the requirement in Criterion | grounds, to be in Dominica;" |
| | | 38.1 is met where the request | Section 28 outlines the procedure to be taken |
| | | relates to property of | for the assistance to the foreign country |
| | | corresponding value. | spoken of in section 27. |
| | | | Further, section 71 of the Proceeds of Crime |
| | | | Act should be read in conjunction with |
| | | | section 14 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No.4 |
| | | | of 1993 as amended by Act No. 4 of 2010. |
| | | | The Act has included terrorism and |
| | | | financing of terrorism as scheduled offences. |
| | | | This would now mean that in certain |
| | | | situations where the court is satisfied that a |
| | | | forfeiture order should be made in respect of |
| | | | property of a person convicted of a scheduled |
| | | | offence the Court may, instead of ordering |
| | | | the property or part thereof or interest |
| | | | therein to be forfeited, order the person to |
| | | | pay to the State an amount equal to the value |
| | | | of the property, part of interest. Section 14 of |
| | | | the of the Proceeds of Crime Act. |
| | | | me of the Froceeds of Crime Act. |

| | | | iv. The laws should clarify whether the Commonwealth of Dominica could have arrangements for coordinating seizure and confiscation actions with other countries. | Sec. 39 of the MLP Act No. 8 of 2011 |
|---------------------|----|---|--|--|
| Rec. 39 Extradition | LC | The Commonwealth of Dominica do not have specific measures or procedures adopted to allow extradition requests and proceedings relating to Money Laundering to be handled without undue delay | i. There should be in the Commonwealth of Dominica measures or procedures adopted to allow extradition requests and proceedings relating to money laundering to be handled without undue delay. ii. In the Commonwealth of Dominica the laws should not prohibit the extradition of nationals. iii. There should be measures or procedures adopted in the Commonwealth of Dominica | The laws do not prohibit the extradition of nationals. There is no section in the extradition act which prohibits the extradition of Dominican nationals. Guidelines have been established to deal with this area. Sec. 27 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 13 of the SFT (Amendment) Act |

| | | | that will allow extradition requests and proceedings relating to terrorist acts and the financing of terrorism offences to be handled without undue delay. | |
|------------------------------------|----|---|--|--|
| Rec. 40 Other forms of cooperation | LC | There is no evidence that in The Commonwealth of Dominica requests for cooperation would not be refused on the sole ground that the request is also considered to involve fiscal matters. | i. In the Commonwealth of Dominica it should be made clear that a request for cooperation would not be refused on the sole ground that the request is also considered to involve fiscal matters. | international cooperation and states that the FIU shall not refuse a request on the ground that it involves matters of a fiscal nature. |

| | | | | Terrorism (Amendment) Act 9 0f 2011 provides for the sharing of information notwithstanding any obligations as to secrecy, confidentiality or other restriction upon disclosure of information imposed by any law. This section states: "Subject to the provisions of the Constitution, requests for information under this Part, shall be fulfilled, notwithstanding any obligations as to secrecy, confidentiality or other restriction upon disclosure of information imposed by any law of otherwise, except where the information sought under subsection(1) is held in circumstances where legal professional privilege exists." Section 29 of the Money Laundering (prevention) Act 20 of 2000 also makes allowance for the overriding of secrecy obligations. It states: "Subject to the provisions of the Constitution, the visions of this Act shall have effect notwithstanding any obligation as to secrecy or other restriction upon the disclosure of information imposed by any law or otherwise." |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| Nine Special Recommendations | Rating | | | |
| SR. I Implementation UN instruments | PC | The Commonwealth of Dominica is not a party to The 2000 UNC Against Transnational | i. The Commonwealth of Dominica should become a party to The 2000 United Nation Convention Against Trans- national Organized Crime – | Consideration of becoming a party to the Palermo Convention and analysis of domestic legislation to determine deficiencies in the satisfaction of the Palermo, Vienna and Terrorist Financing |

| | | | ~ . |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | Organized Crime - | (The Palermo Convention) and | Conventions |
| | (The Palermo | fully implement article Articles | |
| | Convention). | 3-11, 15, 17 and 19) of the | |
| | | Vienna Convention, Articles 5-7, | |
| | In the Commonwealth | 10-16, 18-20, 24-27, 29-31, & 34 | |
| | of Dominica many but | of the Palermo Convention, | |
| | not all of the following | Articles 2- 18 of the Terrorist | |
| | articles of the Vienna | Financing Convention and | |
| | Convention (Articles | S/RES/1267(1999) and its | |
| | 3-11, 15, 17 and 19) | successor resolutions and | |
| | have been fully | S/RES/1373(2001) | |
| | implemented. | 5/11/5/15/15/2001) | |
| | | | |
| | Commonwealth of | | |
| | | | |
| | Dominica some but | | |
| | not all aspects of | | |
| | Articles 5-7, 10-16, 18- | | |
| | 20, 24-27, 29-31, & 34 | | |
| | of the Palermo | | |
| | Convention have been | | |
| | implemented. | | |
| | | | |
| | In The | | |
| | Commonwealth of | | |
| | Dominica many but | | |
| | not all of Articles 2- 18 | | |
| | of the Terrorist | | |
| | Financing Convention | | |
| | are fully implemented. | | |
| | • | | |
| | In the Commonwealth | | |
| | of Dominica, | | |
| | S/RES/1267(1999) and | | |
| | its successor | | |
| | resolutions and | | |
| | S/RES/1373(2001are | | |
| | 5/KES/15/5(2001afe | | |

| | | not fully implemented. | | |
|-----------------------|----|--|----------------------------------|--|
| SR. II | PC | • The law is not clear | The laws should be amended to: | |
| SK. II | | that Terrorist | | |
| Criminalise terrorist | | financing offences | | |
| financing | | apply, regardless of | - | |
| | | whether the person | _ | |
| | | alleged to have | | |
| | | committed the | | Sec. 2 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended |
| | | offence(s) is in The | ii. State that Terrorist financi | by Section 3 of the SFT (Amendment) Act |
| | | Commonwealth of | offences apply 1 regardless | No. 9 of 2011. Section 2(b) states:"terrorist |
| | | Dominica or a different | 1 | |
| | | country from the one | | an act or omission, whether committed in |
| | | in which the | | or outside Dominica, which constitutes an |
| | | terrorist(s)/terrorist | | offence within the scope of a counter |
| | | organisation(s) is | | , |
| | | located or the terrorist | | The cited section references acts or |
| | | act(s) occurred/will | | omissions whether committed in or outside of Dominica but constitutes an offence |
| | | occur. | occurred/will occur ; | within the scope of the counter terrorism |
| | | a The law does not | | convention. These acts or omissions can be |
| | | • The law does not specifically permit the | | fully investigated at section 20 (4) of the |
| | | intentional element of | | SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by the |
| | | the Terrorist financing | | Suppression of Financing of Terrorism Act |
| | | offence to be inferred | | No. 9 of 2011. |
| | | from objective factual | | |
| | | circumstance. | | Section 20 of Act no. 3 of 2003 as |
| | | | | amendedby section 12 of No.9 of 2011 by |
| | | • The law does not | | inserting a new subsection 4 that allows for |
| | | specifically speak to the | | the investigation by the Unit (Financial |
| | | possibility of parallel | | Intelligence Unit) of a person authorised by |
| | | criminal, civil or | | the Unit of an offence under this SFTA |
| | | administrative | | whether it occurred in Dominica or in any |
| | | proceedings where | | other territorial jurisdiction. |
| | | more than one form of | | Coo 2 of the CETA 2 of 2002 or over-1-1 li- |
| | | liability is available. | | Sec. 2 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by |
| | | | | Section 3 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. |

| No civil or administrative penalties are defined in law. The effectiveness of the | | 9 2011.It states "The knowledge, intent purpose required as an element of any offence under this Act may be inferred from objective, factual circumstances." |
|--|---|--|
| regime has not been tested by actual cases. The definition of terrorist, terrorist act and terrorist organization are not in him with the Classes. | iii. Permit the intentional element of the Terrorist financing offence to be inferred from objective factual circumstance; | jurisprudence in our jurisdiction |
| line with the Glossary of Definitions used in the Methodology as the terms does not refer to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft (1970) and the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Civil Aviation (1971) | iv. To permit the possibility of parallel criminal, civil or administrative proceedings where more than one form of liability is available. | keeping with definitions of FATF. The Financial Services Unit (FSU), having |
| | v. To address civil or administrative penalties; and; | Section 47 of Act No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 17 of Act No. 9 of 2011 provides for sanctions which may be imposed on a financial institution who fails to comply with guidance notes issued by the Financial services Unit. Some of the sanctions now available to the FSU include the issuance of written warnings, issuance of specific |

| | instructions to institutions or persons who may be in possession of targeted funds and the suspension or revocation of the institution's licence. In addition to the new SFTA enforcement powers given to the FSU, additional inherent powers from the FSU Act are still available to the FSU when carrying out its functions. Some of the powers include a requisition for the production of documents, inspections, requiring the FIs and DNFBPs to submit periodic reports in the form and with the content to be determined by the Director of the FSU. |
|--|--|
| | Under Section 48 of the Act as amended by section 18 of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act No.9 of 2011 the Minister may prescribe sanctions and/ or penalties, to be imposed on a financial institution by the FSU and Sec. 2 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 3 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011 |

| | vi. Ensure that the definition of terrorist, terrorist act and terrorist organization are in line with the term terrorist act as defined by the FATF | Sec. 2 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 3 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 2011 provides for a new definition of terrorist and terrorist act which is in keeping FATF recommendation. The definition given to "terrorist" is consistent with the definition found in the Glossary of Definitions in the FATF 2009 Methodology. The same approach has been taken for "terrorist act". However, the term "terrorist organisation" is not as referenced by the Examiners. This term is not used throughout our SFTA and amendments thereto. Instead, the term "terrorist group" is used but is given a definition consistent with the definition of "terrorist organisation" found in the Glossary of Definition of the FATF 2009 Methodology. This new term and definition thereto can be found at section 2 of the SFTA as amended by section 3 of the Act No. 9 of 2011. It means a group of terrorist that (a) commit, or attempt to commit terrorist acts by any means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and wilfully; (b) participates as an accomplice in terrorist acts; (c) organizes or directs others to commit terrorist acts; or (d) contributes to the commission of terrorist acts by a group of persons acting with a common purpose where the |
|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|

| | contribution is made intentionally and with the aim of furthering the terrorist act with knowledge of the intention of the group to commit a terrorist act. Hence, the substance of the definition of terrorist group is the same as per the definition of terrorist organisation. |
|--|---|
| | FSU has developed appropriate Guidance Notes. The sections referenced, both in the parent Act and the Amendment Act penalises terrorism financing activities by a person who directly or indirectly, unlawfully and wilfully provides or collects funds with the intention or in the knowledge that such funds shall be used in full or part • in order to commit a terrorist act • by a terrorist group; or • by a terrorist. This amendment removes the previous limitation of section 4 of the parent Act No. 3 of 2003 and criminalises the activity of providing funding to a terrorist group or terrorist, irrespective of whether the funds |
| | were used to carry out a terrorist act. |

| SR. III | PC | The Commonwealth of | The Commonwealth of Dominica | Sec. 12C of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended |
|-----------------------|----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | Dominica has limited | should: | by Section 10 of the SFT (Amendment) Act |
| Freeze and confiscate | | and need adequate | i. Strengthen their legislation to | No. 9 of 2011. This section allows for the |
| terrorist assets | | laws and procedures to | enable procedures which would | Central Authority of Dominica to receive a |
| torrorist assets | | examine and give effect | examine and give effect to the | request from the Court of another state to |
| | | to, if appropriate, the | actions initiated under the | freeze the accounts, funds or property |
| | | actions initiated under | freezing mechanisms of other | connected to a terrorist, terrorist act or |
| | | the freezing | jurisdictions | terrorist group, that was the subject of the |
| | | mechanisms of other | Julisticuous | freezing mechanism of the requesting state. |
| | | jurisdictions. | | receing mechanism of the requesting state. |
| | | jui isulcuons. | | The "Central Authority Procedures" |
| | | | | document at page 20, provides the |
| | | | | procedure for giving effect to the actions |
| | | | | initiated under the freezing mechanisms of |
| | | | | other jurisdictions. A copy of this document |
| | | | | is hereto attached. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Additionally, the Minister of National |
| | | | | Security has been given legal authority |
| | | | | pursuant to section 11 of the SFTA Act No. |
| | | | | 3 of 2003, to designate any person a |
| | | | | terrorist or terrorist group. Having so |
| | | | | designated the person a terrorist or |
| | | | | terrorist group, the Attorney General can, |
| | | | | after publication of the Designation Order, |
| | | | | order financial institutions in Dominica to |
| | | | | freeze any account, funds or property held |
| | | | | by that financial institution on behalf of a |
| | | | | person designated a terrorist or a terrorist |
| | | | | group. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | The law at section 13 of the SFTA No. 3 of |
| | | | | 2003, further provides for a mechanism |
| | | | | that would allow for the varying and if |
| | | | | necessary discharging of the Order if an |
| | | | | applicant proves that the person who is |

| | subject of the designation order is not a terrorist or terrorist group, or the funds or the property which is the subject of the freezing order is legally and beneficially owned by him and is not subject to any interest held by the terrorist group named in the designation order. Section as amended by section 4 of Act No. 10 of 2010, provides for terrorism in the schedule as an offence. |
|-------------------|--|
| | Pursuant to section 71 of the POCA No. 4 of 1993, the Attorney General may apply to the Court in Dominica for the registration of an external confiscation or forfeiture order from a designated country. In giving effect to an external forfeiture and confiscation order under this section, sections 30 to 37 of the POCA No. 4 of 1993 shall have effect, subject to such modifications as may be specified in the Order. |
| • The laws of the | Section 36A(1) of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism Act as amended by section 8 of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) act No.9 of 2013 states that "The Court or the competent authority may receive a request from the court of another State to identify, freeze, seize, confiscate or forfeit- a)the property; b)any property of corresponding values; c) proceeds; or |

| | | W. • |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Commonwealth of | ii. Implement effective mechanisms | |
| Dominica do not speak | for communicating actions | connected to offences under this Act, and |
| to having an effective | taken under the freezing | |
| system for | mechanisms | or any other enactments, including those |
| communicating actions | | specified in sections 8,12 and 38 or any other |
| taken under the | | enactment." |
| freezing mechanisms | | |
| (to financial | | Under section 11 of the SFTA 3 of No.3 the |
| institutions) | | Minister is given the authority to designate |
| | | a person a terrorist or a terrorist group. |
| | | Section 11 of the Act has been amended by |
| | | section 5 of the Suppression of the |
| | | Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act |
| | | No.9 of 2013 by inserting a new section |
| | | 11A(1) which provides a definition to the |
| | | term 'designated entities'. Section 11A (2) |
| | | outlines the responsibilities of the FIU as it |
| | | relates to 'designated entities'. Special |
| | | attention should be paid to section 11A(2) |
| | | (e) which states that the FIU must maintain |
| | | "a consolidated list of all Orders issued by |
| | | the Minister under section 11 and |
| | | circulating the same by facsimile and any |
| | | other electronic transmission to all financial |
| | | institutions and listed businesses |
| | | immediately at intervals of three months". |
| | | This ensures that all financial institutions |
| The Commenweelth of | | |
| • The Commonwealth of | | will be made of aware of persons designated |
| Dominica do not have | | as terrorist or terrorist groups. |
| appropriate | | Deference is also made to the Control |
| procedures for | | Reference is also made to the Central |
| authorising access to | | Authority Procedures Document which |
| funds or other assets | | ••••• |
| that were frozen | | C 10 (1) 1 (0) -P (1 CEPTA 0 P0000 |
| pursuant to | | Sec. 12 (1) and (2) of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as |
| S/RES/1267(1999) and | | amended by Section 9 of the SFT |

| that have bee determined to b necessary for basi | | (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011.Section 12 of the parent Act no. 4 of 1993 has been repealed and replaced with a new section 12 |
|---|--|--|
| expenses, the payment of certain types of feet expenses and service | t , | that allows for the publication of a designation order by the Attorney General and in writing allows him to issue an order |
| charges or fo extraordinary expenses. | | to financial institutions in the State to freeze any account, funds or property held by that financial institution on behalf of a |
| | iii. Create appropriate procedures | person who or terrorist group which has been subject to a designation Order. Failure by the financial institution to freeze the account results in the commission of an |
| | for authorizing access to funds or other assets that were frozen pursuant to S/RES/1267 (1999) | offence by the financial institution. The holder of the account shall as soon as |
| | | possible be notified in writing after the fact that their account has been frozen. |
| | | Sec. 12B of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 10 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011.Access to |
| | | funds frozen pursuant to a freeze order is llowed under section 12B of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Act No. 9 of 2011, and allows the Court to give directions |
| | | and allows the Court to give directions relative to any dispute, ownership of accounts or property or any part thereof; the administration of the property during |
| | iv. issue clear guidance to financial institutions and persons that | the period of freezing; the payment of debts due to creditors prior to the order; and the payment of money to a person for |
| | may be in possession of targeted funds or assets or may later come into possession of such | reasonable subsistence of that person and his family. |

| | funds or assets. | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| No guidance has been issued. | | Sec. 47 (1) of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 17 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011 provides the Financial Services Unit with the authority to issue guidance to financial institutions or persons who may be in possession of targeted funds or assets. |
| | | Sec. 36 of the SFTA No. 3 of 2003 places a duty on persons to disclose information in regards to property in their possession or control which is to their knowledge owned or controlled by terrorist groups. Subsection 3 also places a duty "on financial institutions to report to the Commissioner of Police every transaction which occurs within the course of itsactivities and in respect of which there are reasonable grounds to suspect that the transaction is related to the commission of aterrorist act." |
| | | Section 19A (2) of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 11 of SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011 provides for the reporting of suspicious business transactions to the Financial Intelligence Unit. |
| | | N.B. Section 47 of Act No. 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 17 of Act No. 9 of 2011 applies to funds and assets inclusive of funds and assets related to the freezing regime. Section 10 of the Suppression of the |

| | | | | Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 2013 amends Section 47 (a) (ii) of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism Act to make it applicable to funds which are subject to the Freezing regime There is a proposed Bill to amend the SFTA. Clause 11B and 11C of this Bill proposes to outline the procedures which ought to be applied by financial institutions where they receive the list of designated entities. |
|--|----|--|--|---|
| SR. IV Suspicious transaction reporting | NC | The reporting of STRs does not include suspicion of terrorist organizations, terrorism, terrorist acts or those who finance terrorism. | i. The reporting of STRs with regard to terrorism and the financing of terrorism should include suspicion of terrorist organizations or those who finance terrorism. | as amended by Section 11 of SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011 |

| | n.c | | | typographical error at 19 A (2) (b) Section 6 of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act of 2013 was intended to correct this typographical error at Section 19 A (2) (b) of the SFTA No 3 of 2003. Unfortunately, this Section contains a simple error which will be corrected before the May Plenary |
|--------------------------------|-----|---|--|---|
| SR V International Cooperation | PC | • Factors in Recommendations 37 and 38 are also applicable. | ii. The examiner could find no evidence that a requests for cooperation would not be refused on the grounds of laws that impose secrecy or confidentiality requirements on financial institutions or DNFBP (except where the relevant information that is sought is held in circumstances where legal professional privilege or legal professional secrecy applies). | As it relates the sharing of information which relates to terrorist financing Section 14(2) of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (Amendment) Act 9 0f 2011 provides for the sharing of information notwithstanding any obligations as to secrecy, confidentiality or other restriction upon disclosure of information imposed by any law. This section states: "Subject to the provisions of the Constitution, requests for information under this Part, shall be fulfilled, notwithstanding any obligations as to secrecy, confidentiality or other restriction upon disclosure of information imposed by any law of otherwise, except where the information sought under subsection(1) is held in circumstances where legal professional privilege exists." Section 29 of the Money Laundering (prevention) Act 20 of 2000 also makes allowance for the overriding of secrecy obligations. It states: "Subject to the provisons of the Constitution, the visions of this Act shall have effect notwithstanding any obligation as to secrecy or other restriction upon the disclosure of information imposed by any |

| | law or otherwise." |
|--|--|
| i. Unclear laws as to whether the requirement in Criterion 38.1 is met where the request relates to property of corresponding value. | MR. LANDER COMMENTS: states that where the Court is satisfied that a forfeiture order should be made in respect of the property of a person convicted of scheduled offence but that the property or any part thereof or interest therein cannot be made subject to such an order, the Court may, instead of ordering the property or part thereof or interest therein to be forfeited, order the person to pay to the State an amount equal to the value of the property, part or interest. The Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act No. 10 of 2010 at Schedule 1 list Terrorism and Financing of Terrorism as scheduled offences. |

| | mechanism of the requesting State. Section 4 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993 states that where a person is convicted of a scheduled offence committed after the coming into force of this Act, the DPP may apply to the forfeiture and confiscation orders. Sections 27 and 28 of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act No. 9 of 1990 sets out the arrangements for coordinating actions with other countries. Section 30 (1) (b) of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters (Amendment) Act No. 16 of 2002 extends the application of this Act to all parties of the UN Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, 1988. |
|---|--|
| i. Unclear as to whether Dominica could have arrangements for co- ordinating seizure and confiscation actions with other countries. | Section 27 of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 13 of the SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011 MR. LANDER COMMENT: states that where the Competent Authority in Dominica receives a request from another State to extradite a person over whom that other State establishes jurisdiction in accordance with the provisions of this Act for the commission of an offence in that other State, the request shall be considered whether or not there is an extradition treaty between Dominica and that State. Where the Competent Authority receives a request for extradition that request should |

| | be fulfilled without undue delay. Sections 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31 of the Extradition Act No. 6 of 1981 describes the extradition procedure. Section 31 of the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism Act No. 3 of 2003 states that notwithstanding anything in any other law, no offence under this Act shall be regarded as a fiscal offence for the purposes of extradition or mutual legal assistance. |
|--|--|
| ii. No measures or procedures adopted to allow extradition requests and proceedings relating to terrorists acts and the financing of terrorism to be handled without undue delay | Sec. 35 (2) of the SFTA 3 of 2003 as amended by Section 14 of SFT (Amendment) Act No. 9 of 2011. N. B. Section 27 and 28 of the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act Chap. 12:19 together with Section 14 of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993 as amended by Act No. 10 of 2010 addresses requests by foreign countries where the requests relate to property of corresponding value. Act No. 10 of 2010 includes terrorism and financing of terrorism as Scheduled Offences falling within the ambit of the Proceeds of Crime Act No. 4 of 1993. Sections 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 of the Extradition Act Chap. 12:04 (Act No. 6 of 1981) of the Revised Laws of Dominica address the Extradition Procedure. |

| SR. VI AML requirements for money/value transfer services | NC | Lack of an effective supervisory or regulatory regime. No requirements for licensing and registration by the authorities. | i. With the exception of MVT service providers that are supervised and regulated under the Baking Act, the Off Shore Banking Act and the Cooperative Societies Act, there is no specific requirement for these entities to be licensed or registered. The FSU is charged with the responsibility of supervising and regulating these institutions, however the Unit has no legal basis to enforce or discharge its functions. ii. There is no specific regulatory | |
|--|----|--|--|--|
| | | | authority charged with the responsibility of monitoring and ensuring compliance with the provisions of the AML/CFT regime. | |
| | | | iii. The FSU does not license or register these entities, nor does it provide ongoing supervision or monitoring. It is recommended that the FSU be entrusted with the responsibility of ensuring monitoring and compliance with the requirements of the AML/CFT regime. | |
| | | | iv. The FSU should be required to institute a programme of ongoing onsite and off site | |

| | | | monitoring for other regulatory and supervisory purposes. | |
|-----------------------------|----|--|--|--|
| SR. VII Wire transfer rules | NC | No measures in place to cover domestic, cross-border and non-routine wire transfers. There are no requirements for intermediary and beneficial financial institutions handling wire transfers. No measures in place to effectively monitor compliance with the requirements of SR VII. | i. It is recommended that the review of Dominica's legislative and regulatory provision take consideration of all requirements of the Recommendation and appropriate legislation be enacted as soon as possible. | |

| SR. VIII | NC | • NPOs not subject to | i. The Social Welfare Department | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|---|--|
| Non-profit organisations | | AML/CFT regime. | should be charged with the supervision of the NGOs and be | |
| Non-profit organisations | | • There is no proper supervision of NGOs. | adequately staffed to take on this task. | |
| | | • There are no sanctions in place for non-compliance with the reporting requirements. | ii. Sanctions should be put in place for non-compliance as it relates to the annual reporting requirements.iii. NGOs should be required to | |
| | | • There are no guidelines to aid the NGO in | report unusual donations to the Supervisory Authority | |
| | | selecting its management. | iv. NGOs should be sensitized to the issues of AML/CFT including | |
| | | • There are no requirements for the | how they could be used for terrorist financing. | |
| | | NGO to report unusual donations. | v. NGOs should be encouraged to apply fit and proper standards | |
| | | • The NGOs have not been sensitized in | to officers and persons working in and for the NGO. | |
| | | issues of AML/CFT. | vi. The requirements of the MLPA, its Regulations and the | |
| | and regulations | No review of the laws and regulations that relate to NPOs by the authorities. | Guidanca Notes should be | |
| | | No measures for conducting reviews of or capacity to obtain timely information on the activities, size and | vii.The Authorities should undertake a review of the domestic laws and regulations that relate to Non-profit organizations. | |

- other relevant features of non-profit sectors for the purpose of identifying NPOs at risk of being misused for terrorist financing.
- No assessments of new information on the sector's potential vulnerabilities to terrorist activities are conducted.
- No efforts at raising the awareness in the NPO sector about the risks of terrorist abuse and any available measures to protect NPOs from such abuse.
- No sanctions for the violations of the rules in the NPO sector.
- No monitoring of NPOs and their international activities.

- viii.Measures for conducting domestic reviews of or capacity to obtain timely information on the activities, size and other relevant features of non-profit sectors for the purpose of identifying NPOs at risk of being misused for terrorist financing should be implemented.
- ix. Reassessments of new information on the sector's potential vulnerabilities to terrorist activities should be conducted.
- x. The Authorities should monitor the NPOs and their international activities.
- xi. Training sessions should be implemented to raise the awareness in the NPO sector about the risks of terrorist abuse.
- xii.There should be measures to protect NPOs from terrorist abuse.
- xiii.There should be sanctions for violation rules in the NPO sector

| SR. IX | PC | - NI414 | i. Customs should be given the | |
|--------------------------|----|--------------------------|---|---|
| SK. IX | PC | No authority to | i. Customs should be given the authority to request further | |
| Cross Border Declaration | | conduct further | information relative to the | |
| & Disclosure | | investigations pursuant | | |
| & Disclosure | | to false declaration. | origin of currency or bearer | |
| | | | negotiable instruments. | |
| | | No dissuasive criminal | | |
| | | civil or administrative | ii. Some formal arrangements | |
| | | sanctions are available | should be entered into for the | |
| | | for application where | sharing of information on cross | |
| | | persons make false | border transportation and | Although there is no legislative provisions |
| | | declarations. | seizures with International | that would allow the identification data of |
| | | | counter-parts and other | the bearer of cash or bearer negotiable |
| | | • No dissuasive criminal | competent authorities. | instruments to be retained in |
| | | civil or administrative | | circumstances involving suspicion of ML |
| | | sanctions are available | iii. Provide the legislative | or TF, this is already being done in |
| | | for application where | provisions that would allow cash | Dominica. What obtains in Dominica is |
| | | persons are carrying | or bearer negotiable | that where a suspicion arises at customs in |
| | | out a physical cross- | instruments and the | relation to ML and TF it is automatically |
| | | border transportation | identification data of the bearer | transferred to the FIU. The FIU inputs all |
| | | of currency or bearer | to be retained in circumstances | the information into their database and |
| | | negotiable instruments | involving suspicion of ML of TF. | then they will proceed to commence their |
| | | related to ML or TF. | | investigations into the matter. The |
| | | | | information is stored for an indefinite |
| | | • The declaration system | | period. As long as the FIU system/database |
| | | does not allow for the | | is operational, the information is kept. |
| | | detention of currency | | |
| | | or bearer negotiable | | |
| | | instruments and the | | |
| | | identification data of | | |
| | | the bearer where there | | |
| | | is suspicion of ML or | | |
| | | TF. | | |
| | | 11. | | |
| | | Thoro is no evidence | | |
| | | • There is no evidence | | |
| | | that there are formal | | |
| | | arrangements in place | | |

| for the sharing of | iv. Make available a range of | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| information with | effective proportionate and | |
| international | dissuasive criminal, civil or | |
| counterparts in | administrative sanction, which | |
| relation to cross border | can be applied to persons who | |
| transactions. | make false declarations. | |
| | | |
| | v. Make available a range of | |
| | effective proportionate and | |
| | dissuasive criminal, civil or | |
| | administrative sanctions, which | |
| | can be applied to persons who | |
| | are carrying out a physical | |
| | cross-border transportation of | |
| | currency or bearer negotiable | |
| | instruments related to ML or | |
| | TF. | |