

Eleventh Follow-Up Report

Barbados

May 29, 2014

© 2014 CFATF. All rights reserved.

No reproduction or translation of this publication may be made without prior written permission. Requests for permission to further disseminate reproduce or translate all or part of this publication should be obtained from the CFATF Secretariat at **CFATF@cfatf.org**

BARBADOS – ELEVENTH FOLLOW-UP REPORT

I. Introduction

- This report is the eleventh follow-up report by Barbados to the Caribbean Financial Action Task Force (CFATF) Plenary on the measures taken to implement the recommended action listed in the third mutual evaluation report (MER) of Barbados which was adopted in May 2008. Barbados has submitted ten previous follow-up reports in May and October 2009, May and November 2010, May and November 2011, May and November 2012, and May and November 2013 respectively. The Plenary in November 2013 decided that all members in the follow-up process will be required to complete their reform measures by the November 2014 Plenary. In accordance with present procedures the following is a report on measures taken by Barbados since November 2013 to deal with the recommended actions in those Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Recommendations rated partially compliant (PC) or non-compliant (NC). Information on measures taken since the Mutual Evaluation are outlined in Annex 1 of this report.
- 2. Barbados received ratings of PC or NC on nine (9) of the sixteen (16) Core and Key Recommendations and largely compliant (LC) or compliant (C) on the remaining seven (7) Core and Key Recommendations as follows:

Table 1: Ratings of Core and Key Recommendations

Rec.	1	3	4	5	10	13	23	26	35	36	40	I	II	III	IV	V
Rating	LC	PC	PC	PC	NC	LC	PC	LC	LC	PC	LC	PC	C	PC	LC	PC

3. With regard to the other non-core or key Recommendations, Barbados was rated partially compliant or non-compliant on eighteen (18), as indicated below.

Table 2: Non Core and Key Recommendations rated Partially Compliant and Non-Compliant

Partially Compliant (PC)	Non-Compliant (NC)
R. 6 (Politically exposed persons)	R. 12 (DNFBP – R.5,6,8-11)
R. 8 (New technologies & non face-to-face	R. 16 (DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21)
business)	
R. 9 (Third parties and introducers)	R. 21 (Special attention for higher risk
	countries)
R. 11 (Unusual transactions)	R. 24 (DNFBP – regulation, supervision and
	monitoring)
R. 14 (Protection & no tipping off)	SR. VI (AML requirements for money value
	transfer services
R. 15 (Internal controls, compliance & audit)	
R. 22 (Foreign branches & subsidiaries)	

R. 25 (Guidelines & Feedback)	
R. 30 (Resources, integrity and training)	
R. 33 (Legal persons – beneficial owners)	
R. 34 (Legal arrangements – beneficial	
owners)	
R. 38 (MLA on confiscation and freezing)	
SR. VII (Wire transfer rules)	

The following table is intended to provide insight into the size and risk of the main financial 4. sectors in Barbados.

Table 3: Size and integration of Barbados' financial sector As at December 31, 2013

		Banks (Commercia l) US\$000's	Other Credit Institutions (Deposit Taking) US\$000's	Securities (Mutual Funds including subfunds) US\$000's	Insurance* US\$000's	TOTAL US\$'000's
Number of institutions	Total #	6	41	26	28	90
Assets	US\$000's	6,184,887	840,959,504	1,630,257,440	1,558,323,863	4,035,725,694
	Total: US\$000's	4,267,024	696,571,829.	N/A	N/A	700,838,853
Deposits	% Non- resident	5.8% of deposits	0.7%	N/A	N/A	
Internation al Links	¹ % Foreign- owned:	100% of assets	89.9 % of assets	% of assets	37 % of assets	% of assets
Links	#Subsidiaries abroad	3	N/A	N/A	2	5

3

^{*} Information as at June 30, 2013
** Foreign owned refers to the complete or majority (>50%) ownership by companies whose headquarters are not in Barbados

Summary of progress made by Barbados

- 5. As noted in previous follow-up reports, the enactment of various pieces of legislation in particular the Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism (Prevention and Control) Act (MLFTA 2011) resulted in substantial improvement in the level of compliance with the examiners' recommendations i.e. Recs. 3, 4, 5, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 23, 33, 36, 38, SR I, SR V which includes eight (8) key and core Recommendations. Additional measures improved the level of compliance of Recs.6, 8, 9, 11, 21, 22 and 40. Some of the measures included the establishment of the Financial Services Commission (FSC) which issued the Anti-Money Laundering/Combating Terrorist Financing (AML/CFT) Guidelines in October 2012. In the report of May 2013, as a result of minimal improvement in the level of compliance of outstanding Recommendations, Barbados was placed in the first stage of enhanced follow-up.
- 6. In the last follow-up report it was noted that Barbados fully complies with five Key and Core Recommendations (Recs. 3, 4, 10, 40 and SR. IV), and there were ten (10) Key and Core Recommendations (Recs. 1, 5, 13, 23, 26, 35, 36, SR. I, SR. III, and SR. V) which still have outstanding recommended actions. The authorities advised that the drafting of legislation for the establishment of a civil forfeiture regime was to begin shortly, the Central Bank of Barbados (CBB) had revised its AML/CFT Guidelines and that amendments to the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines had been prepared. Additionally, revisions to the Companies Act (CA) and the Societies with Restricted Liabilities Act (SRLA) were drafted and the draft International Corporate and Trust Service Providers Regulations (ICTSPR) were revised. Since the last follow-up report, the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines were finalized and gazetted in January 2014 thus making them enforceable.

Core Recommendations

- 7. As noted in the Follow-Up Report of November 2011, the majority of the examiners' recommended actions were implemented via provisions in the MLFTA 2011. Outstanding recommended actions include a legislative requirement for financial institutions to determine the natural persons that ultimately control the customer, and defining the term "beneficial ownership" in the MLTFA with regard to the level of ownership. No new measures have been implemented to deal with this recommended action for this report.
- 8. The other outstanding recommended measure requires the extension of the enforceability of specific CDD requirements from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance (SOI) to all financial institutions. These CDD requirements include the updating of data or documents collected under the CDD process, measures for high and low risk categories of customers, and timing of verification and failure to complete CDD and application of CDD requirements to existing customers. As noted in the Follow-Up Report of May 2013, these requirements are included in the Anti-Money Laundering/Combating Terrorist Financing Guidelines for financial institutions regulated by the FSC (FSC AML/CFT Guidelines). However, entities under the supervision of the International Business Unit of the Ministry of International Business and International Transport do not have similarly enforceable requirements. Consequently, this recommended measure remains partially outstanding.

9. Amendments to the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines have been finalized and gazetted in January 2014, thereby becoming enforceable. Section 6.8 of the revised FSC AML/CFT Guidelines states that notwithstanding the circumstances which may warrant reduced due diligence, financial institutions must not apply reduced due diligence where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing. This measure clarifies the provision of section 17(2) of the MLFTA which complies wih the examiners' recommendation and requires financial institutions not to reduce or simply their procedures for identification and verification of the identity of the customer nor their procedures for ongoing due diligence where there is a suspicion of money laundering or financing of terrorism. The level of compliance of Recommendation 5 remains unchanged since the requirement of section 6.8 of the revised FSC AML/CFT Guidelines has already been addressed by section 17(2) of the MLFTA and outstanding recommendations noted above from previous reports remain.

Key Recommendations

- 10. Recommendation 26 was rated LC in the MER with four recommended actions. The first recommended action required the FIU to publicly release all outstanding annual reports and include in such reports, statistics, typologies and trends as well as information regarding its activities. The FIU released its second report for the period 2003 to 2008 in September 2009. The authorities advise that reports for 2009 to 2012 have been approved by the AMLA in February 2014 and will be forwarded to the Ministry and presented in Parliament shortly. The recommended action requires the release of all outstanding reports. To date reports only up to 2008 have been released. While the reports contain statistics and information about the activities of the FIU, there were no typologies. As such this recommended action is only partially met.
- 11. The second recommended measure requires the FIU to seek to enhance the level of AML/CFT awareness within the local financial services sector with a view to providing more detailed guidance to reporting institutions as to their reporting obligations under section 8(b) of the MLFTA. The authorities have advised that the FIU provides more detailed guidance to financial institutions via discussions and meeting with compliance officers as well as detailed training for the staff. This training includes the analysis of key factors that will trigger the reporting obligation. During the period 2009 to 2012, the FIU conducted a combined total of 28 presentations and training as described above. The above actions meet the requirements of the recommended measure. The third recommended action mandated the FIU to follow through on its plans to further upgrade its IT capabilities. As reported in its second report referred to above, the FIU upgraded its IT system through the acquisition of additional research tools and a more robust database system. Security was also increased with the installation of additional firewalls. This complies with the recommended action.
- 12. The last recommended action was for the FIU to produce statistics about prescribed predicate offences linked to suspicious and unusual transaction reports (SUTRS) filed by reporting institutions. It should be noted that this recommended action is additional to the specific criteria of Recommendation 26 and implementation of it is not required for full compliance with Recommendation As such, the authorities have not addressed this recommended actions.
- 13. Given the above, all recommended actions necessary for full compliance have been met except for the first which is still partially outstanding requiring the publication of typologies in the annual report of the FIU. Consequently, this Recommendation has only been partially met.

Other Recommendations

Recommendation 6

- 14. With regard to the only recommended action that the requirements for politically exposed persons as stated in the CBB and SOI's AML/CFT Guidelines be enforceable on all financial institutions, as indicated in the previous follow-up report the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines extend these requirements to the financial institutions under the supervision of the FSC. However as noted entities under the supervision of the International Business Unit of the Ministry of International Business and International Transport are not included. As such, this recommended action has been partially met.
- 15. The authorities have advised that the CBB as part of its practice of periodic revision of its AML/CFT Guidelines has included the requirements of FATF Recommendation 12 and the FATF Guidance Note on PEPs in the latest revision. Similar revisions have also been included in the recently gazetted FSC AML/CFT Guidelines. It is noted that these revisions would comply with requirements of FATF Recommendation 12 which will be evaluated during the 4th Round of Mutual Evaluations. While the authorities in Barbados are to be complimented for taking measures to implement these requirements, they cannot be taken into consideration for this follow-up process. Consequently, the situation with regard to the level of compliance as described above remains unchanged.

- 16. The first outstanding recommended action requires the authorities to consider making the requirements for third party and introduced business as stipulated in the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all other financial institution. As previously noted while the FSC AML/CFT Guideline extends the requirements to the financial institutions under the supervision of the FSC, entities under the supervision of the International Business Unit of the Ministry of International Business and International Transport are not included. Consequently, this recommended action has been partially met.
- 17. The second recommended measure requires financial institutions to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendations 23, 24 and 29. Section 7.4.4 of the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines requires licensees to satisfy themselves "about the quality and effectiveness of supervision and regulation in the introducer's country of domicile (refer to FATF Recommendations 26, 27 and 28): and satisfy themselves that the introducer is regulated, and supervised or monitored for, and has measures in place for compliance with CDD and record-keeping requirements in line with the FATF Recommendations." The above provision fully complies with the recommended action and has included the correct reference to the revised FATF Recommendations. A similar provision has also been included in the recently gazetted FSC AML/CFT Guidelines. However, entities under the supervision of the International Business Unit of the Ministry of International Business and International Transport do not have similarly enforceable requirements. Consequently, this recommended action has been partially met.
- 18. With regard to the recommended measure for the authorities to consider advising financial institutions on countries from which third parties that meet the conditions of being regulated and

supervised and comply with CDD requirements can be based, the authorities cite section 7.4 of the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines which requires licensees to observe Public Statements issued by the FATF and CFATF as it relates to business relationships and transactions with natural and legal persons and financial institutions from listed countries. However, the above provision is more applicable to the requirements of Recommendation 21 dealing with business relationships and transactions from countries which do not or insufficiently FATF Recommendations rather than the recommended action. Consequently, the recommended action remains outstanding.

Recommendation 15

- 19. As noted in a previous report, two recommended actions remain outstanding. The first recommended action requires all financial institutions to designate an AML/CFT compliance officer at management level and develop policies and procedures for record retention. With regard to policies for record retention section 10 of the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines and section 9 of the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines requires financial institutions to develop document retention policies. In relation to the requirement for the designation of an AML/CFT compliance officer at management level section 9.0 of the CBB AML/CFT Guideline requires licensees to appoint a person at the management level as compliance officer. A similar requirement has been adopted in the recently gazetted FSC AML/CFT Guidelines. It is noted that the above requirements still have to be imposed on the entities under the supervision of the International Business Unit of the Ministry of International Business and International Transport. Given the above, this recommended action has been partially met.
- 20. The second outstanding recommended action is for the requirements of an independent audit function, training in new techniques and trends in money laundering and terrorist financing, and screening procedures for new employees to be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the SOI to all financial institutions. No information with regard to this recommended action has been submitted for this report which therefore remains outstanding.

- 21. As indicated in previous report, one recommended action has been partially met while three others are outstanding. The first recommended action requires that AML/CFT guidelines should provide specific guidance with regard to requiring special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations. Section 7.4 of the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines requires licensees to observe the Public Statements issued by the FATF and the CFATF as it relates to business relationships and transactions with natural and legal persons, and financial institutions from listed countries. A similar provision has been adopted in the recently gazetted FSC AML/CFT Guidelines. The above provision complies with the recommended action. However, since the provision has not been extended over the entities supervised by the International Business Unit of the Ministry of International Business and International Transport, the recommended action has only been partially met.
- 22. The requirements that the written findings of all transactions with no apparent economic or lawful purpose from countries that do not or insufficiently apply FATF Recommendations be available to competent authorities have been included in relevant sections of the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines and the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines as noted in a previous follow-up report. There is a need to extend this requirement over entities supervised by the International Business Unit of the

Ministry of International Business and International Transport. Consequently this recommended action is partially met.

23. The other two recommended actions include requiring the authorities to put in place measures to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about the weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries and to consider issuing instructions regarding countermeasures for transactions and business relationships with countries that do not apply or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations. No information has been provided regarding these recommended actions which therefore remain outstanding.

Recommendation 25

- 24. The recommended action required the FIU to provide feedback to financial institutions with regard to suspicious transaction reports. In the follow-up report of April 2010, the authorities advised that the FIU provided specific feedback to financial institutions with respect to ongoing suspicious transaction reports in a manner that would not compromise the investigative process. As matters are completed or closed, the financial institutions are given a short summary of findings. It was noted that while the above measures comply with the examiners' recommendations, statistics on the number of feedback provided over the last three years would assist in demonstrating implementation. No such information has ever been provided.
- 25. At present the authorities have advised that in February 2014, the FIU held a meeting with 53 compliance officers from 53 institutions from varying sectors including domestic banks, insurance, international banks, credit unions, finance companies, money service businesses, company and trust service providers to provide feedback on local and regional typologies and trends for money laundering.

Conclusion

26. Since the last follow-up report Barbados' overall level of compliance with the recommended actions of the MER has minimally improved. The gazetting of the FSC AML/CFT Guidelines has improved the level of compliance of Recs. 9, 15, and 21. Notwithstanding that the outstanding recommended actions are minor, given the Plenary decision that all members in the follow-up process are required to complete their reform measures by the November 2014 Plenary, the authorities are advised that the timeframe for proposed measures should be reconsidered with regard to this deadline. Given the above it is recommended that Barbados remain in enhanced follow-up and report back to the Plenary in November 2014.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
Legal systems				
1.ML offence	LC	 The crimes of human trafficking, corruption and bribery provisions falling within the designated categories of offences have not been adequately addressed in legislation. Extraterritoriality of predicate offences is not clearly defined. 	The authorities should review the adequacy of the legislative coverage of human trafficking, corruption and bribery to ensure coverage of all designated categories of offences.	Section 8 of the Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Act creates the offence of trafficking in persons. Section 9 creates the offence of smuggling of persons. The Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Act was passed in Parliament as No. 3 of 2011.
			The different <i>mens rea</i> elements of money laundering offences under the MLFTA and section 19 of DAPCA should be harmonized.	Part VI of the Prevention of Corruption Bill 2010 provides for the offences of bribery, solicitation and related offences. The Bill is under review by a Joint Select Committee of Parliament after having received feedback from several stakeholders.
			The language of section 4 of the MLFTA should be reviewed with a view to removing the current limitation which requires that there be an intention for the extraterritorial act to be also committed in Barbados	The Prevention of Corruption Act, 2012-31 was recently enacted and will shortly be proclaimed. An amendment to the DAPCA has been provided for in the MLFTA 2011 in the Fourth Schedule of the latter Act. In section 19, delete subsection (1) and substitute the following: "(1) Subject to subsection (2), if a person enters into or is otherwise concerned in an arrangement whereby (a) the retention or control by or on behalf of another "X", of X's benefits

² These factors are only required to be set out when the rating is less than Compliant.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			of drug trafficking is facilitated (whether by concealment, removal from the jurisdiction, transfer to nominees or otherwise);
			or (b) X's benefits of drug trafficking are used to secure that funds are placed at X's disposal or are used for X's benefit to acquire property by way of investment,. Either (i) knowing or having reasonable grounds to suspect that X is a person who carries on or has carried on drug trafficking; (ii) being an individual, failing without reasonable excuse to take reasonable steps to ascertain whether X is a person who carries on or has carried on drug trafficking; or (iii) being a financial institution or a non-financial business entity or professional within the meaning of the Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism (Prevention and Control) Act, 2011, failing to take reasonable steps to implement or apply procedures to control or combat money laundering, the person is guilty of an indictable offence
			under this Act." Section 7 of the MLFTA 2011 states "any act done by a person outside of Barbados, which would be an offence if done within Barbados, is an offence for the purposes of this Act."

Post-Plenary-Final

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
2.ML offence – mental element and corporate liability	LC	Ineffective use of ML provisions.		The defect has been remedied in the MLFTA 2011.
3. Confiscation and provisional measures	PC	 Forfeiture/restraint orders only limited to proceeds of money laundering, predicate offence of drug-trafficking, terrorist acts and financing of terrorism. No specific provision for forfeiture of instrumentalities under the MLFTA. No provision for ex parte application for freezing or seizing property subject to confiscation under the MLFTA No provision for production/inspection orders under MLFTA. Lack of integrated approach to forfeiture/restraint detracts from effectiveness. 	• The authorities should consider reviewing the forfeiture/confiscation regime to ensure that all serious offences are covered; the various statutes are rationalized as far as possible to provide greater certainty in application. Specific attention should be given to adjusting the MLFTA forfeiture scheme so as to incorporate appropriate balancing features in keeping with recent case law. Further there should be greater particularity on various aspects of any approach, including factors to be taken into account by the court before issuing orders; coverage of instrumentalities; bona fide third party rights; variation/discharge of orders.	This has been achieved by the passage of the MLFTA 2011 and the amendments to the POCA as stated in the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011. The forfeiture regime is now harmonized under the POCA.
			 The coverage of instrumentalities under POCA should be extended to ensure property intended for use in the commission of the offence is caught. The definition of "scheduled offence" under POCA should be extended to incorporate the serious offences contemplated by the FATF's "designated" 	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 refers to the amendments to the POCA. Section 2 (b) of POCA has been amended to read "The principal objects of this Act are to provide for the forfeiture of property including instrumentalities, used in or intended to be used in, or in connection with, or for the purpose of facilitating, the commission of scheduled offences."

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	Tuung	 categories of offences" A specific provision should be enacted for the forfeiture of instrumentalities in MLFTA 	Additionally, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends the definition of "tainted property" in the POCA to include instrumentalities, used in or intended to be used, or in connection with, or for the purpose of facilitating the commission of
		Appropriate powers of production and inspection should be introduced in the MLFTA. For those offences under DAPCA falling outside the scope of the POCA "scheduled offences", similar powers should also be incorporated.	 The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends the definition of "scheduled offence" under POCA to include the FATF" designated categories of offences". This list includes statutory offences & common law offences.
		 The definitions of "financial institutions" under POCA and the MLFTA should be harmonized. Section 60 of POCA should be amended to enable government departments/entities, on appropriate grounds, to lodge 	With respect to the powers of production & inspection, this is now dealt with under the POCA and its amendments as stated in the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA. As mentioned above, the scheduled offences under the POCA have been widened.
		objections to the disclosure of information. The scheme under section 57 in respect of the Commissioner of Inland Revenue may provide a useful precedent. Section 6A (4) of the MLFTA should be amended to enable Government Departments to object to the release of information to the FIU Director on	• The definition of "financial institutions" in the POCA and MLFTA has been harmonized. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 reflects that the current sections 53 & 54 of POCA have been deleted and section 54 of the latter Act now states that with respect to section 48 to 52, the definition of financial institution under

appropriate grounds. Section 57 of POCA as a guide requires the FIU Director to access data from Government departments	POCA now has the meaning given to it as under the MLFTA.
only on the authority of a court order, as under sections 55 and 60 of POCA in relation to the DPP. The standard of proof under sections 9 and 17 of POCA (abscondence) should be adjusted to explicitly require the civil standard. The civil forfeiture scheme under section 47 of the DAPCA should be amplified to address such matters as the procedures to be followed and standard of proof.	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 has amended section 60 of POCA to insert section 60 (1) to read, "Section 57 shall, with such modifications and adaptations as the circumstances may require, apply in respect of an order made under subsection (1)." Section 49 of the MLFTA 2011 makes provision for the objection to disclosure of information to the FIU on specific grounds. With respect the standard of proof, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends Section 17 of the POCA, to read,"(3) any question of fact to be decided by the Court in proceedings pursuant to section 9 and this section shall be decided on a balance of probabilities." Section 47 DAPCA has been deleted. This is stated in the Fourth Schedule of MLFTA. As already stated, the standard of proof is dealt with in the new section 17(3) of POCA.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				The procedures to be followed are those in POCA- sections 10-17. The new POCA schedule refers to drug and drug-trafficking offences. This is laid out in the Fourth Schedule.
				The Cabinet has recently approved the establishment of the civil forfeiture regime in Barbados. The drafting of the relevant legislation will commence shortly.
Preventive measures				
4. Secrecy laws consistent with the Recommendations	PC	The CBB cannot share information with other domestic financial sector supervisory agencies.	The MLFTA should be amended to specify the reason for inspections by the AMLA i.e. review of compliance with MLFTA and AML/CFT guidelines generally The FIA should be amended to allow the CBB to share information with domestic regulators	With respect to the underlined outstanding issue in the Recommended Actions column relating to the rationale for inspections, the guidelines lend clarity to the obligations placed on financial institutions in the MLFTA. They "set out the expectations of the Bank and the Authority in relation to the minimum standards for anti-money laundering and the combating of the
	Under the CSA, the Registrar of Cooperatives can only share information pursuant to a court order;	The CSA should be amended to permit the Registrar of Cooperative Societies to share information with domestic and foreign regulators without having to obtain a Court Order	financing of terrorism (AML/CFT) practices by all licensees and, together with the MLFTA, "form an integral part of the framework used by the Bank in assessing how licensees implement their AML/CFT policies." Section 22 MLFTA states that financial	

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
		An explicit legal provision allowing the Supervisor of Insurance to share information with other regulators should be enacted.	 institution shall comply with Guidelines. Section 31 of the MLFTA, 2011 specifies that inspections to be conducted are to determine whether a
	The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development does not have the authority to compel information from licensees or to disclose information to domestic or foreign counterparts.	 The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development should be authorized to access information from its licensees and be able to share information appropriately 	 financial institution is in compliance with the Act. Section 44 (2) of the Financial Institutions Act CAP344A has been amended to allow the Central Bank, without the permission of a licensee, to share information with any other
		with other competent authorities.	 supervisory or regulatory authority of financial institutions in Barbados. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2011 amends section 71 of the CSA, by inserting a new subsection (3A) permitting the Registrar to share
			 information with the AMLA and other domestic and foreign supervisory or regulatory authorities without a court order. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2011 amends section 54 of the Insurance Act by inserting a new

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			provision (2A), permitting the Supervisor to share information with the AMLA and other domestic and foreign supervisory or regulatory authorities. Section 35 of the Exempt Insurance Act is similarly amended.
			• The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2011 amends section 24 of International Business Companies Act by inserting Section 24A, requiring a licensee to deliver any books, records, documents that are required to be kept, to the Minister at such time as required; and provide the Minister with such information as the Minister may require for the proper administration and enforcement of the Act.
			• Sections 25(3) of the IBC Act; section 49(3) of the SRL Act; and section 28 of the ITA were also amended to permit the disclosure of information.
			• In addition, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA, 2011 amends section 8 of the Securities Act adding subsection (2A) to permit the Commission to share information with the AMLA and other

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				domestic and foreign supervisory or regulatory authorities.
				Section 50(3) of the Mutual Funds Act Cap.320B is also similarly amended.
5.Customer due diligence	PC	There are no legislative requirements for financial institutions to undertake CDD measures for occasional transactions that are	Financial institutions should be legislatively required to; undertake CDD measures for occasional transactions that are wire transfers in the circumstances covered	With respect to the underlined outstanding issue of control in the Recommended Actions column, the authorities are considering an appropriate amendment to the MLFTA.
		wire transfers in the circumstances covered by the Interpretative Note to SR VII, or where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, or where the financial institution has doubts about the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained CDD;	by the Interpretative Note to SR VII, or where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing, or where the financial institution has doubts about the veracity or adequacy of previously obtained CDD;	Recommendation 10 of the revised FATF Recommendations states "The principle that financial institutions should conduct CDD should be set out in law. Each country may determine how it imposes specific CDD obligations, either through law or enforceable means. Such flexibility is further supported in the Interpretative Note to Recommendation 10 where there is no explicit requirement for the
		verify that any person purporting to act on behalf of the customer is so authorized and identify and verify the identity of that person;	 verify that any person purporting to act on behalf of the customer is so authorized and identify and verify the identity of that person; 	legislation to address the issue of control. As such, no legislative amendment will be pursued. A consolidated enforceable AML/CFT Guideline was issued by the FSC in October 2012. The
		 determine who are the natural persons that ultimately own or control the customer; conduct on-going due diligence 	 determine who are the natural persons that ultimately own or control the customer; 	FSC Guideline was issued in accordance with subsections 53 (1)(d) and (e) of the FSCA. Section 22 of the MLFTA obligates all financial institutions to comply with the guideline. Administrative sanctions for non-compliance

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	on business relationships; verify individual customer identity using reliable, independent source documents, data or information (identification data);	 conduct on-going due diligence on business relationships; 	 with the guideline can be imposed pursuant to section 34 of the MLFTA. With respect to the underlined outstanding issue relating to updating data collected during the CDD process, this matter is being dealt with in the revised AML guidelines
	No express prohibition against reduced CDD measures where there is a risk of ML and FT	 verify individual customer identity using reliable, independent source documents, data or information (identification data); Simplified CDD measures should not be acceptable whenever there is a suspicion of ML or TF. 	 MLFTA 2011 defines "business transaction" to include a business arrangement and an occasional transaction. An occasional transaction is defined as a financial or other relevant transaction other than one conducted or to be conducted in the course of an existing business arrangement and includes a wire transfer.
		• The enforceability of the following	Section 15 (1)(b) of the MLFTA, 2011 requires a financial institution to verify the identity of a customer by means of reliable documents data or information from an independent source where (i) the customer requests the institution to enter into a business arrangement or conduct an occasional transaction with the customer;

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	 The following requirements are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance; Scrutiny of transactions and updating of data or documents collected under the CDD process Measures for high and low risk categories of customers Timing of verification and failure to complete CDD and application of CDD requirements to existing customers. 	licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of insurance to all other financial institution; Scrutiny of transactions and updating of data or documents collected under the CDD process Measures for high and low risk categories of customers Timing of verification and failure to complete CDD and application of CDD requirements to existing customers.	 (ii) doubt exists about the veracity or adequacy of customer identification data previously obtained in respect of the customer; or (iii) there is a suspicion of money laundering or financing of terrorism in connection with the customer. Section 15 states at subsection: (2) A financial institution shall take reasonable measures to establish whether a customer is acting on behalf of another person. (3) Where it appears to a financial institution that a customer is acting on behalf of another person, the institution shall take reasonable measures to (a) establish the true identity not only of the customer but also of the person on whose behalf or for whose ultimate benefit the customer may be acting; (b) verify the identity of both the customer and the person on whose behalf or for whose ultimate benefit the customer may be acting by means of reliable documents, data or information from an independent source; and (c) establish whether the customer is authorised to act on behalf of the person in the capacity and in the proposed business arrangement or

Post-Plenary-Final

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	_		occasional transaction, in which he acts or seeks to act.
			• Section 16 of the MLFTA, 2011 states:
			"A financial institution shall exercise ongoing due diligence with respect to every business arrangement and closely examine the transactions conducted in the course of such an arrangement to determine whether the transactions are consistent with its knowledge of the relevant customer, his commercial activities, if any and risk profile and, where required, the source of his funds."
			• Section 17(2) of the MLFTA, 2011 states:
			"Where a suspicion of money laundering or financing of terrorism exists in connection with a customer, a financial institution shall not reduce or simplify its procedures for identification and verification of the identity of the customer nor its procedures for ongoing due diligence in respect of the customer pursuant to sections 15 and 16, respectively."
			• Section 17 (1) of the MLFTA, 2011 states:

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			"The Authority may, subject to subsection (2), issue in accordance with section 26, guidelines as to the circumstances in which procedures for identification and verification of the identity of customers or for ongoing due diligence pursuant to sections 15 and 16, respectively (a) may be reduced or simplified by a financial institution; and (b) shall be enhanced.
			• Section 5 of the SA is amended to grant the Commission powers to conduct inspections and examinations of registrants under that Act to include self-regulatory organisations, securities companies, brokers, dealers, traders, underwriters, issuers and investment advisers as may be necessary for giving effect to that Act.
			Section 54 of the SA has been amended to provide the Commission with powers of enforcement regarding market actors for reasons including failure to comply with a condition of registration, or engaging in an unsound financial practice.
			Section 133 of the SA has been amended to allow the Commission to,

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			by instrument in writing, appoint a person to conduct such investigations as may be necessary for the proper administration of this Act and in particular to determine the validity of any allegation that (a) a person has contravened, is contravening or is about to contravene this Act; or (b) any of the circumstances set out in section 54 exist in respect of a registrant."
			Section 135 of the SA is amended to provide that "where an examination reveals that any of the circumstances set out in section 54 exists in respect of a registrant, the Commission may, where it considers it appropriate to do so, order the registrant, within such period as the Commission may specify, to take such remedial measures or action as the Commission directs."
			• Section 37 of the MLFTA, 2011 states at subsections:
			(2)"Without prejudice to the powers and functions of a regulatory authority under any other law, for the purpose of discharging its responsibility under subsection (1) in respect of persons that the regulatory authority regulates, sections 29, 31 and 33 to 36 apply to the regulatory authority, with such modifications and adaptations as may be necessary, as

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			those sections apply to the Authority. (3) Where a person is regulated by more than one regulatory authority, the regulatory authorities shall consult and identify from
			among them, the regulatory authority to assume the primary responsibility under subsection (1).
			(4) For the avoidance of doubt, notwithstanding (a) any other enactment and in particular, any primary enabling enactment; and (b) any power or function of a regulatory authority under any primary enabling enactment, where it is suspected that a financial institution is contravening or has contravened this Act, any action to be taken by a regulatory authority in respect of the financial institution shall be so taken under this Act.
			The FSC Guideline is enforceable. The FSC has commenced onsite AML/CFT examinations all of which cover CDD, the risk based approach and the timing of verification.
			Since the last Plenary, amendments were prepared for the FSC Guidelines as follows:
			Section 6.0 - to clarify that where there is a suspicion that a transaction relates to money laundering or the financing of terrorism, financial institutions should be cognizant of tipping off a customer when conducting due diligence. The

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				financial institution should make a business decision whether to execute the transaction as the case may be, but a suspicious report should be submitted to the Authority.
				Section 6.8 - to clarify that notwithstanding the circumstances which may warrant reduced due diligence, financial institutions must not apply reduced due diligence where there is a suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing. The revised FSC Guideline will be finalized shortly.
				The FSC Guideline was finalized and gazetted in January 2014. Kindly see www.fsc.gov.bb for the updated Guidelines.
6.Politically exposed persons	PC	Requirements for politically exposed persons are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	The authorities should make the requirements for politically exposed persons as stated in the CBB and Supervisor of Insurance's AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all financial institutions.	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation The Financial Services Commission (FSC) has issued a consolidated Guideline (modeled on the Central Bank Guideline) for its stakeholders, namely: (i) a person who engages in relevant insurance business;
				(ii) a market actor, self-regulatory organisation, participant and issuer of securities within the meaning of the Securities Act; (iii) a mutual fund and mutual fund administrator within the meaning of the Mutual Funds Act or any person

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			who manages a mutual fund; and (iv) a credit union within the meaning of the FSC Act. Section 6.4.6 of the Guideline requires financial institutions, in relation to foreign politically exposed persons (PEPs) (whether as customer or beneficial owner), in addition to performing normal customer due diligence measures, to:
			 Have appropriate risk managements systems to determine whether the customer or the beneficial owner is a politically exposed person;
			 ii. Obtain senior management approval for the establishing (or continuing, for existing customers) such business relationships;
			iii. Take reasonable measures to establish the source of wealth and source of funds; and
			iv. Conduct enhanced ongoing monitoring of the business relationship.
			Financial institutions are further required to take reasonable measures to determine whether a customer or beneficial owner is a domestic PEP or a person who is or has been entrusted with a prominent function by an international organisation. In cases of a higher risk business relationship with such persons, financial institutions are required to apply the measures

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			referred to in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d). Importantly, the requirements for all types of PEP should also apply to family members or close associates of such PEPs.
			The FSC Guideline is enforceable. The FSC has commenced onsite examinations which address CDD and PEPs.
			In keeping with its practice of periodically revising Guidelines in order to keep pace with international developments, since the last Plenary, the CBB revised its AML/CFT Guideline to reflect FATF Recommendation 12 and the FATF Guidance Note on PEPs. Section 7.4.6 of the Guideline has been refined to better reflect the requirements for foreign and domestic PEPs, and persons who are or have been entrusted with the prominent function by an international organization. Definitions of family members and close associates are included. A provision was also added to Section 11.1 requiring licensees to incorporate in their training programmes, effective ways of determining whether clients are PEPs and to understand, assess and handle the potential associated risks.
			Refinements to this effect were included in the draft revised FSC Guideline which will be finalized shortly.
			The FSC Guideline was finalized and gazetted in January 2014. Kindly see www.fsc.gov.bb for the updated Guidelines.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
LC	No specific requirement for financial institutions to ascertain whether a respondent institution has been subject to a money laundering or terrorist financing investigation or regulatory action.	• Financial institutions in gathering information about the quality of a respondent's supervision should ascertain whether it has been subject to a money laundering or terrorist financing investigation or regulatory action.	This has been addressed at Section 7.4.8 of the revised Central Bank Guideline which will come into effect with the proclamation of the MLFTA.
PC	The requirements for non-face to face customers are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	The authorities should make the requirements for non-face to face customers in the AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all financial institutions.	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation This is addressed in the FSC Guideline which is enforceable. The FSC has commenced onsite examinations which address CDD, the risk based approach and non face to face business.
PC	 Requirements for third parties and introduced business are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. 	The authorities should consider making the requirements for third party and introduced business as stipulated in the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all other financial institutions.	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation This is addressed in the revised FSC Guideline. The FSC's AML/CFT Guideline now refers to
	 No requirement for financial institutions to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendations 23, 24 and 29. No indication of authorities determining in which countries third parties that satisfy the conditions of being regulated and supervised and 	Financial institutions should be required to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendation 23, 24 and 29. Authorities should consider advising financial institutions about countries from which third parties that meet the conditions of being regulated and supervised and comply with CDD requirements can be based.	the FATF's high risk and non-cooperative jurisdictions list and the UN Security Council's sanctions list. E.g. see section 6.8. The FIU circulates information on FATF listed countries to financial institutions. Since the last Plenary, Section 7.4.4 of the CBB AML/CFT Guideline was revised to require licensees to satisfy themselves about the quality and effectiveness of supervision and regulation in the introducer's country of domicile (refer to
	LC	PC • Requirements for third parties and introduced business are only enforceable on the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. • No requirement for financial institutions to ascertain whether a respondent institution has been subject to a money laundering or terrorist financing investigation or regulatory action. PC • The requirements for non-face to face customers are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. • Requirements for third parties and introduced business are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. • No requirement for financial institutions to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendations 23, 24 and 29. • No indication of authorities determining in which countries third parties that satisfy the conditions of	PC • Requirements for non-face to face customers are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. PC • Requirements for third parties and introduced business are only enforceable on the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. PC • Requirements for financial institutions in gathering information about the quality of a respondent's supervision should ascertain whether it has been subject to a money laundering or terrorist financing investigation or regulatory action. PC • The requirements for non-face to face customers are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. PC • Requirements for third parties and introduced business are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. • No requirement for financial institutions should consider making the requirements for third party and introduced business as stipulated in the CBB AML/CFT Guidelines enforceable on all other financial institutions. • No requirement for financial institutions should be required to satisfy themselves that the third party is regulated and supervised in accordance with Recommendations 23, 24 and 29. • No indication of authorities determining in which countries third parties that satisfy the conditions of being regulated and supervised and comply with CDD requirements can be

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
		comply with CDD requirements can be based.		satisfy themselves that the introducer is regulated, and supervised or monitored for, and has measures in place for compliance with CDD and record-keeping requirements in line with the FATF Recommendations 10.
				Section 7.4 of the Guideline requires licensees to observe the Public Statements issued by the FATF and CFATF as it relates to business relationships and transactions with natural and legal persons, and financial institutions from listed countries.
				The FSC has adopted similar provisions in their updated AML/CFT Guideline which will be finalized shortly.
				The FSC Guideline was finalized and gazetted in January 2014. Kindly see www.fsc.gov.bb for the updated Guidelines.
10.Record keeping	NC	Only records of business transactions exceeding \$10 000 are legislatively required to be retained for five years after termination of a transaction;	The MLFTA should be amended to require the retention of all necessary records on all transactions for a period of five years after termination of the transaction;	Section 18 of the MLFTA, 2011 requires financial institutions to establish and maintain business transaction records of all business transactions for at least 5 years from termination of the business arrangement or the transaction, where
		No requirement in law or regulations for account files and business correspondence to be retained for at	• Financial institutions should be legislatively required to maintain records of account files and business correspondence for at least five years	the transaction is an occasional transaction; or such longer period as the Authority may, in any specific case, direct.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
		least five years after the termination of the business relationship; • No direct legal requirement for financial institutions to ensure that records available on a timely basis to domestic competent authorities.	following the termination of an account or business relationship or longer if requested by a competent authority. • Financial institutions should be legislatively required to ensure that all customer and transaction records and information are available on a timely basis.	Section 2 of the MLFTA, 2011 defines "business transaction record" to include inter alia account files and business correspondence files in respect of the transaction. • Section 30 of the MLFTA, 2011 states that the FIU Director may: (b) instruct financial institutions to take such steps within such time as may be appropriate to facilitate any investigation by the Director; (c) require from a financial institution the production of any information, except information subject to legal professional privilege, that the Director considers relevant to fulfil its functions; Further, subsection 4 states "For the avoidance of doubt, a financial institution shall, within such time as may be specified by the Director, or in the absence of a specified time, within a reasonable time, comply with any instruction issued or request made to the institution by the Director under this section.
11.Unusual transactions	PC	Monitoring requirements specified in the AML/CFT guidelines are only enforceable on the licensees of the	The requirements in the AML/CFT Guidelines should be enforceable on all financial institutions;	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation See actions undertaken regarding enforcement as listed at Recommendation 5.

Rating	~	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	rating ² Central Bank and the Supervisor of Insurance • The retention of the written findings of internal examinations of transactions is limited to transactions exceeding BD\$10,000.	The AML/CFT guidelines should specifically require the retention of the findings of the internal examinations of all transactions for at least five years	Further, section 18(4) of the MLFTA, 2011 states "Where a financial institution does not maintain business transaction records as required under subsections (1), (2) or (3) as the case may be, the directors of the institution are guilty of an offence and are liable on conviction on indictment to a fine of \$100 000. The revised Central Bank Guideline is in effect and the other Guidelines are in the revision process. Section 9.0 of the FSC's AML/CFT Guideline states that financial institutions must establish a document retention policy that provides for the maintenance of a broad spectrum of records, including those related to customer identification, business transactions, internal and external reporting and training. Financial institutions must maintain these records for a minimum of five years, in accordance with Section 18 (2) (a) of the MLFTA, after the termination of the business transaction, or the business relationship, whichever is applicable. However, it may be necessary for financial institutions to retain records, until such time as advised by the FIU or High Court, for a period exceeding the date of termination of the last business transaction where:

Post-Plenary-Final

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
12.DNFBP – R.5, 6, 8-11	NC	• The requirements of Rec. 5, 6, 8 to 11, and 17 are not adequately enforced on DNFBPs not licensed by the CBB.	• It is recommended that the authorities enact measures to apply the requirements of Recommendations 5, 6, 8 to 11, and 17 to DNFBPs not licensed by the CBB.	 i. There has been a report of a suspicious activity; or ii. There is an on-going investigation relating to a transaction or client. The FSC has also commenced onsite examinations which address unusual and suspicious transactions. Section 4 of the MLFTA, 2011 explicitly states that the Act applies to non-financial business entities and professions as set out in the Third Schedule. Part IV establishes duties of financial institutions and non-financial business entities and professionals as it relates to the specified Recommendations. Additionally, the International Corporate and Trust Service Providers Act, 2011 (ICTSPA) establishes a regime for registration and licensing of international service providers. The ICTSPA was passed as No. 5 of 2011. Among the objectives stated at Section 4(c) are to provide for the establishment of procedures and policies to be followed by international service providers to (i) know and be able to identify their clients; and

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			(ii) exercise due diligence in the provision of international services; their clients;
			Section 21 of the ICTSPA states "An international service provider shall comply with the Code of Practice set out in the Second Schedule. The Code includes mandatory provisions relating inter alia to customer due diligence.
			Part IV of the ICTSPA, 2011 grants the Director a range of powers of enforcement, including pecuniary penalties, suspension and revocation of a license.
			Further, as explained in the comments at Recommendations 5, the Director of International Business is among the regulatory authorities in the Second Schedule of the MLFTA, 2011 and therefore can exercise powers by virtue of 35(1) of the MLFTA, 2011.
			There is also a reference in the Code of Practice for International Service Providers in the Second Schedule of the ICTSPA. The Code refers to the fact that the international service provider shall know and be able to identify & verify its clients. The ICTSPA was passed as No. 5 of 2011.
			A DNFBPs sub-working group of the Anti- Money Laundering Authority has been recently

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				created to make proposals to government for the formulation and supervision of the DNFBP regime generally and the drafting of guidelines.
13.Suspicious transaction reporting	LC	 No requirement in law or regulations to report attempted or aborted suspicious transactions. Human trafficking, corruption and bribery are not adequately addressed in legislation as predicate offences. 	The MLFTA should be amended to require the reporting of attempted or aborted transactions suspected of being involved in ML or FT.	The MLFTA, 2011 defines "transaction" to include an attempted or aborted transaction. A "business arrangement" (a) means an arrangement, between 2 or more parties, the purpose of which is to facilitate a financial or other relevant transaction between the parties; and (b) includes (i) any related transaction between any of the parties and another person; (ii) the making of a gift; and (iii) the opening of an account; "business transaction" includes a business arrangement and an occasional transaction; Section 23 (1)(a) states "A financial institution shall monitor and report to the Director (a) any business transaction where the identity of the person involved, the transaction or any other circumstance concerning the transaction gives the institution or any officer or employee of the institution reasonable grounds to suspect that the transaction
				(i) involves proceeds of crime;

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				(ii) involves the financing of terrorism; or (iii) is of a suspicious or an unusual nature;" See response at Recommendation 1 dealing with human trafficking, corruption and bribery.
14.Protection & no tipping-off	PC	MLFTA establishes inconsistent mandatory and voluntary reporting provisions; immunity provision under MLFTA is not referable to mandatory reporting provisions.	Section 22A(5) of the MLFTA should be amended to remove the reference to voluntary reporting by financial institutions, and section 22A(6) should be revised to make it clear that immunity against liability applies to the financial institutions reporting under sections 8(1)(b) and (h) of the Act.	Section 48(5) of the MLFTA, 2011 has been amended to establish mandatory reporting requirements on financial institutions to give information to the FIU; and provide for immunity for financial institutions that share said information with the FIU and report suspicious and unusual activity to the FIU, as per Section 23(2).
15.Internal controls, compliance & audit	PC	 The legislative provisions for internal controls, compliance and audit do not include the imposition of penalties and sanctions for failure to comply with the provisions. No enforceable requirement for the designation of an AML/CFT compliance office at management level or development of policies and procedures for record retention. 	All legislative requirements for internal controls, compliance and audit should include the imposition of penalties and sanctions for failure to comply. All financial institutions should be required to designate an AML/CFT compliance officer at management level and develop policies and procedures for record retention.	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation • With respect to internal policies, audit and compliance requirements, Section 19 (2) states that "Where a financial institution contravenes subsection (1), the Authority may impose on the institution a pecuniary penalty in accordance with section 36", which deals with pecuniary penalties.
		Requirements for an independent audit function, training in new techniques	Requirements for an independent audit function, training in new techniques and trends in ML and FT, and screening procedures for new employees should be	Section 19(1) places a requirement on a financial institution to develop and

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
		and trends in ML and FT, and screening procedures for new employees are only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions.	implement internal policies, procedures and controls to combat money laundering and financing of terrorism. Policies and procedures relate to all aspects of the AML/CFT program, including record retention.
				Powers of onsite inspection to determine compliance with the MLFTA are found at section 31. See actions undertaken regarding
				enforcement as listed at Recommendation 5.
				Since the last Plenary, the CBB revised Section 9.0 of its AML/CFT Guideline and requires licensees to appoint a person at the management level as compliance officer.
				A similar revision has been adopted in the draft revised FSC Guidelines, which will be finalized shortly. The FSC Guideline was finalized and gazetted in January 2014.
16.DNFBP – R.13-15 & 21	NC	• The requirements of Recommendations 13 to 15, 17 and 21 are not adequately applied to DNFBPS not licensed by the CBB.	• The authorities should take measures to ensure that the requirements of Recommendations 13 to 15, 17 and 21 are applied to DNFBPS not licensed by the CBB.	Section 4 of the MLFTA, 2011 explicitly states that the Act applies to non-financial business entities and professions as set out in the Third Schedule. Part IV establishes duties of financial institutions and non-financial
			CDD.	business entities and professionals as it

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				relates to Recommendations 13, 14, 15 and 17.
				Also, see response to Recommendation 12, regarding international corporate and trust service providers. The ICTSPA was passed as No. 5 of 2011.
				A DNFBPs sub-working group of the Anti- Money Laundering Authority has been recently created to make proposals to government for the formulation and supervision of the DNFBP regime generally and the drafting of guidelines.
17.Sanctions	LC	The Securities Commission and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies	• The Securities Commission and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies should	• Section 37 of the MLFTA, 2011 states at subsections:
		have no administrative power to institute sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.	be given the administrative power to institute sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.	(2)"Without prejudice to the powers and functions of a regulatory authority under any other law, for the purpose of discharging its responsibility under subsection (1) in respect of
		The Securities Commission and the Registrar of Co-operative Societies have no general powers of sanctions to effectively address breaches by licensees.	All regulators except for the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance should have general powers of sanctions to effectively address breaches by licensees	persons that the regulatory authority regulates, sections 29, 31 and 33 to 36 apply to the regulatory authority, with such modifications and adaptations as may be necessary, as those sections apply to the Authority.
				(3) Where a person is regulated by more than one regulatory authority, the regulatory authorities shall consult and identify from among them, the regulatory authority to assume the primary responsibility under subsection (1).
				(4) For the avoidance of doubt, notwithstanding

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			 (a) any other enactment and in particular, any primary enabling enactment; and (b) any power or function of a regulatory authority under any primary enabling enactment, where it is suspected that a financial institution is contravening or has contravened this Act, any action to be taken by a regulatory authority in respect of the financial institution shall be so taken under this Act. Section 5 of the SA is amended to grant the Commission powers to conduct inspections and examinations of registrants under that Act to include self-regulatory organisations, securities companies, brokers, dealers, traders, underwriters, issuers and investment advisers as may be necessary for giving effect to that Act.
			Section 53(4) states "In addition to the reasons set out in section 54, the Commission may suspend or revoke the registration of a securities company where the Commission is satisfied that (a) the company has defaulted in any obligation undertaken in its capacity as a securities company; or (b) a receiving order has been made against the company."

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			Section 54 of the SA has been amended to provide the Commission with powers of enforcement regarding market actors for reasons including failure to comply with a condition of registration, or engaging in an unsound financial practice. Section 133 of the SA has been amended to allow the Commission to, by instrument in writing, appoint a person to conduct such investigations as may be necessary for the proper administration of this Act and in particular to determine the validity of any allegation that (a) a person has contravened, is contravening or is about to contravene this Act; or (b) any of the circumstances set out in section 54 exist in respect of a registrant."
			Section 135 of the SA is amended to provide that "where an examination reveals that any of the circumstances set out in section 54 exists in respect of a registrant, the Commission may, where it considers it appropriate to do so, order the registrant, within such period as the Commission may specify,

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			to take such remedial measures or action as the Commission directs."
			Section 138 (2A) reads "Notwithstanding subsection (1) (a) a person who contravenes this Act or any rule solely by reason of his failure to file a document or instrument with the Commission within the time prescribed shall be liable to a penalty of \$1 000 for every month or part thereof that the document or instrument remains outstanding after the expiration of the time prescribed; and (b) the Commission may, without conducting a hearing, make an order imposing a penalty pursuant to paragraph (a) for the period beginning on the day following the expiration of the prescribed period and ending on the day that the document or instrument is filed."
			• Section 192B of the CSA was amended to make provision for making directives and issuing cease and desist orders, where (a) after an examination of an credit union or the receipt of any other information, the Registrar is of the opinion that the funds of the credit union are not being properly managed or protected and (b) the Registrar has reason to believe that a credit union is

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				likely to take any action that would affect the financial soundness of the credit union.
				Section 266 of the CSA makes provision for suspension and cancellation of registration. Subsection (e) includes failure to comply with any direction given by the Registrar under section 192B, as a reason for suspension of registration. The Act also makes provision for the appointment of a receiver-manager (section 136) or an Advisor (section 192B). Part IV of the ICTSPA, 2011 grants the Director a range of powers of sanction, including
				pecuniary penalties, suspension and revocation of a license. The ICTSPA was passed as No. 5 of 2011.
21.	NC	Financial institutions are not required to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.	The AML/CFT guidelines should provide specific guidance with regard to requiring special attention to business relationships and transactions with persons from or in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations;	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	 No effective measures in place to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries. Written findings of internal examinations of transactions is limited to transactions exceeding BD\$10,000. 		• The AML/CFT Guideline issued by the Financial Services Commission now refers to the FATF's high risk and non-cooperative jurisdictions list and the UN Security Council's sanctions list. E.g. section 6.8 and 12.2.3. Further, "red flag" scenarios include references to such countries e.g. section 12.3.2.
	No countermeasures issued for transactions and business relationships with countries that do not apply or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.	Written findings of all transactions with no apparent economic or lawful purpose from countries that do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations should be available for competent authorities;	• The BDS 10,000 threshold has been removed from the new Act. See Recommendation 10. Additionally, the revised FIU website will seek to keep financial institutions advised of developing issues.
		Authorities should put in place measures to ensure that financial institutions are advised of concerns about weaknesses in the AML/CFT systems of other countries; Authorities should consider issuing instructions regarding countermeasures for transactions and business relationships with countries that do not apply or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.	• Further, section 7 of the FSC AML/CFT Guideline goes on to state - a pre-requisite to identifying unusual and suspicious activity is the profiling of customers and determination of consistent transaction limits. Unusual transactions are not necessarily suspicious, but they should give rise to further enquiry and analysis. In this regard, financial institutions must examine, to the extent possible, the background and purpose of transactions that appear to have no apparent economic or visible lawful purpose, irrespective of where they

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				originate. Financial institutions are required to document their internal enquiries (section 7.1) and report forthwith to the FIU (section 7.2). Financial institutions are required to maintain records of internal and external reporting (section 9.0), which include internal written findings of transactions investigated irrespective of whether a suspicious report was made.
				Since the last Plenary, the CBB revised its AML/CFT Guideline to require licensees to observe the Public Statements issued by the FATF and CFATF as it relates to business relationships and transactions with natural and legal persons, and financial institutions from listed countries.
				The FSC has adopted a similar provision in their updated AML/CFT Guideline which will be finalized shortly. The FSC Guideline was finalized and gazetted in January 2014.
22.Foreign branches & subsidiaries	PC	The requirement for financial institutions to ensure that their foreign branches and subsidiaries observe AML/CFT measures consistent with the requirements of Barbados is only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance.	The requirement for financial institutions to ensure that their foreign branches and subsidiaries observe AML/CFT measures consistent with the requirements of Barbados and the FATF should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions.	The relevant regulators have commenced discussions of the proposed guidelines in order to ensure compliance with the Recommendation. Refer to earlier comments regarding Recommendations 5 and 17 on enhanced enforcement powers of regulators.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	 No requirement for financial institutions to pay particular attention that their foreign branches and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF Recommendations No requirement for branches and subsidiaries in host countries to apply the higher standard where the minimum AML/CFT requirements of the home and host countries differ to the extent that host country laws and regulations permit. The requirement for financial institutions to inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because this is prohibited by local laws, regulations or other measures is only enforceable on the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance. 	Financial institutions should be required to pay particular attention that their foreign branches and subsidiaries in countries which do not or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations observe AML/CFT measures consistent with requirements in Barbados and the FATF Recommendations. Branches and subsidiaries in host countries should apply the higher standard where the minimum AML/CFT requirements of the home and host countries differ to the extent that host country laws and regulations permit. The requirement for financial institutions to inform their home country supervisor when a foreign branch or subsidiary is unable to observe appropriate AML/CFT measures because this is prohibited by local laws, regulations or other measures should be extended from the licensees of the CBB and the Supervisor of Insurance to all financial institutions.	 The FSC's AML/CFT Guideline now refers to the FATF's high risk and non-cooperative jurisdictions list and the UN Security Council's sanctions list. E.g. section 6.8 and 12.2.3. Further, "red flag" scenarios include references to such countries e.g. section 12.3.2. Section 2 of the FSC's AML/CFT Guideline obligates financial institutions to ensure that, at a minimum, the guideline is also implemented in their branches and subsidiaries abroad and where permitted in the host country, ensure that these operations apply the higher of local and host standards. As is the case with the CBB Guideline, the aforementioned is without exception and therefore applies in both cases where the country sufficiently or insufficiently applies the FATF Recommendations. This section further states - In accordance with section 37 of the MLFTA the regulator holds primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the Act and financial institutions must inform the FSC if the local applicable laws and regulations prohibit the implementation of this Guideline.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
23.Regulation, supervision and monitoring	PC	The Securities Commission has no power of approval over ownership of significant or controlling interests of its registrants.	The Securities Commission should have power to approve ownership of significant or controlling interests of their registrants.	With respect to the outstanding issue relating to approving ownership of the controlling interests, an appropriate amendment is being considered to the Financial Services Commission Act.
		 The Registrar of Co-operatives has no power of approval over senior management of its licensees. The Securities Commission is not required to use fit and proper criteria in approving directors, senior management and ownership of significant or controlling interests of their licensees. Stand alone MVT service providers are not subject to effective systems for monitoring and ensuring compliance with national AML/CFT requirements. 	 The Registrar of Co-operatives should have power to approve senior management of their licensees. The Securities Commission should be required to use fit and proper criteria in approving directors, senior management and ownership of significant or controlling interests of their licensees. There is need for the development and implementation of a framework for regulating and supervising MVT services that are not licensees of the Central Bank. 	 With respect to the Registrar of Cooperatives and the approval of senior management, The FSC has confirmed that for cooperatives, the fit and proper process is executed at the time of appointment of the officers and the approval for for senior management will occur at that time. The fit and proper form is included. With respect to the outstanding issue relating to Securities Commission and the fit and proper criteria, Section 6(3) of the Financial Services Commission Act states that "Where an application is made to the Commission under subsection (1), the Commission shall issue a certificate of registration or a licence, as the case may be, if it is satisfied that the requirements for the registration or the grant of a licence under any of the specified enactments are fulfilled and that the person is a fit and proper person to operate a financial services business." The fit and proper form is enclosed.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			With respect to MVTs, the Barbadian authorities are engaged in on-going discussions with respect to the regulation framework for this sector.
			• The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends section 126 (1) of the Securities Act by making provision for "prescribing the format and content of filings and applications and the filing of copies of documents filed with any government agency, including with respect to electronic filing of matters;"
			Section 54 makes the following grounds for suspension and revocation of registration – (k) the market actor is otherwise financially unsound; (l) the market actor has been convicted of an offence involving fraud or dishonesty; (m) the market actor has been guilty of any other type of misconduct;
			It is also now an offence to knowingly or recklessly make: "(i) a misrepresentation in any filing, application, notification, or other document required to be filed, delivered or notified to the Commission under this Act; or

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			(ii) any other misrepresentation in contravention of this Act or any regulation;" Revision to 142(1) of the SA.
			The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends section 7 of the Cooperatives Act to make it a condition of a license that the directors and other officers of the society are fit and proper to hold their respective office.
			• Further, the Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends section 4 of the EIA and section 12(1) of the IA to insert a similar provision relating to fitness and propriety.
			• Further, section 7. (1) of the ICTSPA, 2011 states: "A person may, in the prescribed form, apply to the Director for a licence to provide
			 (a) an international corporate service; or (b) an international trust service. (2) An applicant shall submit with the application such information and documents as the Director may require to determine whether a license should be issued to the applicant.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				(3) Where the Director is satisfied that an applicant (a) is a fit and proper person to provide an international service; (b) has the financial standing necessary to operate the business;"
				The authorities are in discussions with respect to the framework for the MVTs.
24. DNFBP - regulation, supervision and monitoring	NC	No measures to monitor and ensure compliance of DNFBPs with AML/CFT requirements except those licensed by the CBB.	It is recommended that the authorities take measures to ensure that the requirements of Recommendations 24 and 25 should apply to DNFBPs not licensed by the CBB.	Section 4 of the MLFTA, 2011 explicitly states that the Act applies to non-financial business entities and professions as set out in the Third Schedule. Part IV establishes duties of financial institutions and non-financial business entities and professionals as it relates to Recommendations 24 and 25. Additionally, the ICTSPA, 2011 establishes a regime for registration and licensing of international service providers. The ICTSPA was passed as No. 5 of 2011. Among the objectives stated at Section 4(c) are to provide for the establishment of procedures and policies to be followed by international service providers to (iii) know and be able to identify and verify their clients; and

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				(iv) exercise due diligence in the provision of international services; their clients;
				Section 21 of the ICTSPA states "An international service provider shall comply with the Code of Practice set out in the Second Schedule. The Code includes mandatory provisions relating inter alia to customer due diligence.
				Part IV of the ICTSPA, 2011 grants the Director a range of powers of sanction, including pecuniary penalties, suspension and revocation of a license.
				A DNFBP sub-working group of the Anti- Money Laundering Authority has been recently created to make proposals to government for the formulation and supervision of the DNFBP regime generally.
25. Guidelines & Feedback	PC	The FIU does not provide feedback on STRs to financial institutions.	The FIU should provide feedback to financial institutions with regard to suspicious transaction reports.	The FIU currently provides specific feedback to financial institutions with respect to ongoing STRs. This is done in a manner that will not compromise the investigation process. As
		No specific guidelines have been issued for DNFBPS to implement and comply with AML/CFT requirements except those regulated by the CBB.		matters are completed or closed, the financial institutions will be given a short summary of basic findings.
				A DNFBP sub-working group of the Anti- Money Laundering Authority has been recently created to make proposals to government for the

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				formulation and supervision of the DNFBP regime generally. The FIU met with Compliance Officers from varying sectors including domestic banks, insurance, international banks, credit unions, finance companies, money service businesses, company & trust service providers to provide feedback on local and regional typologies and trends for money laundering. This meeting occurred in February 2014.
Institutional and other measures				
26.The FIU	LC	No annual report released by the FIU since the 2000/2001 report. There was no information on typologies and trends with regard to SUTRs in the report.	 The FIU should publicly release all outstanding annual reports and include in such reports, statistics, typologies and trends as well as information regarding its activities. The FIU should seek to enhance the level of AML/CTF awareness within the local financial services sector with a view to providing more detailed guidance to reporting institutions as to their reporting obligations under Section 8 (b) of the MLFTA. This would seek to address concerns of possible under-reporting by financial institutions. 	The outstanding annual reports have been completed and sent to Parliament. The 2009-2012 outstanding Annual Reports were approved by the Anti-Money Laundering Authority at the February 2014 meeting and will shortly be forwarded to the Ministry and laid in Parliament. The FIU provides more detailed guidance to financial institutions via discussions and meetings with compliance officers as well as detailed training for the staff. This training includes the analysis of key factors that will trigger the reporting obligation.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			The FIU should seek to follow through on its plans to further upgrade its IT capabilities. This would diminish its reliance on manual processes and the older and less reliable electronic storage systems (Microsoft access) currently being utilized to handle and store information.	The FIU has upgraded its IT system through the acquisition of additional research tools and a more robust database system. Security has been increased with the installation of additional firewalls.
			While the FIU was able to produce adequate statistics relating to SUTRs, it should seek to broaden these statistics so as to include prescribed predicate offences linked to SUTRs filed by reporting institutions	
29.Supervisors	LC	The Securities Commission does not have the authority to conduct inspections of financial institutions, including on-site inspections to ensure compliance.	The Securities Commission should have the authority to conduct inspections of financial institutions, including on-site inspections to ensure compliance.	Section 5 of the SA is amended to grant the Commission powers to conduct inspections and examinations of registrants under that Act to include self-regulatory organisations, securities companies, brokers, dealers, traders, underwriters, issuers and investment advisers as may be necessary for giving effect to that Act. As mentioned previously, amendments at sections 133 and 135 also speak to the Commission's powers in this regard.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
30.Resources, integrity and training	PC	 The FIU lacks sufficient resources (human and technological) to allow it to properly carry out all its functions in its mandate. Law enforcement and prosecutorial authorities are inadequately resourced. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies has inadequate number of staff 	Authorities should consider giving the FIU greater access or control of its finances so as to enhance its current structure. This would allow the FIU to maintain and where possible increase its current level of staffing and further develop its IT capabilities so as to conduct more detailed analysis and investigation of SUTRs.	The FIU has upgraded its IT system through the acquisition of a more robust database system and increased protection through the installation of additional firewalls and research tools. Between 2006 until present, the Royal Barbados Police Force has recruited approximately 200 additional persons. Staffing is being strengthened with the establishment of the Financial Services Commission, under whose umbrella will sit the regulation and supervision of cooperatives. The Financial Services Commission Act was passed as No. 21 of 2010 and the Commission came into effect on April 1, 2011. The FIU recruited another Senior Analyst in 2012. The FSC is now also responsible for credit unions and the staff complement currently stands at 60. The Financial Crimes Investigations Unit of the Royal Barbados Police Force has recruited a policeman who is also an Attorney-at-Law to assist with financial

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
32.Statistics	LC	 No statistics on the following; Cross-border declaration reports Spontaneous referrals made by the FIU to foreign authorities Insufficient details on mutual legal assistance requests. 	The competent authorities should seek to broaden the type of statistical data maintained in relation to the cross-border declaration system. Apart from assisting the authorities in measuring the effectiveness of the cross-border declaration system, it will also assist in identifying existing weaknesses thus allowing the competent authorities to enhance the system where necessary so as to facilitate and ensure stricter compliance.	The Customs Department now maintains statistics with respect to cross-border declarations.
			The authorities should maintain statistics on spontaneous referrals made by the FIU to foreign authorities.	The FIU now maintains statistics on spontaneous referrals of information to foreign authorities.
33.Legal persons – beneficial owners	PC	There is no legislative requirement for legal persons to disclose beneficial ownership information.	The authorities should consider improving the present system for access to beneficial ownership by establishing a complementing national registry.	The onus has been placed on the service providers to capture this information as under the International Corporate and Trust Service Providers legislation.
			The authorities should enact legislative requirements for legal persons to disclose beneficial ownership information.	A Cabinet Paper has been drafted by the Ministry of International Business and International Transport (International Business Unit) to progress the Regulations to the International Corporate and Trust Service Providers legislation and is currently before Cabinet.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
34.Legal arrangements – beneficial owners	PC	·	• It is recommended that the authorities should implement measures for monitoring and ensuring compliance of international trusts supervised by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development, lawyers and accountants with AML/CFT requirements i.e. retention of beneficial ownership and control information.	The Cabinet Paper has been approved and the draft legislation is with the Chief Parliamentary Counsel for review. Further revisions were drafted by the CPC to the CA and the SRLA for the filing of prescribed annual returns. A new Amendment was drafted to Section 170 of the CA to make provision for registered offices to maintain details pertaining to beneficial owners. In addition, enforcement provisions have been strengthened. The Bill will be finalized shortly. The onus is placed on the service provider to obtain and maintain beneficial ownership information in accordance with ICTSPA. The FSC and the International Business Unit are negotiating an arrangement with respect to the monitoring regime for international trusts. Supervision will be strengthened with the establishment of the Financial Services Commission—The Financial Services Commission Act was passed as No. 21 of 2010 and the Commission came into effect on April 1, 2011. The International Business Unit and the FSC are discussing a monitoring regime. Section 4 (1) (e) of the Financial Services Commission Act states that one of the purposes of the
				Commission is to provide technical assistance and advice to the International Business Unit or to any other government agency in relation to its responsibilities under any law to supervise,

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				regulate or monitor any business operating in Barbados. Since last Plenary, the draft ICTSP Regulations were revised, approved by Cabinet and are now being redrafted by the CPC.
International Co-operation				
35.Conventions	LC	 All designated categories of offences are not adequately addressed in the range of predicate offences Instrumentalities intended for use in the commission of an offence are not subject to restraint/forfeiture measures. The custodial definition of serious crime under the restraint/forfeiture regime is narrower than the Palermo Convention 	The authorities should fully implement; (i) the Palermo Convention by specifically legislating for human trafficking and updating the corruption/bribery laws; (ii) UN Resolutions S/RES/1373(2001) by explicitly legislating a mechanism to freeze assets of UN designated entities.	The Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Act was passed in Parliament as No. 3 of 2011 Part VI of the Prevention of Corruption Bill 2010 provides for the offences of bribery, solicitation and related offences. The Bill is under review by a Joint Select Committee of Parliament after having received feedback from several stakeholders. The Prevention of Corruption Act, 2012-31 was recently enacted and will shortly be proclaimed.
36.Mutual legal assistance (MLA)	PC	 Range of mutual legal assistance does not include the instrumentalities of ML and FT. Compulsory evidence gathering and forfeiture powers under POCA are not available to the Central Authority. 	 The definition of "serious offences" under MACMA should be revised to make it applicable to offences attracting at least a 4-year custodial penalty, in accordance with the Palermo Convention. The MACMA provisions dealing with restraint, forfeiture and confiscation should be amended to ensure 	With respect to the underlined outstanding aspects of this Recommendation, the local authorities are in the process of reviewing the measures in light of existing regimes and the requirements of this Recommendation. The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA amends section 2 of the MACMA to reduce the definition of "serious offences" to 4 years. The new Section 2(6) also refers to instrumentalities.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	 The custodial element of serious crime under the restraint/forfeiture regime is narrower than the Palermo Convention. No mechanism to deal with dual jurisdictional conflict. Not possible to assess effectiveness of mutual legal assistance measures due to limited number of MLAT's. 	 instrumentalities of, or intended for use in, the relevant offences are covered. The range of evidence-gathering powers available for the satisfaction of mutual assistance requests should be reviewed. In particular, monitoring order and other powers under POCA should be examined with a view to extending their application to serious offences committed locally or abroad. The authorities should consider devising and applying mechanisms for dealing with dual jurisdictional conflict. The authorities should consider increasing their capacity for information exchange under section 6C of the MLFTA by seeking to negotiate bilateral agreements or MOU's with foreign states. The authorities should consider negotiating with the UK Government for another MLAT covering areas outside drugs dealing; and generally seek opportunities to progressively conclude MLAT's with a broader range of countries. 	MACMA has also been amended to include section 16A and section 27A relating to assistance in obtaining a forfeiture or confiscation order. The new Section 31A of the MACMA states that sections 42-46 (production and inspection orders); section 47(search warrants) and Section 48-9 (monitoring orders) of the POCA apply to MACMA. The FIU is in the process of negotiating several MOUs and has signed MOUs with St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Bermuda and Nigeria. The authorities are in the process of preparing the relevant Cabinet Paper with respect to the development of the current mutual legal assistance framework.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
38.MLA on confiscation and freezing	PC	 No provision for foreign states to request local authority to apply for forfeiture/confiscation orders or vice versa except in limited circumstances under section 16 of the ATA. No provision for the freezing, seizure or confiscation of instrumentalities of ML and FT. No arrangements for co-coordinating seizure and confiscation actions with other countries. No evidence of consideration of establishing an asset forfeiture fund 	MACMA should be amended to enable Barbados or foreign states to seek reciprocal assistance in obtaining forfeiture/confiscation orders in the jurisdiction of the other country, where the suspect has been convicted of a serious offence in the requesting state. In particular, where Barbados's assistance is being sought, the forfeiture/confiscation powers under POCA should be made available. The establishment of a dedicated forfeiture fund whose proceeds should be earmarked for law enforcement or other deserving purposes should be considered.	Part V of the Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Act, 2011 establishes the Fund. The authorities are reviewing the assets sharing capacity in order to accord with the requirements of this Recommendation. MACMA has also been amended to include section 16A and section 27A relating to assistance in obtaining a forfeiture or confiscation order. The new Section 31A of the MACMA states that sections 42-46 (production and inspection orders); section 47 (search warrants) and Section 48-9 (monitoring orders) of the POCA apply to MACMA.
			Extension of the existing statutory coverage of asset sharing with other countries so that the facility is available in cases of all serious crimes should be considered.	In reviewing the criminal confiscation and civil forfeiture regime, the Cabinet approved the development of asset sharing abilities between countries through formalized agreements.
40.Other forms of co- operation	LC	The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development cannot share information with foreign counterparts	The Ministry of Economic Affairs and Development should be authorized to share information with foreign counterparts.	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends section 25(3) of the IBC Act to permit the disclosure of information under the MLFTA 2010.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
		The Registrar of Co-operative Societies can only share information by Court Order.	The Registrar of Co-operative Societies should be empowered to share information with foreign counterparts without a Court Order	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA 2011 amends section 71 of the CSA by making provision for the sharing of information with foreign counterparts. See comments at Recommendation 5.
Nine Special Recommendations		Summary of factors underlying rating		
SR.I Implement UN instruments	PC	 No requirement to freeze terrorist funds or other assets of persons designated by the UN Al-Qaida and Taliban Sanctions Committee. Overlap between ATA and POCA respecting freezing/forfeiture and ambiguous aspects to ATA forfeiture power, both detracting from effectiveness 	The authorities should fully implement; (i) the Palermo Convention by specifically legislating for human trafficking and updating the corruption/bribery laws; (ii) UN Resolutions S/RES/1373(2001) by explicitly legislating a mechanism to freeze assets of UN designated entities.	As mentioned at Recommendations 1 and 3, The Transnational Organised Crime (Prevention and Control) Act was passed in Parliament as No. 3 of 2011. The Prevention of Corruption Bill has been discussed and approved by Cabinet. The ATA Cap. 158 is absolute in that it does not provide any restriction whatsoever relating to the freezing of assets. The Prevention of Corruption Bill is presently before a Joint Select Committee of Parliament. Stakeholders from the public and private sectors have provided their comments on the Bill to the Select Committee. The Committee will shortly review these comments and indicate its course of action.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				The Prevention of Corruption Act, 2012-31 was recently enacted and will shortly be proclaimed.
SR.III Freeze and confiscate terrorist assets	PC	 No requirement to freeze terrorist funds or other assets of persons designated by the UN Al-Qaida and Taliban Sanctions Committee. Divergent policy re forfeiture/restraint under ATA and POCA. 	 The authorities incorporate into legislation requirements, a special mechanism to enable the freezing/restraint of assets owned or controlled by persons/entities designated by the UN Sanctions Committee, in keeping with UN Security Council Resolution 1267 of 1999. The requirements should also confer power to authorise the release of funds required to be frozen, for the purpose of meeting basic expenses associated with, e.g., the accused's reasonable subsistence or defence of criminal proceedings. The 2002 Commonwealth Model Legislative Provisions on the "specified (listed) entity" regime provide a useful guide. The authorities should critically review the freezing/restraint and forfeiture regimes under the ATA and POCA, with a view to amending the legislation to provide for a uniform approach to these measures. The authorities should review the grounds needed to support an application for a 	The POCA schedule has been amended to include sections 3 to 6 of the ATA. The MLFTA 2011 refers to both ML & TF. The authorities are currently reviewing the provisions relating to the freezing of terrorist funds and assets of persons designated by the UN Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			freezing order under section 8(1) of the ATA, so as to ensure consistency between local cases and those arising from mutual legal assistance requests.	
			• Expand the scope of the MLFTA to incorporate FT in sections 9-11.	The equivalent sections 9-11 in the previous Act are section 20-21 and 39 of the MLFTA 2011.
SR.IV Suspicious transaction reporting	LC	No requirement in law or regulations to report attempted or aborted suspicious transactions.	The MLFTA should be amended to require the reporting of attempted or aborted transactions suspected of being involved in ML or FT.	Refer to previous comments relating to Recommendation 13.
SR.V International co- operation	PC	• Factors in Recs. 36 and 38 are also applicable.	• The definition of "serious offences" under MACMA should be revised to make it applicable to offences attracting at least a 4-year custodial penalty, in accordance with the Palermo Convention.	This has been dealt with in Recommendation 36 and 38.
			• The MACMA provisions dealing with restraint, forfeiture and confiscation should be amended to ensure instrumentalities of, or intended for use in, the relevant offences are covered.	
			• The range of evidence-gathering powers available for the satisfaction of mutual assistance requests should be reviewed. In particular, monitoring order and other	

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
		powers under POCA should be examined with a view to extending their application to serious offences committed locally or abroad.	
		The authorities should consider devising and applying mechanisms for dealing with dual jurisdictional conflict.	In reviewing the criminal confiscation and civil forfeiture regime, the Cabinet approved the development of asset sharing abilities between countries through formalized agreements.
		• The authorities should consider increasing their capacity for information exchange under section 6C of the MLFTA by seeking to negotiate bilateral agreements or MOU's with foreign states.	Cabinet has approved the creation of a dedicated confiscated assets fund. The authorities are in the process of preparing the relevant Cabinet Paper with respect to the development of the current mutual legal assistance framework.
		• The authorities should consider negotiating with the UK Government for another MLAT covering areas outside drugs dealing; and generally seek opportunities to progressively conclude MLAT's with a broader range of countries.	The Chief Parliamentary Counsel has been instructed to commence the drafting process to give effect to the foregoing Cabinet decisions.
		MACMA should be amended to enable Barbados or foreign states to seek reciprocal assistance in obtaining forfeiture/confiscation orders in the jurisdiction of the other country, where the suspect has been convicted of a serious offence in the requesting state. In	

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			particular, where Barbados's assistance is being sought, the forfeiture/confiscation powers under POCA should be made available.	
			The establishment of a dedicated forfeiture fund whose proceeds should be earmarked for law enforcement or other deserving purposes should be considered.	
			Extension of the existing statutory coverage of asset sharing with other countries so that the facility is available in cases of all serious crimes should be considered.	
SR VI AML requirements for money/value transfer services	NC	 Stand alone MVT providers are not regulated or supervised for compliance with AML/CFT requirements No requirement for MVT service operators to maintain a current list of agents. 	It is recommended that the authorities proceed in developing a framework for the regulation and supervision of MVT services not provided by licensees of the CBB.	The authorities are in discussions with respect to the framework for the MVTs. The Barbadian authorities are engaged in ongoing discussions with respect to the regulation framework for this sector.
SR VII Wire transfer rules	PC	Stand-alone money-remitters are not subject to any regulatory oversight except for exchange control purposes.	Stand-alone money remitters should be monitored for compliance with the requirements of SR VII.	The authorities are in discussions with respect to the framework for the MVTs. The Barbadian authorities are engaged in ongoing discussions with respect to the regulation framework for this sector.

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
SR.VIII Non-profit organisations	LC	Sanctions for breaches of Charities Act are not dissuasive.	 The authorities should carefully consider the FATF's 2002 Best Practices Paper on Special Recommendation VIII, which advocates a number of measures for tightening the regime for NPO's, including the incorporation of mechanisms to verify the actual allocation of charitable funds to the intended beneficiaries. Revise the sanctions for breaches of the Act to make them appropriately dissuasive in effect. 	The Fourth Schedule of the MLFTA amends the Section 41(1) Charities Act as follows: • "(6A) Where there is a change in the composition of trustees of a charity registered under this section, the trustees shall, within 15 days of the date of the change, file with the Registrar a notice containing particulars of the change. (6B) Where charity trustees fail to comply with subsection (6A), the Registrar may impose on the charity trustees a penalty of \$100. (6C) Where a penalty imposed pursuant to subsection (6B) is not paid, the Registrar may recover the amount as a debt due to the Crown in civil proceedings before the Magistrate's Court for District "A". • Delete section 6 and substitute the following: 6. (1) A charity trustee of a charity who without reasonable excuse fails to register the charity in accordance with section 5 is guilty of an offence and is liable on summary conviction to a fine of \$ 5 000 or to imprisonment for 6 months or to both and to an additional fine of \$500 for everyday or part thereof that the offence continues after a conviction is first obtained.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
			(2) Without prejudice to subsection (1), where a charity trustee of a charity fails to register the charity in accordance with section 5, the charity trustee shall not be entitled to claim any tax exemptions under any enactment in respect of the charity for the income year during which the charity remained unregistered."
			In section 8, delete the words "Income Tax Commissioner" and substitute the words "Commissioner of Inland Revenue".
			• In section 19(4), delete the words "\$250" and "\$25" and substitute the words "\$5 000" and "\$500", respectively.
			• In section 38(4), (a) delete the word "\$500" and substitute
			the word "\$5 000"; (b) delete the word "3" and substitute the word "6"; and (c) delete the word "\$50" and substitute the word "\$500".
			• In section 41(1), delete the word "15" and substitute the word "12".
			• In section 42, delete subsection (6) and substitute the following: "(6) Any person who fails to

	Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
				 (a) transmit to the Registrar any statement of account required by subsection (1); (b) afford an auditor any facility to which he is entitled under subsection (4); or (c) make full disclosure to the Registrar of all material facts required to be disclosed under this Act or knowingly makes a false statement of a material fact or makes a statement containing information that is misleading in light of the circumstances in which it was made, is guilty of an offence and is liable on summary conviction to a fine of \$5000 or to imprisonment for 6 months or to both and to a further fine of \$500 for every day or part thereof that the offence continues after a conviction is first obtained." In section 47(2), insert after the word "received", the words "and penalties imposed and collected". The Companies (Amendment) Act was passed as No. 8 of 2011 and further strengthens the regulation of NPOs.
SR.IX Cross Border Declaration & Disclosure	LC	 Suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing or making a false declaration do not provide basis for stopping and seizure of currency and negotiable instruments. Effectiveness of system to detect cross-border transfer of currency and 	 Suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing or making a false declaration should provide grounds for stopping and seizure of currency and negotiable instruments. The competent authorities should consider including penalties for the making of false 	The Customs Department currently maintains statistics with respect to cross-border declarations.

Rating	Summary of factors underlying rating ²	Recommended Actions	Undertaken Actions
	negotiable instruments cannot be evaluated due to lack of statistics.	relevant section of the Customs Act on the Passenger Embarkation/Disembarkation card.	