

Third Follow-Up Report

Barbados May 28, 2010

© 2009 CFATF. All rights reserved.

No reproduction or translation of this publication may be made without prior written permission. Requests for permission to further disseminate reproduce or translate all or part of this publication should be obtained from the CFATF Secretariat at **CFATF@cfatf.org**

BARBADOS – THIRD FOLLOW-UP REPORT

I. Introduction

- 1. This report is the third follow-up report by Barbados to the Caribbean Financial Action Task Force (CFATF) Plenary on the action taken to implement the recommended action listed in the third mutual evaluation report (MER) of Barbados which was adopted in May 2008. Barbados has submitted two previous follow-up reports in May and October 2009 respectively. In accordance with present procedures the following is a report on measures taken by Barbados to deal with the recommended actions in those Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Recommendations rated partially compliant (PC) or non compliant (NC). Details on the actions are outlined in Annex 1 of this report
- 2. Barbados received ratings of PC or NC on nine (9) of the sixteen (16) Core and Key Recommendations and largely compliant (LC) or compliant (C) on the remaining seven (7) Core and Key Recommendations as follows:

Table 1: Ratings of Core and Key Recommendations

Rec.	1	3	4	5	10	13	23	26	35	36	40	I	II	III	IV	V
Rating	LC	PC	PC	PC	NC	LC	PC	LC	LC	PC	LC	PC	C	PC	LC	PC

3. With regard to the other non-core or key Recommendations, Barbados was rated partially compliant or non-compliant on eighteen (18), as indicated below.

Table 2: Non Core and Key Recommendations rated Partially Compliant and Non-Compliant

Partially Compliant (PC)	Non-Complaint (NC)
R. 6 (Politically exposed persons)	R. 12 (DNFBP – R.5,6,8-11)
R. 8 (New technologies & non face-to-face	R. 16 (DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21)
business)	
R. 9 (Third parties and introducers)	R. 21 (Special attention for higher risk
	countries)
R. 11 (Unusual transactions)	R. 24 (DNFBP – regulation, supervision and
	monitoring)
R. 14 (Protection & no tipping off)	SR. VI (AML requirements for money value
	transfer services
R. 15 (Internal controls, compliance & audit)	
R. 22 (Foreign branches & subsidiaries)	
R. 25 (Guidelines & Feedback)	
R. 30 (Resources, integrity and training)	
R. 33 (Legal persons – beneficial owners)	
R. 34 (Legal arrangements – beneficial	
owners)	

R. 38 (MLA on confiscation and freezing)	
SR. VII (Wire transfer rules)	

4. The following table is intended to provide insight into the size and risk of the main financial sectors in Barbados.

Table 3: Size and integration of the jurisdiction's financial sector
As at Dec 31, 2009-Barbados

		Banks (Commercial) US\$000's Please note all figures are provisional	Other Credit Institutions* (Deposit Taking) US\$000's	Securities	Insurance	TOTAL
Number of institutions	Total #	6	45	1	28	80
Assets	US\$	5,583,288	656,773,234	391,156,557.45*	787,891.231.86+	7,419,109,023.31
	Total: US\$	4,391,824	580,658,854			585,050,678
Deposits	% Non- resident	% of deposits		N/A	N/A	
International Links	% Foreign- owned:	100% of assets	80.7 % of assets	% of assets	% of assets	% of assets
	#Subsidiari es abroad	3	0			

^{*}Relates to Mutual Funds

II. Summary of progress made by Barbados

5. Since the MER, the authorities in Barbados have begun to assess the various means to achieve compliance. Some measures have been identified in particular legislative amendments to specific laws and proposals for new legislation. A new Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism Bill (MLFT) has been drafted with the aim of repealing the previous one. The new MLFT Bill also amends the Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act (MACMA), the Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA), the Drugs (prevention and Control) Act (DAPCA) and the primary legislation administered by the financial regulators including Securities and Insurance. A Corporate and Trust Service Providers Bill, the Transnational Organized Crime (Prevention and Control) Bill and the Companies (Amendment) Act have been finalized and approved by Cabinet. The Prevention of Corruption Bill has also been prepared and approved. In additional relevant sections of the Securities legislation have being amended. These pieces of legislation are due to be placed in Parliament for debate in June 2010. Other proposed measures include development

⁺Represents 2008 figures

of frameworks for the DNFBPs and money service providers who are not licensees of the Central Bank of Barbados (CBB).

Core Recommendations

Recommendation 5

6. The authorities advise that the new MLFT Bill remedies the stated deficiencies for this Recommendation and is due to be enacted shortly. Additionally, proposed amendments to the Securities legislation will also remedy the deficiencies under this Recommendation. Revisions to regulatory Guidelines are also in the process of being finalized. Accordingly, this Recommendation remains outstanding

Recommendation 10

- 7. The new MLFT Bill complies with all of the examiners' recommendation for the retention of all necessary records on all transactions for a period of five years after the termination of the transaction. A similar amendment to the new MLFT Bill has been included with regard to financial institutions being required to maintain records of account files and business correspondence for at least five years after the termination of an account or business relationship
- 8. In relation to the recommendation for financial institutions to be required to ensure that all customer and transaction records and information are available on timely basis, the new MLFT Bill provides the FIU Director with the power to request any information except that subject to legal profession privilege relevant to fulfill the FIU's functions. Additionally financial institutions are require to make available such information within a reasonable time or within a specified deadline. Pending the enactment of the new MLFT Bill, this recommendation remains outstanding.

Key Recommendations

Recommendation 3

9. The specific examiners' recommendations in relation to upgrading the forfeiture regime and drafting the requisite legislation/amendments have been addressed in the Fourth Schedule of the proposed money laundering legislation which is due to be enacted shortly. Pending enactment, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 4

- 10. Section 44 (2) of the Financial Institutions Act CAP344A has been amended to allow the Central Bank , without the permission of a licensee, to share information with any other supervisory or regulatory authority of financial institutions in Barbados. This provision complies with the examiners' recommendation concerning the Central Bank.
- 11. With regard to the Registrar of Cooperatives and the Supervisor of Insurance the examiners' recommendations to allow for the sharing of information with other regulators is incorporated in the Fourth Schedule of the new MLFT Bill which is due to be shortly enacted. Pending enactment, these recommendations remain outstanding

12. The examiners' recommendation concerning the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development being authorized to access information from its licensees and share information appropriately with other competent authorities is also included in the Fourth Schedule of the new MLFT Bill. Given the above all the examiners' recommendations except for one remain outstanding.

Recommendation 23

13. The examiners' recommendations for the Registrar of Cooperatives to have the power to approve senior management of their licensees and the Securities Commission to approve ownership of significant or controlling interests of their registrants and using fit and proper criteria in approving directors, management and significant ownership, have been included in amendments in the new MLFT Bill and the Securities Act (SA). A general framework for the regulation of stand-alone money value transfer providers is being considered in the new Financial Services Commission Bill. The Commission is to be established by July 2010. Given the above, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 36

14. Amendments have been made to MACMA to incorporate provisions to address the examiners' recommendations for the revision of the definition of "serious offence", the inclusion of instrumentalities in restraint, forfeiture and confiscation provisions, the extension of the application of monitoring orders and other powers under POCA to MACMA,. With regard to the recommendation to increase the capacity for information exchange by seeking bilateral agreements, the FIU is in the process of negotiating several memoranda of understanding(MOUs) and has signed an MOU with the Netherlands Antilles. Except for the last measure above, the examiners' recommendations remain outstanding.

Special Recommendation I

15. The authorities have advised that all of the examiners' recommendations have been incorporated in the new MLFT Bill and amendments to the POCA as stated in the Fourth Schedule of the MLFT Bill, the Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Bill and the Prevention of Corruption Bill which have been approved by Cabinet. Pending enactment, these Recommendations remain outstanding.

Special Recommendation III

16. The examiners' recommendations with regard to the freezing/restraint and forfeiture regimes have been included in amendments to the POCA schedule and the new MLFT Bill. Pending the enactment of these amendments, these recommendations remain outstanding.

Special Recommendation V

17. In addition to the amendments referred to in response to the examiners' recommendations under Recommendation 36 which are also applicable to SR V, the authorities have also included a provision to enable Barbados or foreign states to seek reciprocal assistance in obtaining forfeiture/confiscation orders in the jurisdiction of another country. As noted above these amendments are still to be enacted. The measure in relation to the FIU negotiating MOUs is also applicable to SR V. Except for the last measure above, the examiners' recommendations remain outstanding.

Other Recommendations

Recommendation 6

18. Revisions to the relevant regulatory guidelines making the requirements for politically exposed persons similar to those of the Central Bank Guidelines enforceable on all financial institutions are in the process of being finalized. This Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 8

19. The examiners' recommendation for the requirements for non-face to face customers to be enforceable on all financial institutions is being addressed by revisions to the regulatory Guidelines which are in the process of being finalized. This Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 9

20. The examiners' recommendation for the requirements for third party and introduced business as stipulated in the Central Bank Guidelines to be enforceable on all financial institutions is being addressed by revisions to the regulatory Guidelines which are in the process of being finalized. This Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 11

21. The examiners' recommendation for the monitoring requirements in the Central Bank Guidelines to be enforceable on all financial institutions is being addressed by revisions to the regulatory Guidelines which are in the process of being finalized. This Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 12

22. The new MLFT Bill extends the application of AML/CFT obligations to non-financial business entities and professionals. Additionally the Corporate and Trust Service Providers Bill will establish a registrations and licensing regime for international service providers. Pending enactment, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 14

23. Clause 48(5) of the new MLFT Bill complies with the examiner's recommendation. Since the MLFT Bill is still to be enacted this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 15

24. The relevant clause in the new MLPT Bill provides for sanctions for non-compliance with requirements for internal controls, compliance and audit. Revisions to relevant regulatory Guidelines making internal controls, training and screening requirements similar to the Central Bank Guidelines enforceable on all financial institutions are in the process of being finalized. This Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 16

25. The new MLFT Bill extends the application of AML/CFT obligations to non-financial business entities and professionals. Additionally the Corporate and Trust Service Providers Bill will establish a registrations and licensing regime for international service providers. Pending the enactment of the proposed laws this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 21

26. Only one of the examiners' recommendation concerning written findings of all transactions with no apparent economic or lawful purpose from countries that do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations being available for competent authorities has been addressed in clause 18 of the new MLFT Bill. Since only one recommendation of the examiners has been dealt with and is pending enactment, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 22

27. The authorities have advised that the recommendations requiring the extension of the obligations imposed on the licensees of the Central Bank and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions are being incorporated as revisions to relevant regulatory Guidelines which are in the process of being finalized. Accordingly, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 24

28. The new MLFT Bill extends the application of AML/CFT obligations to non-financial business entities and professionals. Additionally the Corporate and Trust Service Providers Bill will establish a registrations and licensing regime for international service providers. Pending the enactment of the proposed laws this Recommendation remains outstanding

Recommendation 25

Barbados has advised that the FIU currently provides feedback to financial institutions with respect to ongoing STRs in a manner that will not compromise the investigation process. As matters are completed or closed, the financial institutions are to be given a short summary of findings. While the above measures complies with the examiners' recommendation, statistics as to the number of feedback provided since the mutual evaluation would assist in demonstrating implementation. As such this Recommendation remains partially outstanding.

Recommendation 30

30. As noted in the last follow-up report the Office of the Attorney General approved the creation of six additional posts at the FIU. These included a Deputy Director, 2 additional analysts, an information technology specialist, an institutional examiner and a clerk/typist. These posts, once filled, are expected to greatly enhance the FIU's capacity to execute its mandate. The FIU has upgraded its IT system through the acquisition of a more robust database system and increased protection through the installation of additional firewalls and research tools. Since the last follow-up report the Royal Barbados Police Force has recruited an additional 47 persons which brings the total number of persons recruited since 2006 to approximately 200. With regard to supervisory resources, Barbados is still revising its regulatory/supervisory framework and a Financial Services Commission is to be established. Until the implementation of the revised

regulatory/supervisory framework as detailed above, this Recommendation remains partially outstanding.

Recommendation 33

31. The examiners recommendations focused on enacting legislative requirements for legal persons to disclose beneficial ownership information. The proposed Companies Amendment Act makes provision for the creation of a confidential register of beneficial ownership, which is not open for public inspection. Disclosure is only permitted as necessary for the proper administration and enforcement of the new MLFT Bill. Since the proposed legislation still has to be enacted this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 34

32. The examiners' recommendation that the authorities should implement measures for monitoring and ensuring compliance of international trusts with AML/CFT requirements have been incorporated into the Corporate and Trust Service Providers Bill which is due to be enacted shortly. Additionally, supervision is to be strengthened with the establishment of the Financial Services Commission which will be responsible for ensuring compliance of international trusts with AML/CFT requirements. Pending enactment of the relevant legislation and establishment of the Financial Services Commission, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Recommendation 38

33. The examiners' recommendations regarding Barbados or foreign states being able to seek reciprocal assistance in obtaining forfeiture/confiscation orders in the jurisdiction of another country has been incorporated as amendments to MACMA which are to be enacted shortly. No response with regard to the establishment of a dedicated forfeiture fund or extension of the existing statutory coverage of asset sharing with other countries has been submitted. Based on the above, this Recommendation remains outstanding.

Special Recommendation VI

34. The authorities advise that a general framework for the regulation of stand-alone money value transfer providers is being considered in the new Financial Services Commission Bill. The Commission is to be established by July 2010. Given the above, this Recommendation remains outstanding

Special recommendation VII

35. The recommendation that stand-alone money remitters be monitored for compliance with the requirements of SR VII will be incorporated into the general regulatory framework being considered in the new Financial Services Commission Bill. The Commission is to be established by July 2010. Given the above, this Recommendation remains outstanding

Conclusion

36. As noted above, the authorities in Barbados are at an advanced stage in the process of preparing for the submission of amended or new legislation to Parliament for debate in June. Given that the majority of recommendations have been adequately incorporated in the amended

or new legislat	on it is r	ecommended	that	Barbados	remain	on	expedited	follow-up	and	report
back to the Pler	ary in No	vember 2010.					_			_
	·									

Matrix with Ratings and Follow Up Action Plan 3rd Round Mutual Evaluation Barbados

Forty Recommendations	Recommendations Rating Summary of factors underlying rating rating 1		Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
Legal systems				
1.ML offence	LC	 The crimes of human trafficking, corruption and bribery provisions falling within the designated categories of offences have not been adequately addressed in legislation. Extraterritoriality of predicate offences is not clearly defined. 	 The authorities should review the adequacy of the legislative coverage of human trafficking, corruption and bribery to ensure coverage of all designated categories of offences. The different <i>mens rea</i> elements of money laundering offences under the MLFTA and section 19 of DAPCA should be harmonized. The language of section 4 of the MLFTA should be reviewed with a view to removing the current limitation which requires that there be an intention for the extraterritorial act to be also committed in Barbados 	• Section 8 of the Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Bill 2010 creates the offence of trafficking in persons; Section 9 creates the offence of smuggling of persons. Part VI of the Prevention of Corruption Bill 2010 provides for the offences of bribery, solicitation and related offences. An amendment to the DAPCA has been provided for in the MLFTA 2010 in the Fourth Schedule of the latter Act In section 19, delete subsection (1) and substitute the following: "(1) Subject to subsection (2), if a person enters into or is otherwise concerned in an arrangement whereby (a) the retention or control by or on behalf of another "X", of X's benefits of drug trafficking is facilitated (whether by concealment, removal from the jurisdiction, transfer to nominees or otherwise); or (b) X's benefits of drug trafficking are used to secure that funds are placed at X's disposal or are used for X's benefit to acquire property by way of investment,.

.

¹ These factors are only required to be set out when the rating is less than Compliant.

2.ML offence – mental element and corporate liability	LC	Ineffective use of ML provisions.		Either (i) knowing or having reasonable grounds to suspect that X is a person who carries on or has carried on drug trafficking; (ii) being an individual, failing without reasonable excuse to take reasonable steps to ascertain whether X is a person who carries on or has carried on drug trafficking; or (iii) being a financial institution or a non-financial business entity or professional within the meaning of the Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism (Prevention and Control) Act, 2010, failing to take reasonable steps to implement or apply procedures to control or combat money laundering, the person is guilty of an indictable offence under this Act." Section 7 of the MLFTA 2010 states "any act done by a person outside of Barbados, which would be an offence if done within Barbados, is an offence for the purposes of this Act." The defect has been remedied in the MLFTA 2010.
3. Confiscation and provisional measures	PC	 Forfeiture/restraint orders only limited to proceeds of money laundering, predicate offence of drug-trafficking, terrorist acts and financing of terrorism. No specific provision for forfeiture of instrumentalities under the MLFTA. No provision for ex parte application 	• The authorities should consider reviewing the forfeiture/confiscation regime to ensure that all serious offences are covered; the various statutes are rationalized as far as possible to provide greater certainty in application. Specific attention should be given to adjusting the MLFTA forfeiture scheme so as to incorporate appropriate balancing features	This has been achieved by the passage of the MLFTA 2010 and the amendments to the POCA as stated in the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010. The forfeiture regime is now dealt with under the POCA.

for freezing or seizing property subject to confiscation under the MLFTA • No provision for production/inspection orders under MLFTA. • Lack of integrated approach to forfeiture/restraint detracts from effectiveness.	in keeping with recent case law. Further there should be greater particularity on various aspects of any approach, including factors to be taken into account by the court before issuing orders; coverage of instrumentalities; bona fide third party rights; variation/discharge of orders.	
	 The coverage of instrumentalities under POCA should be extended to ensure property intended for use in the commission of the offence is caught. The definition of "scheduled offence" under POCA should be extended to incorporate the serious offences contemplated by the FATF's "designated categories of offences" 	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 refers to the amendments to the POCA. Section 2 (b) of POCA has been amended to read "The principal objects of this Act are to provide for the forfeiture of property including instrumentalities, used in or intended to be used in, or in connection with, or for the purpose of facilitating, the commission of scheduled offences."
	 A specific provision should be enacted for the forfeiture of instrumentalities in MLFTA Appropriate powers of production and inspection should be introduced in the 	Additionally, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 amends the definition of "tainted property" in the POCA to include instrumentalities, used in or intended to be used, or in connection with, or for the purpose of facilitating the commission of schedules offences".
	 MLFTA. For those offences under DAPCA falling outside the scope of the POCA "scheduled offences", similar powers should also be incorporated. The definitions of "financial institutions" 	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 amends the definition of "scheduled offence" under POCA to include the FATF" designated categories of offences". This list includes statutory offences & common law offences.
	 under POCA and the MLFTA should be harmonized. Section 60 of POCA should be amended to enable government 	With respect to the powers of production & inspection, this is now dealt with under the POCA and its amendments as stated in the

departments/entities, on appropriate grounds, to lodge objections to the disclosure of information. The scheme under section 57 in respect of the Commissioner of Inland Revenue may provide a useful precedent. • Section 6A (4) of the MLFTA should be amended to enable Government Departments to object to the release of information to the FIU Director on appropriate grounds. Section 57 of POCA as a guide requires the FIU Director to access data from Government departments only on the authority of a court order, as under sections 55 and 60 of POCA in relation to the DPP. • The standard of proof under sections 9 and 17 of POCA (abscondence) should be adjusted to explicitly require the civil	 Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA. As mentioned above, the scheduled offences under the POCA have been widened. The definition of "financial institutions" in the POCA and MLFTA has been harmonized. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 reflects that the current sections 53 & 54 of POCA have been deleted and section 54 of the latter Act now states that with respect to section 48 to 52, the definition of financial institution under POCA now has the meaning given to it as under the MLFTA. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 has amended section 60 of POCA to insert section 60 (2) to read, "Section 57 shall, with such modifications and adaptations as the circumstances may require, apply in respect of an order made under subsection
The civil forfeiture scheme under section 47 of the DAPCA should be amplified to address such matters as the procedures to be followed and standard of proof.	 Section 49 of the MLFTA 2010 makes provision for the objection to disclosure of information to the FIU on specific grounds. With respect the standard of proof, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 amends Section 17 of the POCA, to read,"(3) any question of fact to be decided by the Court in proceedings pursuant to section 9 and this section shall be decided on a balance of probabilities." Section 47 DAPCA has been deleted. This is stated in the Fourth Schedule of MLFTA.

				As already stated, the standard of proof is dealt with in the new section 17(3) of POCA. • The procedures to be followed are those in POCA- sections 10-17. The new POCA schedule refers to drug and drug-trafficking offences. This is laid out in the Fourth Schedule.
Preventive measures				
4. Secrecy laws consistent with the Recommendations	PC	The CBB cannot share information with other domestic financial sector supervisory agencies.	The MLFTA should be amended to specify the reason for inspections by the AMLA i.e. review of compliance with MLFTA and AML/CFT guidelines generally	Section 31 of the MLFTA, 2010 specifies that inspections to be conducted are to determine whether a financial institution is in compliance with the Act.
			The FIA should be amended to allow the CBB to share information with domestic regulators	Section 44 (2) of the Financial Institutions Act CAP344A has been amended to allow the Central Bank, without the permission of a licensee, to share information with any other
		• Under the CSA, the Registrar of Cooperatives can only share information pursuant to a court order;	The CSA should be amended to permit the Registrar of Cooperative Societies to share information with domestic and	supervisory or regulatory authority of financial institutions in Barbados.
			foreign regulators without having to obtain a Court Order	• The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2010 amends section 71 of the CSA, by inserting a new subsection (3A)
			An explicit legal provision allowing the Supervisor of Insurance to share information with other regulators should be enacted.	permitting the Registrar to share information with the AMLA and other domestic and foreign supervisory or regulatory authorities without a court order.
				• The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2010 amends section 54 of the IA by inserting a new provision (2A),

	Development does not have the authority to compel information from licensees or to disclose information to domestic or foreign counterparts.	The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development should be authorized to access information from its licensees and be able to share information appropriately with other competent authorities.	permitting the Supervisor to share information with the AMLA and other domestic and foreign supervisory or regulatory authorities. Section 35 of the EIA is similarly amended. • The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2010 amends section 24 of IBC Act by inserting Section 24A, requiring a licensee to deliver any books, records, documents that are required to be kept, to the Minister at such time as required; and provide the Minister with such information as the Minister may require for the proper administration and enforcement of the Act. • Sections 25(3) of the IBC Act; section 49(3) of the SRL Act; and section 28 of the ITA were also amended to permit the disclosure of information. • In addition, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2010 amends section 8 of the SA by adding subsection (2A) to permit the Commission to share information with the AMLA and other domestic and foreign supervisory or regulatory authorities. Section 50(3) of the Mutual Funds Act Cap.320B is also similarly amended.
5.Customer due diligence		Financial institutions should be legislatively required to; o undertake CDD measures for occasional transactions that are wire	 The MLFTA 2010 defines "business transaction to include a business arrangement and an occasional transaction. An occasional transaction is defined as a financial or other

wire transfers in the circumstances covered by the Interpretative Note to SR VII, or where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, or where the financial institution has doubts about the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained CDD;

- verify that any person purporting to act on behalf of the customer is so authorized and identify and verify the identity of that person;
- determine who are the natural persons that ultimately own or control the customer;
- conduct on-going due diligence on business relationships;
- verify individual customer identity using reliable, independent source documents, data or information (identification data);
- No express prohibition against reduced CDD measures where there is a risk of ML and FT

transfers in the circumstances covered by the Interpretative Note to SR VII, or where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, or where the financial institution has doubts about the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained CDD;

- verify that any person purporting to act on behalf of the customer is so authorized and identify and verify the identity of that person;
- determine who are the natural persons that ultimately own or control the customer;
- conduct on-going due diligence on business relationships;
- verify individual customer identity using reliable, independent source documents, data or information (identification data);
- Simplified CDD measures should not be acceptable whenever there is a suspicion

relevant transaction other than one conducted or to be conducted in the course of an existing business arrangement and includes a wire transfer.

Section 15 (1)(b) of the MLFTA, 2010 requires a financial institution to verify the identity of a customer by means of reliable documents data or information from an independent source where

- (i) the customer requests the institution to enter into a business arrangement or conduct an occasional transaction with the customer;
- (ii) doubt exists about the veracity or adequacy of customer identification data previously obtained in respect of the customer; or
- (iii) there is a suspicion of money laundering or financing of terrorism in connection with the customer.
- Section 15 states at subsection:
- (2) A financial institution shall take reasonable measures to establish whether a customer is acting on behalf of another person.
- (3) Where it appears to a financial institution that a customer is acting on behalf of another person, the institution shall take reasonable measures to
 - (a) establish the true identity not only of the customer but also of the person on whose behalf or for whose ultimate benefit the customer may be acting;

Т		CMI	
	 The following requirements are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance; Scrutiny of transactions and updating of data or documents collected under the CDD process Measures for high and low risk categories of customers Timing of verification and failure to complete CDD and application of CDD requirements to existing customers. 	 The enforceability of the following requirements should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of insurance to all other financial institution; Scrutiny of transactions and updating of data or documents collected under the CDD process Measures for high and low risk categories of customers Timing of verification and failure to complete CDD and application of CDD requirements to existing customers. 	 (b) verify the identity of both the customer and the person on whose behalf or for whose ultimate benefit the customer may be acting by means of reliable documents, data or information from an independent source; and (c) establish whether the customer is authorised to act on behalf of the person in the capacity and in the proposed business arrangement or occasional transaction, in which he acts or seeks to act. Section 16 of the MLFTA, 2010 states: "A financial institution shall exercise ongoing due diligence with respect to every business arrangement and closely examine the transactions conducted in the course of such an arrangement to determine whether the transactions are consistent with its knowledge of the relevant customer, his commercial activities, if any and risk profile and, where required, the source of his funds." Section 17(2) of the MLFTA, 2010 states: "Where a suspicion of money laundering or financing of terrorism exists in connection with a customer, a financial institution shall not reduce or simplify its procedures for identification and verification of the identity of the customer nor its

	procedures for ongoing due diligence in respect of the customer pursuant to sections 15 and 16, respectively."
	• Section 17 (1) of the MLFTA, 2010 states: "The Authority may, subject to subsection (2), issue in accordance with section 26, guidelines as to the circumstances in which procedures for identification and verification of the identity of customers or for ongoing due diligence pursuant to sections 15 and 16, respectively (a) may be reduced or simplified by a financial institution; and (b) shall be enhanced.
	• Section 5 of the SA is amended to grant the Commission powers to conduct inspections and examinations of registrants under that Act to include self-regulatory organisations, securities companies, brokers, dealers, traders, underwriters, issuers and investment advisers as may be necessary for giving effect to that Act.
	Section 54 of the SA has been amended to provide the Commission with powers of enforcement regarding market actors for reasons including failure to comply with a condition of registration, or engaging in an unsound financial practice.

Section 133 of the SA has been
amended to allow the Commission to,
by instrument in writing, appoint a
person to conduct such investigations
as may be necessary for the proper
administration of this Act and in
particular to determine the validity of
any allegation that
(a) a person has contravened,
is contravening or is about to
contravene this Act; or
(b) any of the circumstances
set out in section 54 exist in
respect of a registrant."
Section 135 of the SA is amended to
provide that "where an examination
reveals that any of the circumstances
set out in section 54 exists in respect of
a registrant, the Commission may,
where it considers it appropriate to do
so, order the registrant, within such
period as the Commission may specify.
to take such remedial measures or
action as the Commission directs."
Section 27 of the MI FTA 2010 according
• Section 37 of the MLFTA, 2010 states at subsections:
(2)"Without prejudice to the powers and
functions of a regulatory authority under any
other law, for the purpose of discharging its
responsibility under subsection (1) in respect of
persons that the regulatory authority regulates,
sections 29, 31 and 33 to 36 apply to the
regulatory authority, with such modifications
and adaptations as may be necessary, as
those sections apply to the Authority.

				 (3) Where a person is regulated by more than one regulatory authority, the regulatory authorities shall consult and identify from among them, the regulatory authority to assume the primary responsibility under subsection (1). (4) For the avoidance of doubt, notwithstanding (a) any other enactment and in particular, any primary enabling enactment; and (b) any power or function of a regulatory authority under any primary enabling enactment, where it is suspected that a financial institution is contravening or has contravened this Act, any action to be taken by a regulatory authority in respect of the financial institution shall be so taken under this Act. Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.
6.Politically exposed persons	PC	Requirements for politically exposed persons are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	The authorities should make the requirements for politically exposed persons as stated in the CBB and Supervisor of Insurance's AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all financial institutions.	Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.
7.Correspondent banking	LC	No specific requirement for financial institutions to ascertain whether a respondent institution has been subject to a money laundering or terrorist financing investigation or regulatory	• Financial institutions in gathering information about the quality of a respondent's supervision should ascertain whether it has been subject to a money laundering or terrorist financing	Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.

		action.	investigation or regulatory action.	
8.New technologies & non face-to-face business	PC	The requirements for non-face to face customers are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	The authorities should make the requirements for non-face to face customers in the AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all financial institutions.	See actions undertaken regarding enforcement as listed at Recommendation 5. Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.
9.Third parties and introducers	PC	 Requirements for third parties and introduced business are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. No requirement for financial institutions to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendations 23, 24 and 29. No indication of authorities determining in which countries third parties that satisfy the conditions of being regulated and supervised and comply with CDD requirements can be based. 	the requirements for third party and introduced business as stipulated in the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all other financial institutions. • Financial institutions should be required to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendation 23, 24 and 29.	See actions undertaken regarding enforcement as listed at Recommendation 5. Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized. :
10.Record keeping	NC	 Only records of business transactions exceeding \$10 000 are legislatively required to be retained for five years after termination of a transaction; No requirement in law or regulations for account files and business 	The MLFTA should be amended to require the retention of all necessary records on all transactions for a period of five years after termination of the transaction; Financial institutions should be legislatively required to maintain records	Section 18 of the MLFTA, 2010 requires financial institutions to establish and maintain business transaction records of all business transactions for at least 5 years from termination of the business arrangement or the transaction, where the transaction is an occasional transaction; or such longer period as the Authority may, in any specific case,

	correspondence to be retained for a least five years after the termination of the business relationship; • No direct legal requirement for financial institutions to ensure that records available on a timely basis to domestic competent authorities.	correspondence for at least five years following the termination of an account or business relationship or longer if requested by a competent authority. • Financial institutions should be legislatively required to ensure that all customer and transaction records and information are available on a timely basis.	Section 2 of the MLFTA, 2010 defines "business transaction record" to include inter alia account files and business correspondence files in respect of the transaction. • Section 30 of the MLFTA, 2010 states that the FIU Director may: (b) instruct financial institutions to take such steps within such time as may be appropriate to facilitate any investigation by the Director; (c) require from a financial institution the production of any information, except information subject to legal professional privilege, that the Director considers relevant to fulfil its functions; Further, subsection 4 states "For the avoidance of doubt, a financial institution shall, within such time as may be specified by the Director, or in the absence of a specified time, within a reasonable time, comply with any instruction issued or request made to the institution by the Director under this section.
11.Unusual transactions	Monitoring requirements specified in the AML/CFT guidelines are only enforceable on the licensees of the Central Bank and the Supervisor of Insurance The retention of the written findings of internal examinations of transaction.	Guidelines should be enforceable on all financial institutions; f	See actions undertaken regarding enforcement as listed at Recommendation 5. Further, section 18(4) of the MLFTA, 2010 states "Where a financial institution does not maintain business transaction records as required under subsections (1), (2) or (3) as the case may be, the directors of the

	is limited to transactions exceeding BD\$10,000.	The AML/CFT guidelines should specifically require the retention of the findings of the internal examinations of all transactions for at least five years	institution are guilty of an offence and are liable on conviction on indictment to a fine of \$100 000. Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.
12.DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11	• The requirements of Rec. 5, 6, 8 to 11, and 17 are not adequately enforced on DNFBPs not licensed by the CBB.	• It is recommended that the authorities enact measures to apply the requirements of Recommendations 5, 6, 8 to 11, and 17 to DNFBPs not licensed by the CBB.	Section 4 of the MLFTA, 2010 explicitly states that the Act applies to non-financial business entities and professions as set out in the Third Schedule. Part IV establishes duties of financial institutions and non-financial business entities and professionals as it relates to the specified Recommendations. Additionally, the International Corporate and Trust Service Providers Act, 2010 (ICTSP Act) establishes a regime for registration and licensing of international service providers. Among the objectives stated at Section 4(c) are to provide for the establishment of procedures and policies to be followed by international service providers to (i) know and be able to identify their clients; and (ii) exercise due diligence in the provision of international services; their clients; Section 21 of the ICTSP Act states "An international service provider shall comply with the Code of Practice set out in the Second Schedule. The Code includes mandatory provisions relating inter alia to customer due diligence.

				Part IV of the ICTSP Act, 2010 grants the Director a range of powers of enforcement, including pecuniary penalties, suspension and revocation of a licence. Further, as explained in the comments at Recommendations 5, the Director of International Business is among the regulatory authorities in the Second Schedule of the MLFTA, 2010 and therefore can exercise powers by virtue of 35(1) of the MLFTA, 2010.
13.Suspicious transaction reporting	LC	No requirement in law or regulations to report attempted or aborted suspicious transactions.	The MLFTA should be amended to require the reporting of attempted or aborted transactions suspected of being involved in ML or FT.	Recommendations 5, the Director of International Business is among the regulatory authorities in the Second Schedule of the MLFTA, 2010 and therefore can exercise powers by virtue of 35(1) of the MLFTA, 2010. There is also a reference in the Code of Practice for International Service Providers in the Second Schedule of that Act. The Code refers to the fact that the international service provider shall know and be able to identify & verify its clients. The MLFTA, 2010 defines "transaction" to include an attempted or aborted transaction. A "business arrangement" (a) means an arrangement, between 2 or more parties, the purpose of which is to facilitate a
		Human trafficking, corruption and bribery are not adequately addressed in legislation as predicate offences.		financial or other relevant transaction between the parties; and (b) includes (i) any related transaction between any of the parties and another person; (ii) the making of a gift; and (iii) the opening of an account; "business transaction" includes a business arrangement and an occasional transaction;

				Section 23 (1)(a) states "A financial institution shall monitor and report to the Director (a) any business transaction where the identity of the person involved, the transaction or any other circumstance concerning the transaction gives the institution or any officer or employee of the institution reasonable grounds to suspect that the transaction (i) involves proceeds of crime; (ii) involves the financing of terrorism; or (iii) is of a suspicious or an unusual nature;" See response at Recommendation 1 dealing with human trafficking, corruption and bribery.
14.Protection & no tipping-off	PC	MLFTA establishes inconsistent mandatory and voluntary reporting provisions; immunity provision under MLFTA is not referable to mandatory reporting provisions.	• Section 22A(5) of the MLFTA should be amended to remove the reference to voluntary reporting by financial institutions, and section 22A(6) should be revised to make it clear that immunity against liability applies to the financial institutions reporting under sections 8(1)(b) and (h) of the Act.	Section 48(5) of the MLFTA, 2010 has been amended to establish mandatory reporting requirements on financial institutions to give information to the FIU; and provide for immunity for financial institutions that share said information with the FIU and report suspicious and unusual activity to the FIU, as per Section 23(2).
15.Internal controls, compliance & audit	PC	 The legislative provisions for internal controls, compliance and audit do not include the imposition of penalties and sanctions for failure to comply with the provisions. No enforceable requirement for the designation of an AML/CFT 	 All legislative requirements for internal controls, compliance and audit should include the imposition of penalties and sanctions for failure to comply. All financial institutions should be required to designate an AML/CFT 	• With respect to internal policies, audit and compliance requirements, Section 19 (2) states that "Where a financial institution contravenes subsection (1), the Authority may impose on the institution a pecuniary penalty in accordance with section 36", which deals with pecuniary penalties.

		compliance office at management level or development of policies and procedures for record retention. • Requirements for an independent audit function, training in new techniques and trends in ML and FT, and screening procedures for new employees are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	compliance officer at management level and develop policies and procedures for record retention. • Requirements for an independent audit function, training in new techniques and trends in ML and FT, and screening procedures for new employees should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions.	 Section 19(1) places a requirement on a financial institution to develop and implement internal policies, procedures and controls to combat money laundering and financing of terrorism. Policies and procedures relate to all aspects of the AML/CFT program, including record retention. Powers of onsite inspection to determine compliance with the MLFTA are found at section 31. See actions undertaken regarding enforcement as listed at Recommendation 5. Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.
16.DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21	NC	The requirements of Recommendations 13 to 15, 17 and 21 are not adequately applied to DNFBPS not licensed by the CBB.	The authorities should take measures to ensure that the requirements of Recommendations 13 to 15, 17 and 21 are applied to DNFBPS not licensed by the CBB.	• Section 4 of the MLFTA, 2010 explicitly states that the Act applies to non-financial business entities and professions as set out in the Third Schedule. Part IV establishes duties of financial institutions and non-financial business entities and professionals as it relates to Recommendations 13, 14, 15 and 17.
				Also, see response to Recommendation 12, regarding international corporate and trust service providers.
17.Sanctions	LC	• The Securities Commission and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies have no administrative power to institute sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.	• The Securities Commission and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies should be given the administrative power to institute sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.	 Section 37 of the MLFTA, 2010 states at subsections: (2)"Without prejudice to the powers and functions of a regulatory authority under any

The Securities Commission and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies have no general powers of sanctions to effectively address breaches by licensees.	All regulators except for the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance should have general powers of sanctions to effectively address breaches by licensees	other law, for the purpose of discharging its responsibility under subsection (1) in respect of persons that the regulatory authority regulates, sections 29, 31 and 33 to 36 apply to the regulatory authority, with such modifications and adaptations as may be necessary, as those sections apply to the Authority.
		(3) Where a person is regulated by more than one regulatory authority, the regulatory authorities shall consult and identify from among them, the regulatory authority to assume the primary responsibility under subsection (1).
		(4) For the avoidance of doubt, notwithstanding (a) any other enactment and in particular, any primary enabling enactment; and (b) any power or function of a regulatory authority under any primary enabling enactment, where it is suspected that a financial institution is contravening or has contravened this Act, any action to be taken by a regulatory authority in respect of the financial institution shall be so taken under this Act.
		• Section 5 of the SA is amended to grant the Commission powers to conduct inspections and examinations of registrants under that Act to include self-regulatory organisations, securities companies, brokers, dealers, traders, underwriters, issuers and investment advisers as may be necessary for giving effect to that Act.

	Section 53(4) states "In addition to the
	reasons set out in section 54, the
	Commission may suspend or revoke
	the registration of a securities company
	where the Commission is satisfied that
	(a) the company has defaulted
	in any obligation undertaken
	in its capacity as a securities
	company; or
	(b) a receiving order has been
	made against the company."
	Section 54 of the SA has been
	amended to provide the Commission
	with powers of enforcement regarding
	market actors for reasons including
	failure to comply with a condition of
	registration, or engaging in an unsound
	financial practice.
	Section 133 of the SA has been
	amended to allow the Commission to,
	by instrument in writing, appoint a
	person to conduct such investigations
	as may be necessary for the proper
	administration of this Act and in
	particular to determine the validity of
	any allegation that
	(a) a person has contravened,
	is contravening or is about to
	contravene this Act; or
	(b) any of the circumstances
	set out in section 54 exist in
	respect of a registrant."
	Section 135 of the SA is amended to
	provide that "where an examination
	provide that where an examination

	reveals that any of the circumstances set out in section 54 exists in respect of a registrant, the Commission may, where it considers it appropriate to do so, order the registrant, within such period as the Commission may specify, to take such remedial measures or action as the Commission directs."
	Section 138 (2A) reads "Notwithstanding subsection (1) (a) a person who contravenes this Act or any rule solely by reason of his failure to file a document or instrument with the Commission within the time prescribed shall be liable to a penalty of \$1 000 for every month or part thereof that the document or instrument remains outstanding after the expiration of the time prescribed; and (b) the Commission may, without conducting a hearing, make an order imposing a penalty pursuant to paragraph (a) for the period beginning on the day following the expiration of the prescribed period and ending on the day that the document or instrument is filed."
	• Section 192B of the CSA was amended to make provision for making directives and issuing cease and desist orders, where (a) after an examination of an credit union or the receipt of any other information, the Registrar is of the opinion that the funds of the credit

			union are not being properly managed or protected and (b) the Registrar has reason to believe that a credit union is likely to take any action that would affect the financial soundness of the credit union.
			Section 266 of the CSA makes provision for suspension and cancellation of registration. Subsection (e) includes failure to comply with any direction given by the Registrar under section 192B, as a reason for suspension of registration.
			The Act also makes provision for the appointment of a receiver-manager (section 136) or an Advisor (section 192B).
			• Part IV of the ICTSP Act, 2010 grants the Director a range of powers of sanction, including pecuniary penalties, suspension and revocation of a licence.
NC	• Financial institutions are not required to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.	• The AML/CFT guidelines should provide specific guidance with regard to requiring special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations;	
	No effective measures in place to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other	Written findings of all transactions with no apparent economic or lawful purpose from countries that do not or	Section 18 of the MLFTA 2010 on the duty to keep records was amended. See details at comments to

branches and subsidiaries observe AML/CFT measures consistent with the requirements of Barbados is only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. No requirement for financial institutions to pay particular attention subsidiaries observe AML/CFT measures consistent with the requirements of Barbados and the FATF should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions. Recommendations 5 and 17 on enhanced enforcement powers of regulators. Recommendations 5 and 17 on enhanced enforcement powers of financial institutions.		 Written findings of internal examinations of transactions is limited to transactions exceeding BD\$10,000. No countermeasures issued for transactions and business relationships with countries that do not apply or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations. 	 insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations should be available for competent authorities; Authorities should put in place measures to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries; Authorities should consider issuing instructions regarding countermeasures for transactions and business relationships with countries that do not apply or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations. 	Recommendation 10.
• No requirement for financial institutions to pay particular attention • Financial institutions should be required to pay particular attention that their	PC	institutions to ensure that their foreign branches and subsidiaries observe AML/CFT measures consistent with the requirements of Barbados is only enforceable on the licensees of the	to ensure that their foreign branches and subsidiaries observe AML/CFT measures consistent with the requirements of Barbados and the FATF should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all	enhanced enforcement powers of
that their foreign branches and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF Recommendations The provided HTML requirements and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF Recommendations. Branches and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF Recommendations.		institutions to pay particular attention that their foreign branches and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF	to pay particular attention that their foreign branches and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF Recommendations.	Revisions to the regulatory Guidelines are in the process of being finalized.

		 No requirement for branches and subsidiaries in host countries to apply the higher standard where the minimum AML/CFT requirements of the home and host countries differ to the extent that host country laws and regulations permit. The requirement for financial institutions to inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because this is prohibited by local laws, regulations or other measures is only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. 	countries should apply the higher standard where the minimum AML/CFT requirements of the home and host countries differ to the extent that host country laws and regulations permit. • The requirement for financial institutions to inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because this is prohibited by local laws, regulations or other measures should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions.	
23.Regulation, supervision and monitoring	PC	 The Securities Commission has no power of approval over ownership of significant or controlling interests of its registrants. The Registrar of Co-operatives has no power of approval over senior management of its licensees. The Securities Commission is not required to use fit and proper criteria in approving directors, senior management and ownership of significant or controlling interests of their licensees. Stand alone MVT service providers are not subject to effective systems for monitoring and ensuring compliance 	 The Securities Commission should have power to approve ownership of significant or controlling interests of their registrants. The Registrar of Co-operatives should have power to approve senior management of their licensees. The Securities Commission should be required to use fit and proper criteria in approving directors, senior management and ownership of significant or controlling interests of their licensees. There is need for the development and implementation of a framework for regulating and supervising MVT services that are not licensees of the Central Bank. 	• The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 amends section 126 (1) by making provision for "prescribing the format and content of filings and applications and the filing of copies of documents filed with any government agency, including with respect to electronic filing of matters;" Section 54 makes the following grounds for suspension and revocation of registration – (k) the market actor is otherwise financially unsound; (l) the market actor has been convicted of an offence involving fraud or dishonesty; (m) the market actor has been guilty of any other type of misconduct;

'Al and 'and AMI /OFT and '	T4 ' 1
with national AML/CFT requirements.	It is also now an offence to knowingly
·	or recklessly make:
	"(i) a misrepresentation in any filing, application, notification, or other
	document required to be filed,
	delivered or notified to the
	Commission under this Act;
	or
	(ii) any other misrepresentation in
	contravention of this Act or any
	regulation;"
	Revision to 142(1) of the SA.
	• The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA
	2010 amends section 7 of the
	Cooperatives Act to make it a
	condition of a license that the directors
	and other officers of the society are fit
	and proper to hold their respective
	office.
	• Further, the Fourth schedule of the
	MLFTA 2010 amends section 4 of the
	EIA and section 12(1) of the IA to
	insert a similar provision relating to
	fitness and propriety.
	• Further, section 7. (1) of the ICTSP
	Act, 2010 states: "A person may, in the
	prescribed form, apply to the Director
	for a licence to provide
	(a) an international corporate service;
	or
	(b) an international trust service.
	(2) An applicant shall submit with the
	application such information and documents as
	the Director may require to determine

				whether a licence should be issued to the applicant. (3) Where the Director is satisfied that an applicant (a) is a fit and proper person to provide an international service; (b) has the financial standing necessary to operate the business;" A general framework for regulation of MVTs is being considered in the new Financial Services Commission Bill. The Commission is to be established by July 2010.
24. DNFBP - regulation, supervision and monitoring	NC	No measures to monitor and ensure compliance of DNFBPs with AML/CFT requirements except those licensed by the CBB.	It is recommended that the authorities take measures to ensure that the requirements of Recommendations 24 and 25 should apply to DNFBPs not licensed by the CBB.	Section 4 of the MLFTA, 2010 explicitly states that the Act applies to non-financial business entities and professions as set out in the Third Schedule. Part IV establishes duties of financial institutions and non-financial business entities and professionals as it relates to Recommendations 24 and 25. Additionally, the ICTSP Act, 2010 establishes a regime for registration and licensing of international service providers. Among the objectives stated at Section 4(c) are to provide for the establishment of procedures and policies to be followed by international service providers to enable international service providers to enable international service providers to weify their clients; and (iv) exercise due diligence in the provision of international services; their clients; Section 21 of the ICTSP Act states "An

				international service provider shall comply with the Code of Practice set out in the <i>Second Schedule</i> . The Code includes mandatory provisions relating inter alia to customer due diligence. Part IV of the ICTSP Act, 2010 grants the Director a range of powers of sanction, including pecuniary penalties, suspension and revocation of a licence.
25. Guidelines & Feedback	PC	 The FIU does not provide feedback on STRs to financial institutions. No specific guidelines have been issued for DNFBPS to implement and comply with AML/CFT requirements except those regulated by the CBB. 	The FIU should provide feedback to financial institutions with regard to suspicious transaction reports.	The FIU currently provides specific feedback to financial institutions with respect to ongoing STRs. This is done in a manner that will not compromise the investigation process. As matters are completed or closed, the financial institutions will be given a short summary of basic findings.
Institutional and other measures				
26.The FIU	LC	• No annual report released by the FIU since the 2000/2001 report. There was no information on typologies and trends with regard to SUTRs in the report.	■ The FIU should publicly release all outstanding annual reports and include in such reports, statistics, typologies and trends as well as information regarding its activities.	The outstanding annual reports have been completed and sent to Parliament.
			• The FIU should seek to enhance the level of AML/CTF awareness within the local financial services sector with a view to providing more detailed guidance to reporting institutions as to their reporting obligations under Section 8 (b) of the MLFTA. This would seek to address concerns of possible under-reporting by	The FIU provides more detailed guidance to financial institutions via discussions and meetings with compliance officers as well as detailed training for the staff. This training includes the analysis of key factors that will trigger the reporting obligation.

			financial institutions.	
			 The FIU should seek to follow through on its plans to further upgrade its IT capabilities. This would diminish its reliance on manual processes and the older and less reliable electronic storage systems (Microsoft access) currently being utilized to handle and store information. While the FIU was able to produce adequate statistics relating to SUTRs, it should seek to broaden these statistics so as to include prescribed predicate offences linked to SUTRs filed by reporting institutions 	The FIU has upgraded its IT system through the acquisition of additional research tools and a more robust database system. Security has been increased with the installation of additional firewalls.
29.Supervisors	LC	The Securities Commission does not have the authority to conduct inspections of financial institutions, including on-site inspections to ensure compliance.	The Securities Commission should have the authority to conduct inspections of financial institutions, including on-site inspections to ensure compliance.	Section 5 of the SA is amended to grant the Commission powers to conduct inspections and examinations of registrants under that Act to include self-regulatory organisations, securities companies, brokers, dealers, traders, underwriters, issuers and investment advisers as may be necessary for giving effect to that Act. As mentioned previously, amendments at sections 133 and 135 also speak to the Commission's powers in this regard.
30.Resources, integrity and	PC	• The FIU lacks sufficient resources	• Authorities should consider giving the	 The FIU has upgraded its IT system

training		 (human and technological) to allow it to properly carry out all its functions in its mandate. Law enforcement and prosecutorial authorities are inadequately resourced. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies has inadequate number of staff 	FIU greater access or control of its finances so as to enhance its current structure. This would allow the FIU to maintain and where possible increase its current level of staffing and further develop its IT capabilities so as to conduct more detailed analysis and investigation of SUTRs.	through the acquisition of a more robust database system and increased protection through the installation of additional firewalls and research tools. Between 2006 until present, the Royal Barbados Police Force has recruited approximately 200 additional persons. • Staffing is being strengthened with the establishment of the Financial Services Commission, under whose umbrella will sit the regulation and supervision of cooperatives.
32.Statistics	LC	 No statistics on the following; Cross-border declaration reports Spontaneous referrals made by the FIU to foreign authorities Insufficient details on mutual legal assistance requests. 	The competent authorities should seek to broaden the type of statistical data maintained in relation to the cross-border declaration system. Apart from assisting the authorities in measuring the effectiveness of the cross-border declaration system, it will also assist in identifying existing weaknesses thus allowing the competent authorities to enhance the system where necessary so as to facilitate and ensure stricter compliance.	The Customs Department now maintains statistics with respect to cross-border declarations.
			• The authorities should maintain statistics on spontaneous referrals made by the FIU to foreign authorities.	The FIU now maintains statistics on spontaneous referrals of information to foreign authorities.
33.Legal persons – beneficial owners	PC	There is no legislative requirement for legal persons to disclose beneficial ownership information.	• The authorities should consider improving the present system for access to beneficial ownership by establishing a complementing national registry.	The Companies' Amendment Act, 2010 makes provision for the creation of a confidential register of beneficial ownership, which is not open for public inspection. Disclosure is only permitted as necessary for the proper administration and enforcement of the MLFTA,

34.Legal arrangements – beneficial owners	PC	International trusts supervised by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and development, lawyers and accountants are not subject to measures for monitoring and ensuring compliance with AML/CFT requirements i.e. retention of beneficial ownership and control information.	 The authorities should enact legislative requirements for legal persons to disclose beneficial ownership information. It is recommended that the authorities should implement measures for monitoring and ensuring compliance of international trusts supervised by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development, lawyers and accountants with AML/CFT requirements i.e. retention of beneficial ownership and control information. 	See previous comments regarding the International Corporate and Trust Service Providers Act, 2010. Supervision will be strengthened with the establishment of the Financial Services Commission, under whose umbrella will sit the regulation and supervision of registrants with the International Business Unit.
International Co-operation				
35.Conventions	LC	 All designated categories of offences are not adequately addressed in the range of predicate offences Instrumentalities intended for use in the commission of an offence are not subject to restraint/forfeiture measures. The custodial definition of serious crime under the restraint/forfeiture regime is narrower than the Palermo Convention 	• The authorities should fully implement; (i) the Palermo Convention by specifically legislating for human trafficking and updating the corruption/bribery laws; (ii) UN Resolutions S/RES/1373(2001) by explicitly legislating a mechanism to freeze assets of UN designated entities.	As mentioned in the comments to Recommendations 1 and 3, the Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Bill and the Prevention of Corruption Bill have been discussed and approved by Cabinet.
36.Mutual legal assistance (MLA)	PC	 Range of mutual legal assistance does not include the instrumentalities of ML and FT. Compulsory evidence gathering and forfeiture powers under POCA are not available to the Central Authority. The custodial element of serious crime under the restraint/forfeiture regime is 	 The definition of "serious offences" under MACMA should be revised to make it applicable to offences attracting at least a 4-year custodial penalty, in accordance with the Palermo Convention. The MACMA provisions dealing with restraint, forfeiture and confiscation should be amended to ensure 	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA amends section 2 of the MACMA to reduce the definition of "serious offences" to 4 years. The new Section 2(6) also refers to instrumentalities. MACMA has also been amended to include section 16A and section 27A relating to assistance in obtaining a forfeiture or

	narrower than the Palermo Convention. • No mechanism to deal with dual	instrumentalities of, or intended for use in, the relevant offences are covered.	confiscation order.
	 Not possible to assess effectiveness of mutual legal assistance measures due to limited number of MLAT's. 	 The range of evidence-gathering powers available for the satisfaction of mutual assistance requests should be reviewed. In particular, monitoring order and other powers under POCA should be examined with a view to extending their application to serious offences committed locally or abroad. 	The new Section 31A of the MACMA states that sections 42-46 (production and inspection orders); section 47(search warrants) and Section 48-9 (monitoring orders) of the POCA apply to MACMA.
		• The authorities should consider devising and applying mechanisms for dealing with dual jurisdictional conflict.	
		• The authorities should consider increasing their capacity for information exchange under section 6C of the MLFTA by seeking to negotiate bilateral agreements or MOU's with foreign states.	The FIU is in the process of negotiating several MOUs and has signed an MOU with the Netherlands Antilles.
		• The authorities should consider negotiating with the UK Government for another MLAT covering areas outside drugs dealing; and generally seek opportunities to progressively conclude MLAT's with a broader range of countries.	
38.MLA on confiscation and freezing	 No provision for foreign states to request local authority to apply for forfeiture/confiscation orders or vice versa except in limited circumstances under section 16 of the ATA. No provision for the freezing, seizure 	MACMA should be amended to enable Barbados or foreign states to seek reciprocal assistance in obtaining forfeiture/confiscation orders in the jurisdiction of the other country, where the suspect has been convicted of a	MACMA has also been amended to include section 16A and section 27A relating to assistance in obtaining a forfeiture or confiscation order. The new Section 31A of the MACMA states that sections 42-46 (production and inspection orders); section 47 (search

40.Other forms of cooperation	LC	 or confiscation of instrumentalities of ML and FT. No arrangements for co-coordinating seizure and confiscation actions with other countries. No evidence of consideration of establishing an asset forfeiture fund The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development cannot share information with foreign counterparts The Registrar of Co-operative Societies can only share information by Court Order. 	serious offence in the requesting state. In particular, where Barbados's assistance is being sought, the forfeiture/confiscation powers under POCA should be made available. The establishment of a dedicated forfeiture fund whose proceeds should be earmarked for law enforcement or other deserving purposes should be considered. Extension of the existing statutory coverage of asset sharing with other countries so that the facility is available in cases of all serious crimes should be considered. The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development should be authorized to share information with foreign counterparts. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies should be empowered to share information with foreign counterparts without a Court Order	warrants) and Section 48-9 (monitoring orders) of the POCA apply to MACMA. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 amends section 25(3) of the IBC Act to permit the disclosure of information under the MLFTA 2010. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2010 amends section 71 of the CSA by making provision for the sharing of information with foreign counterparts. See comments at Recommendation 5.
Nine Special Recommendations		Summary of factors underlying rating		
SR.I Implement UN instruments	PC	No requirement to freeze terrorist funds or other assets of persons designated by the UN Al-Qaida and	• The authorities should fully implement; (i) the Palermo Convention by specifically legislating for human	As mentioned at Recommendations 1 and 3, the Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Bill and the Prevention of Corruption

	Taliban Sanctions Committee. Overlap between ATA and POCA respecting freezing/forfeiture and ambiguous aspects to ATA forfeiture power, both detracting from effectiveness	trafficking and updating the corruption/bribery laws; (ii) UN Resolutions S/RES/1373(2001) by explicitly legislating a mechanism to freeze assets of UN designated entities.	Bill have been discussed and approved by Cabinet. The ATA Cap. 158 is absolute in that it does not provide any restriction whatsoever relating to the freezing of assets.
SR.III Freeze and confiscate terrorist assets	No requirement to freeze terrorist funds or other assets of persons designated by the UN Al-Qaida and Taliban Sanctions Committee. Divergent policy re forfeiture/restraint under ATA and POCA.	 The authorities incorporate into legislation requirements, a special mechanism to enable the freezing/restraint of assets owned or controlled by persons/entities designated by the UN Sanctions Committee, in keeping with UN Security Council Resolution 1267 of 1999. The requirements should also confer power to authorise the release of funds required to be frozen, for the purpose of meeting basic expenses associated with, e.g., the accused's reasonable subsistence or defence of criminal proceedings. The 2002 Commonwealth Model Legislative Provisions on the "specified (listed) entity" regime provide a useful guide. The authorities should critically review the freezing/restraint and forfeiture regimes under the ATA and POCA, with a view to amending the legislation to provide for a uniform approach to these measures. The authorities should review the grounds needed to support an application for a freezing order under section 8(1) of the ATA, so as to ensure consistency between local cases and those arising from mutual 	The POCA schedule has been amended to include sections 3 to 6 of the ATA. The MLFTA 2010 refers to both ML & TF.

			legal assistance requests.	
			• Expand the scope of the MLFTA to incorporate FT in sections 9-11.	The equivalent sections 9-11 in the previous Act are section 20-21 and 39 of the MLFTA 2010.
SR.IV Suspicious transaction reporting	LC	No requirement in law or regulations to report attempted or aborted suspicious transactions.	The MLFTA should be amended to require the reporting of attempted or aborted transactions suspected of being involved in ML or FT.	Refer to previous comments relating to Recommendation 13.
SR.V International co- operation	PC	• Factors in Recs. 36 and 38 are also applicable.	The definition of "serious offences" under MACMA should be revised to make it applicable to offences attracting at least a 4-year custodial penalty, in accordance with the Palermo Convention.	This has been dealt with in Recommendation 36 and 38.
			The MACMA provisions dealing with restraint, forfeiture and confiscation should be amended to ensure instrumentalities of, or intended for use in, the relevant offences are covered.	
			The range of evidence-gathering powers available for the satisfaction of mutual assistance requests should be reviewed. In particular, monitoring order and other powers under POCA should be examined with a view to extending their application to serious offences committed locally or abroad.	
			The authorities should consider devising and applying mechanisms for dealing with dual jurisdictional conflict.	
			• The authorities should consider increasing	

their capacity for information exchange under section 6C of the MLFTA by seeking to negotiate bilateral agreements or MOU's with foreign states. • The authorities should consider negotiating with the UK Government for another MLAT covering areas outside drugs dealing; and generally seek opportunities to progressively conclude MLAT's with a broader range of countries.
• MACMA should be amended to enable Barbados or foreign states to seek reciprocal assistance in obtaining forfeiture/confiscation orders in the jurisdiction of the other country, where the suspect has been convicted of a serious offence in the requesting state. In particular, where Barbados's assistance is being sought, the forfeiture/confiscation powers under POCA should be made available.
 The establishment of a dedicated forfeiture fund whose proceeds should be earmarked for law enforcement or other deserving purposes should be considered. Extension of the existing statutory coverage of asset sharing with other countries so that the facility is available in cases of all serious crimes should be considered.

SR VI AML requirements for money/value transfer services	NC	 Stand alone MVT providers are not regulated or supervised for compliance with AML/CFT requirements No requirement for MVT service operators to maintain a current list of agents. 	•	It is recommended that the authorities proceed in developing a framework for the regulation and supervision of MVT services not provided by licensees of the CBB.	A general framework for regulation of standalone MVTs is being considered in the new Financial Services Commission Bill. The Commission is to be established by July 2010.
SR VII Wire transfer rules	PC	Stand-alone money-remitters are not subject to any regulatory oversight except for exchange control purposes.	•	Stand-alone money remitters should be monitored for compliance with the requirements of SR VII.	A general framework for regulation of standalone MVTs is being considered in the new Financial Services Commission Bill. The Commission is to be established by July 2010.
SR.VIII Non-profit organisations	LC	Sanctions for breaches of Charities Act are not dissuasive.		The authorities should carefully consider the FATF's 2002 Best Practices Paper on Special Recommendation VIII, which advocates a number of measures for tightening the regime for NPO's, including the incorporation of mechanisms to verify the actual allocation of charitable funds to the intended beneficiaries. Revise the sanctions for breaches of the Act to make them appropriately dissuasive in effect.	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA amends the Section 41(1) Charities Act as follows: • "(6A) Where there is a change in the composition of trustees of a charity registered under this section, the trustees shall, within 15 days of the date of the change, file with the Registrar a notice containing particulars of the change. (6B) Where charity trustees fail to comply with subsection (6A), the Registrar may impose on the charity trustees a penalty of \$100. (6C) Where a penalty imposed pursuant to subsection (6B) is not paid, the Registrar may recover the amount as a debt due to the Crown in civil proceedings before the Magistrate's Court for District "A". • Delete section 6 and substitute the following: 6. (1) A charity trustee of a charity who without reasonable excuse fails to register the charity in accordance with section 5 is guilty of an offence

	and is liable on summary conviction to a fine of \$ 5 000 or to imprisonment for 6 months or to both and to an additional fine of \$500 for everyday or part thereof that the offence continues after a conviction is first obtained.
	(2) Without prejudice to subsection (1), where a charity trustee of a charity fails to register the charity in accordance with section 5, the charity trustee shall not be entitled to claim any tax exemptions under any enactment in respect of the charity for the income year during which the charity remained unregistered."
	• In section 8, delete the words "Income Tax Commissioner" and substitute the words "Commissioner of Inland Revenue".
	• In section 19(4), delete the words "\$250" and "\$25" and substitute the words "\$5 000" and "\$500", respectively.
	• In section 38(4), (a) delete the word "\$500" and substitute the word "\$5 000"; (b) delete the word "3" and substitute the word "6"; and (c) delete the word "\$50" and substitute the word "\$500".
	• In section 41(1), delete the word "15" and substitute the word "12".
	 In section 42, delete subsection (6) and substitute the following: "(6) Any person who fails to (a) transmit to the Registrar any statement of

SR.IX Cross Border	LC		• Cuminion of manay laundaring an	 account required by subsection (1); (b) afford an auditor any facility to which he is entitled under subsection (4); or (c) make full disclosure to the Registrar of all material facts required to be disclosed under this Act or knowingly makes a false statement of a material fact or makes a statement containing information that is misleading in light of the circumstances in which it was made, is guilty of an offence and is liable on summary conviction to a fine of \$5000 or to imprisonment for 6 months or to both and to a further fine of \$500 for every day or part thereof that the offence continues after a conviction is first obtained." In section 47(2), insert after the word "received", the words "and penalties imposed and collected". The Customs Department currently maintains
Declaration & Disclosure	LC	 Suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing or making a false declaration do not provide basis for stopping and seizure of currency and negotiable instruments. Effectiveness of system to detect cross-border transfer of currency and negotiable instruments cannot be evaluated due to lack of statistics. 	 Suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing or making a false declaration should provide grounds for stopping and seizure of currency and negotiable instruments. The competent authorities should consider including penalties for the making of false declarations in accordance with the relevant section of the Customs Act on the Passenger Embarkation/Disembarkation card. 	statistics with respect to cross-border declarations.